

Original Research Article

Structure and successional classification of the shrub-arboreal component in a remnant of Atlantic Forest, Northeastern Brazil

ABSTRACT

Aims: We aimed to evaluate the structure and successional classification of component shrub-arboreal in the edge and interior environments in a remnant of Tropical Forest in Pernambuco, Brazil.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in a remnant of the Lowland Ombrophilous Dense Forest categorized as Urban Forest Reserve named Mata of Manassu, with an area approximately 264.24 ha and located in Jaboatao dos Guararapes, Pernambuco. The data were registered between March 2017 and September 2017.

Methodology: The data were collected in 40 100 m² plots, 20 plots in each environment: edge and forest interior. The "edge" was considered to comprise a 100-m strip at the border of the forest and the "interior" at least 300 m in from this strip. In all plots, were cataloged, identified and measured the circumferences at height of the base at 30 cm from the soil of all shrub-arboreal individuals whose height were equal or greater than 1.0 meter and circumference at breast height at 1.30 m of soil, less than 15.0 cm. The sampled individuals were classified into family, genus, and species, and the species classified for the successional group (pioneers, initial secondary, late secondary or uncharacterized).

Results: The environment of the edge showed the highest absolute density (10.240 ind.ha⁻¹) to the forest interior (9.805 ind.ha⁻¹). In both environments, it was found the high representativity of initial and late secondary species. The structural distributions of individuals by height class at the edge and interior environments showed curves shaped as inverted "J" indicating "dynamic balance" of the forest.

Conclusion: The higher representativity of initial and late secondary species probably is due to the forest being in an advanced successional stage, having a structure with well-defined stratum. Among the shrub-arboreal species sampled which presented higher total natural regeneration per height class to both in the edge and forest interior and that can be used for the enrichment of areas in the recovery process of Atlantic Forest are *Miconia prasina* e *Eschweilera ovata*.

Keywords: Natural regeneration, density, Dense Ombrophylous Forest.

1. INTRODUCTION

The forest fragmentation transforms contiguous natural habitats in small remnants of a forest through the expansion of the areas of contact between natural and anthropic environments. This forest replacement, increasingly frequent, causes significant changes in composition and vegetation structure [1].

Phytosociological studies represent adequate methods to describe initial responses of the vegetation because is possible to characterize the floristic composition and structure of the

species in a given forest fragment. Besides it allows the comparison of results obtained in areas and at different times [2].

By observing phytosociological parameters is possible to characterize the horizontal and vertical structures of fragments forest. These parameters can indicate specific groupings and it supplies information about the development stages of vegetable community, as well as the distribution of environmental resources among the populations, possibilities of using plant resources, among other [3].

Another analysis that assists in the definition of strategies for recovery and conservation of forest fragments is the identification of ecological groups in the process of natural regeneration. For each ecological group that presents different biological characteristics and requirements, the proportion of the number of seedlings per species chosen in management and recovery projects of degraded areas are defined in the function of these [4].

Under full light availability, pioneer species tend to produce a high number of seeds with rapid growth and establish communities with high population density and low species diversity. Late secondary species, in the most part, have antagonistic characteristics, that is, with lower seed production, slower growth, developing preferentially in the shadow, with communities of greater species diversity and lower population density. The initial secondary species have intermediate characteristics to those previously described [4, 5].

Thus, this study aims to evaluate the structure and successional classification of the shrub-arboreal component in the edge and interior environments of a remnant of Atlantic Forest, located in Jaboatão dos Guararapes, Pernambuco.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Area of study

The study was conducted in a remnant of Lowland Ombrophilous Dense Forest of 264.24 ha, located under the coordinates 8°04'44.5"S and 35°01'23.0"W, north area of Jaboatão dos Guararapes city in Pernambuco, Brazil. The area is categorized as Urban Forest Reserve Mata of Manassu. It has soils classified as Yellow Latosols, Red-Yellow Podzolic, Gleysols with relief wavy to strong wavy. It presents the shape of irregular polygon and a diversified matrix of urban and rural land use [6, 7].

The predominant climate of the region is tropical type Am, according to the classification [8], climate tropical of monsoon with early rainy season at autumn. The accumulated annual precipitation of approximately 1.487 mm and the average temperature around 24°C [9].

2.2. Collection of Data

Sampling units were implanted in environments of the edge and forest interior. The "edge" was considered to comprise a 100-m strip at the border of the forest and the "interior" at least 300 m in from this strip [10].

The data were collected in 40 plots of 10 m × 10 m (100 m² each), being 20 in each environment (edge and forest interior), totaling 4.000 m² of the sample area.

Edge plots were allocated along parallel transects in the border of the fragment and equidistant each other in 25 m, with 10 plots each. In the forest interior, 20 plots were distributed systematically in mesh format, being interspersed in 25 m each other.

Comment [J1]: Inform the nature of the area, public or private. Conservation or preservation?

Comment [J2]: Inform the ethical aspects of the research. If it was in a public area, inform the license of the competent environmental agency. If it was in a particular area, inform the authorization for access to the area. You can't break into any environment to do research.

In all plots, we cataloged, identified, and measured the circumferences at 30 cm of the soil surface. All shrub-arboreal individuals whose height were equal or greater than 1.0 meter and circumference at breast height at 1.30 m of soil, less than 15.0 cm, as well as were estimated the respective heights using high pruning shears, with modules of 2.0 meters.

All sample units were georeferenced with GPS receiver (Global Positioning System) Garmin model 76map CSx and demarcated with a tape measure, PVC pipe pickets, and nylon cord. All individuals registered received a numbered field card in ascending order.

During fieldwork, were recorded the following data on the field card: identification number of the individual; the height, in meters; their botanical identification, the date of sampling and the collection of fertile material, when possible.

The botanical material collected was duly herborized according to techniques of preparation, drying, and assembly of *exsiccate*. The species were identified according to Angiosperm Phylogeny Group IV [11], by comparison with exsiccates deposited in the Herbarium Professor Vasconcelos Sobrinho and Herbarium Sérgio Tavares, both of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE).

2.3 Data Analysis

The species were classified into successional groups: pioneers, initial secondary, late secondary or uncharacterized [5, 12], by observations in the field and literature.

The main phytosociological parameters were estimated for the communities in edge and forest interior, being them: total density (TD), absolute density (AD), relative density (RD), total frequency (TF), absolute frequency (AF), relative frequency (RF), total dominance (TDo), absolute dominance (ADo), relative dominance (RDo), relative natural regeneration by height class (RNR_{ij}) and total natural regeneration by height class (TNR) with the aid of software Microsoft Excel for Windows™ 2007 and Mata Nativa 4 [12, 13, 14].

We analyzed the diametric structure by interpreting frequency histogram of the number of individuals per diameter class at intervals of 1.0 cm.

For analysis of vertical structure in each forest environment, we generated distribution histograms by size classes of shrub-arboreal individuals whose height were equal to or greater than 1.0 meter and circumference at breast height at 1.30 m of soil, less than 15.0 cm. Three size classes of natural regeneration were considered, being individuals of class 1 (C1) with $1.0 \text{ m} \leq H \leq 2.0 \text{ m}$, class 2 (C2) with $2.0 \text{ m} < H \leq 3.0 \text{ m}$ and class 3 (C3) with $(H) > 3.0 \text{ m}$ [13].

To infer if there are significant differences between mean basal area and mean height in edge and forest interior environments were calculated the confidence limits by size class.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Structure

In the environments of the edge and forest interior, we recorded 4009 shrub-arboreal individuals, corresponding the estimated total density (TD) of $10022.5 \text{ ind. ha}^{-1}$ (Appendix 1). However, we cataloged 2048 individuals in 20 plots in edge environment ($2,000 \text{ m}^2$), corresponding to the total density of $10240 \text{ ind. ha}^{-1}$. And in the forest interior environment

we found 1961 individuals, indicating a total density of 9805 ind.ha⁻¹. It indicates that the edge area presented density higher than the forest interior about 4.44%.

This higher representativeness of arboreal individuals in edge forest may be related the fact that higher areas tend to present greater intensity of sunlight [15], which could benefit the recruitment of new individuals, providing higher density. Also, these individuals are located in areas with limited accessibility to the site, with altitude above 100 m of sea level, so density, as well as diversity increases as degradation factors, are less intensified and older [16].

In the edge environment, the species that stood out in number of individuals (in descending order) and consequently in absolute density (AD) were: *Erythroxylum mucronatum* with 201 individuals and 1005 ind.ha⁻¹ of absolute density, followed by *Eschweilera ovata* (160 and 800 ind.ha⁻¹), *Hirtella racemosa* (132 and 660 ind.ha⁻¹), *Erythroxylum squamatum* (127 and 635 ind.ha⁻¹) and *Brosimum guianense* (123 and 615 ind.ha⁻¹). They describe 36.28% of total sampled individuals in this environment.

In forest interior, the most representative species were *E. ovata* with 280 individuals and 1400 ind.ha⁻¹, *Psychotria carthagenensis* (175 and 875 ind.ha⁻¹), *Paypayrola blanchetiana* (145 and 725 ind.⁻¹), *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (133 and 665 ind.ha⁻¹) and *Siparuna guianensis* (118 and 590 ind.ha⁻¹). They correspond 43.40% of the total individuals in this environment.

Among the species that presented greater abundance both in the edge and fragment interior, *E. ovata* according to [18] is an initial secondary species, perennial and heliophyte, with occasional frequency and dispersion more or less contiguous along its distribution area and for being considered ornamental tree, it has its use indicated in landscaping and composition of mixed reforestation destined for the recovery of vegetation of degraded areas, besides having its seed is very appreciated by frugivorous bats.

Although the group of species highest density in the fragment interior, mostly, is not same those records in the edges studied, except for *E. ovata*, *M. prasina*, and *S. guianensis*. In general, it can be observed that among 123 registered species, 91 are from the border, 90 from forest interior and 58 from these are common in both areas. Of that species common the two environments and that were cataloged in the forest interior, described to 77.15% of the total of individuals in this area. And the edge groups indicated 91.85%, thus, is verified that the group of shrub-arboreal species in natural regeneration in the edge environment, mostly are similar to the forest interior.

Were observed 19 species with only 1 individual (each), indicating 0.93% of the total shrub-arboreal in edge environment, this result is lower than that found in the interior forest, in which 24 species expressed 1.22% of the total in the area (Appendix 1).

The species that occurred with only 1 individual (in each environment) both in edge and forest interior were: *Abarema cochliacarpus*, Annonaceae 1 and *Sloanea garckeana* (Appendix 1). Exclusively, in edge environments were: *Aspidosperma spruceanum*, *Bowdichia virgilioides*, *Cordia sellowiana*, *Couepia rufa*, *Eugenia umbrosa*, *Hyeronima oblonga*, uncharacterized 2, *Inga capitata*, *Miconia albicans*, Myrtaceae 1, *Ouratea polygyna*, *Pouteria gardneri*, *Sarcaulus brasiliensis*, *Tabernaemontana flavicans*, *Talisia coriacea* and *Xylopia frutescens* (Appendix 1). And in the forest interior were: *Adenantha pavonina*, *Campomanesia dichotoma*, *Cupania racemosa*, *Dialium guianense*, *Eriotheca macrophylla*, Fabaceae 1, *Guapira* sp.1, *Guatteria schomburgkiana*, uncharacterized 3, uncharacterized 4, *Inga ingoides*, Lauraceae 1, *Leptobalanus octandrus*, *Licania kunthiana*, *Miconia holosericea*, *Myrcia splendens*, *Plathymenia reticulata*, *Pouteria durlandii*, *Sloanea guianensis*, *Talisia esculenta* and *Viola gardneri* (Appendix 1).

Comment [J3]: Add the taxon author according to scientific norms. In all cases.

Comment [J4]: Author

Comment [J5]: A

Comment [J6]: author

Comment [J7]: Author

Comment [J8]: Author

Comment [J9]: Author

Comment [J10]: Author

Comment [J11]: Author

Comment [J12]: Author

Comment [J13]: Add authors

The occurrence of only one individual of determined species both in fragment edge and interior may not be sufficient to ensure its conservation. Because, the biotic and abiotic conditions inherent in areas edge and interior of forest fragment, as shading, nutrient cycling, water availability besides chemical and physical characteristics of the soil that contribute to growth and survival of some species in different environments, mainly due to morphophysiological characteristics and the degree of phenotypic plasticity of each organism support these conditions [19, 20].

The occurrence of only one individual of some species both fragment edge and interior may not be sufficient to guarantee its conservation. For the different biotic and abiotic conditions in edge and interior of fragment, such as shading, nutrient cycling, water availability, as well as chemical and physical characteristics of the soil may not favor certain species due to their morphophysiology, characteristics and the degrees of phenotypic plasticity of each organism support each environmental condition [19, 20].


The shrub-arboreal species whose occurrence were equal or greater than 50% sampled plots in the forest edges were: *Cordia nodosa* present in all sample units (100% absolute frequency), followed by *E. mucronatum* (80%), *E. ovata*, *Miconia prasina* and *S. guianensis* (70% each), *B. guianense*, *Gouatteria pogonopus*, *Inga thibaudiana*, *Myrcia guianensis*, *Thyrsodium spruceanum* (60% each), *Brosimum rubescens* and *Casearia javitensis* (55% each), and *H. racemosa*, *Lacistema robustum*, *Parkia pendula* and *Tapirira guianensis* (50% each). In the forest interior the species *S. guianensis* occurred in 95% of plots, followed by *E. ovata* (90%), *M. prasina* and *P. carthagenensis* (85% each), *Symphonia globulifera* (80%), Myrtaceae 2 (75%), *C. nodosa*, *Myrcia spectabilis* and *T. spruceanum* (70% each), *Protium heptaphyllum*, *Erythroxylum citrifolium* and *Guapira opposita* (60% each), and, *I. thibaudiana* and *Hyeronima oblonga* (50% each). The higher representativeness of species with high frequency in the edge compared to forest interior demonstrates a greater range of distribution and repartition of resources in forest edge environment.

Comment [J14]: Add authors in all first citation

The total dominance (TDo) in forest edge provided $3.91 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, while that in the interior it was $3.87 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, that is, the edge environment showed higher dominance of species sampled, per hectare, about the interior in 1.03%. However, both analyzed environments presented higher results than the one recorded ($3.28 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$) by [21] when analyzing the regenerative potential of tree species in a fragment of Atlantic Forest in Sirinhaém, Pernambuco.

The species with highest absolute and relative dominance in edge environment were, in decreasing order: *M. prasina* with $0.39969 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$ of ADo and 10.21% of RDo, followed by *E. mucronatum* ($0.26487 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 6.77%), *E. ovata* ($0.25825 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 6.60%), *B. rubescens* ($0.19667 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 5.02%) and *E. squamatum* ($0.19389 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 4.95%). In the forest interior were: *P. carthagenensis* with $0.50932 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$ and 13.16%, followed by *E. ovata* ($0.33047 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 8.54%), *A. heterophyllum* ($0.25992 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 6.71%), *M. prasina* ($0.24555 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 6.34%) and *S. guianensis* ($0.21975 \text{ m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$, 5.68%).

The highest concentration of individuals was found between 1.0 m and 2.0 m height with 999 individuals in the edge and 1043 in the forest interior (Figure 1).



Comment [J15]: Add x and y axis information

Figure 1. Distribution of the number of shrub-arboreal individuals by height classes ($1.0 \text{ m} \leq H \leq 2.0 \text{ m}$, $2.0 \text{ m} < H \leq 3.0 \text{ m}$, $H > 3.0 \text{ m}$) in the edge and interior environments of Urban Forest Reserve Mata of Manassu in Jaboatão dos Guararapes, Pernambuco, Brazil.

Comment [J16]: When?

In both environments, the largest number of individuals are concentrated in the first class of diameter with 868 in the edge and 941 in interior and the lowest representativeness of individuals in the largest classes, respectively (Figure 2). It's verified that the number of shrub-arboreal individuals decreased with the increase of the diametric class, similar to those recorded in other studies carried out on fragments of the Atlantic Forest in Pernambuco, as already reported in Catende by [22], in Sirinhaém by [23] and Nazaré da Mata [24].

The distribution of shrub-arboreal individuals by diameter classes both in the edge and fragment interior tend to form inverted "J" curves (Figure 2), that is, progressively decreasing its distribution until reaching a lower number of individuals in the larger height classes, indicating the dynamic balance of the forest. This behavior enables that dynamic processes to be perpetuated in the forest, since the sudden absence of dominant individuals will give origin to so-called "replacement trees" [25].




Figure 2. Distribution of shrub-arboreal individuals by diameter class centers (cm) in the edge and interior environments of Urban Forest Reserve Mata of Manassu in Jaboatão dos Guararapes, Pernambuco, Brazil.

Comment [J17]: When?

These data indicate to possible variations in the vertical structure of shrub-arboreal communities between the environments studied. In that case, it's proposed to perform a

dynamic study of this component to better understand how these species behave in face of the natural and anthropic disturbances occurring in the area.

The highest percentages of total natural regeneration per height class (TNR) registered in the forest edge were represented by *E. mucronatum* with 8.82%, followed by *E. ovata* (7.21%) and *C. nodosa* (5.12%) that grouped 21.15% of total TNR in this environment (Figure 3). And in the interior the species were: *E. ovata* with 11.87% of TNR, *P. carthagenensis* (8.33%) and *S. guianensis* (6.12%) which together congregate 26.32% of total TNR per height class in this area (Figure 4).

In the forest edge environment, the most representative species in percentages of relative natural regeneration in the FSC ($1.0 \text{ m} \leq H \leq 2.0 \text{ m}$) were: *C. nodosa*, *E. mucronatum*, and *H. racemosa*. In SSC ($2.0 \text{ m} < H \leq 3.0 \text{ m}$) were *E. mucronatum*, *E. ovata* and *C. nodosa*, and in TSC ($H > 3.0 \text{ m}$) were *E. mucronatum*, *E. ovata*, and *M. prasina*. In the interior were *E. ovata*, *P. carthagenensis*, and *S. globulifera* in the FSC ($1.0 \text{ m} \leq H \leq 2.0 \text{ m}$). In the SSC ($2.0 \text{ m} < H \leq 3.0 \text{ m}$), *E. ovata*, *P. carthagenensis*, and *P. blanchetiana*, and in TSC ($H > 3.0 \text{ m}$) were *E. ovata*, *P. carthagenensis*, and *S. guianensis*. Regarding TNR, it was observed that except for the species *P. blanchetiana* and *A. heterophyllus* exclusive to the forest interior, the others were recorded in both analyzed environments.





Figure 3. Highest percentages of total natural regeneration per height class (TNR) in the edge environment of Urban Forest Reserve Mata of Manassu in Jaboatão dos Guararapes, Pernambuco, Brazil.

Comment [J18]: Add x and y axis information

Comment [J19]: When?



Comment [J20]: Add x and y axis information;
Delete outer border

Figure 4. Highest percentages of total natural regeneration per height class (TNR) in the interior environment of Urban Forest Reserve Mata de Manassu in Jaboatão dos Guararapes, Pernambuco, Brazil.

Comment [J21]: When?

The high representativeness of the species *E. ovata* and *M. prasina*, among the ten species with highest percentages of total natural regeneration per height class (TNR), both in the edge and fragment interior, indicate to the trend of different occupation strategies in the environments studied. Because initial secondary species, they present dependence intermediate of sunlight to complete their life cycles and may develop in the edges, under clearings or forest interior [5, 26].

3.2. Successional classification

The highest percentage of species (47.15%) and the number of individuals (65.88%) were classified as initial secondary, followed by late secondary with 30.08% of species and 25.17% of the individuals. The uncharacterized group corresponded to 15.45% of species and 3.17% of individuals. Pioneers represented 7.32% of species and 5.69% of individuals (Appendix 1).

When grouped the initial and late secondary species they covered 77.23% of the total species and 91.05% of the total number of individuals cataloged in the fragment. These are results superior the 72% of initial and late secondary species recorded by [12] in the fragment of Ombrophilous Dense Forest in Igarassu, Pernambuco.

According to [27, 28], the low representativity of pioneer species, possibly is due to the advanced successional stage of the forest, in this sense it is proposed to evaluate the adult arboreal component to better understand the mechanisms of renewal of these species in the fragment.

4. CONCLUSION

The higher representativity of initial and late secondary species probably is due to the forest being in an advanced successional stage, having a structure with well-defined stratum.

Estimates of abundance and dominance in this study are similar to those recorded in research with the regeneration of shrub-arboreal species in Atlantic Forest of Pernambuco State and indicate that fragment studied the present good state of conservation. However, the environmental conditions of each habitat (edge and forest interior) may be contributing to a pattern of increase of density in the edge community and differences in population abundances of species of the greater density of each environment.

It is recommended to evaluate the dynamics of the regenerating shrub-arboreal community and the adult component, to better understand the mechanisms of survival and renewal of these species in the area.

Probably, some species are having a good regeneration strategy, as *M. prasina* and *E. ovata* with high total natural regeneration per height class both in the edge and forest interior. These species can be focal in future actions of recovery of degraded areas in the fragment studied.

REFERENCES

1. Figueiredo LTM, Soares CPB, Souza AL, Martins SB. Floristic changes in a Semideciduous Seasonal Forest in the municipality of Viçosa, MG, between 1994 and 2008. *Forest.* 2013;43(2):169-180. Portuguese. Accessed 22 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/ef.v43i2.28869>.
2. Estevan DA, Vieira AOS, Gorenstein MR. Structure and floristic relationships of a fragment of remnant forest, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil. *Scienc. Forest.* 2016;26(3):713-725. Portuguese. Accessed 20 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5902/1980509824195>.
3. Meunier IMJ, Silva, JAA, Ferrreira RLC. Forest inventory: Study programs. Recife: Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - Department of Forestry Science; 2001.
4. Rodrigues RR, Brancalion PHS, Isernhagen I. Pact for the restoration of Atlantic forest: reference of the concepts and actions of forest restoration. São Paulo: LERF/ESALQ - Institute BioAtlantic; 2009. Accessed 02 March 2019. Available: <http://www.lerf.esalq.usp.br/divulgacao/produtos/livros/pacto2009.pdf>.
5. Almeida DS. Environmental recovery of the Atlantic Forest Atlântica [online]. 3rd. ed. rev. and ext. - Ilhéus, BA: Editus; 2016. Accessed 02 March 2019. Available: http://www.uesc.br/editora/livrosdigitais2016/recuperacao_ambiental_da_mata_atlantica_nova.pdf.
6. State Agency for the Environment (CPRH). Caburé - Geoenvironmental Information System of Pernambuco. Unidades de Conservação: FURB Mata of Manassu; n.d. Accessed 02 March 2019. Available: <http://200.17.134.119/arcgis/rest/services/MyMapService/FeatureServer/2/24/attachments/4005>.
7. Silva FBR, Santos JCP, Silva AB, Cavalcanti AC, Silva FHBB, Burgos N et al. Agroecological Zoning of the State of Pernambuco. Recife: Embrapa Soils - UEP Recife; 2001.

Comment [J22]: Less than half of the references are from the last five years. Update.

8. Alvares CA, Stape JL, Sentelhas PC, Gonçalves JLM. Modeling monthly mean air temperature for Brazil. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 2013;113(3-4):407-427. Accessed 16 March 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0796-6>.
9. Pernambuco Agency for Waters and Climate (APAC). Services. Meteorology. Pluviometric Monitoring. Monthly view: Initial date: July 1, 2016 - Final date: June 30, 2017. Meso-region: Metropolitan of Recife. Post: Jaboatão dos Guararapes (Two Unas Dam - 268). Government of the State of Pernambuco. Recife; 2017. Accessed 21 March 2019. Available: <http://www.apac.pe.gov.br/meteorologia/monitoramento-pluvio.php>.
10. Gomes JS, Lins e Silva ACB, Rodal MJN, Silva HCH. Understorey structure within habitats (edge and forest interior) of two fragments of Atlantic Rainforest, Igarassu, Pernambuco, Brazil. *Rodriguésia.* 2009;60(2):295-310. Portuguese. Accessed 15 March 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860200960205>.
11. Angiosperm Phylogeny Group IV (APG IV). An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 2016;181(1):1-20. Accessed 24 January 2019. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12385>.
12. Brandão CFS, Marangon LC, Ferreira RLC, Lins e Silva ACB. Phytosociological structure and successional classification of arboreous component in a fragment of a Dense Ombrophylous Forest, Igarassu – Pernambuco. *Brazil J Agric Scienc.* 2009;4(1):55-61. Portuguese. Accessed 13 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v4i1a9>.
13. Marangon LC, Soares JJ, Feliciano ALP, Brandão CFS. Natural regeneration in a fragment of a Seasonal Semideciduous forest in Viçosa – Minas Gerais. *Rev. Tree.* 2008;32(1):183-191. Portuguese. Accessed 13 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622008000100020>.
14. Consulting and Development Systems Ltd. (CIENTEC). Software Mata Nativa 4: System for phytosociological analysis, Elaboration of inventories and management plans of native forest. Viçosa - MG: Cientec; 2016.
15. Ediriweera S, Singhakumara BMP, Ashton MS. Variation in canopy structure, light and soil nutrition across elevation of a Sri Lankan tropical rain forest. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 2008;256(6):1339-1349. Accessed 12 February 2019. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.06.035>.
16. Cadotte MW, Franck R, Reza L, Lovett-Doust J. Tree and shrub diversity and abundance in fragmented littoral forest of southeastern Madagascar. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 2002;11(8):1417-1436. Accessed 27 February 2019. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016282023542>.
17. Sothers CA, Prance GT, Chase MW. Towards a monophyletic *Licania*: a new generic classification of the polyphyletic Neotropical genus *Licania* (Chrysobalanaceae). *Kew Bull.* 2016;71(58):1-68. Accessed 25 January 2019. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12225-016-9664-3>.

18. Lorenzi H. Brazilian trees: identification and cultivation of tree plants in Brazil. 3rd ed, 2nd Vol. Nova Odessa: Plantarum; 2009.
19. Quero JL, Villar R, Marañón T, Murillo A, Zamora R. Plastic response to light and water in four Mediterranean *Quercus* species (Fagaceae). Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 2008;81(3):373-386. Spanish. Accessed 27 January 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0716-078X2008000300006>.
20. Paradizo IC, Macieira BPB, Gama VN, Zanetti LV, Cuzzuol GRF. Plasticidade fenotípica como indicador de arbóreas não pioneiras mais tolerantes à elevada luminosidade. Pesqui Florest Bras. 2015;35(84):359-369. Portuguese. Accessed 30 January 2019. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4336/2015.pfb.35.84.927>.
21. Lima RBA, Marangon LC, Freire FJ, Feliciano ALP, Silva RKS. Regenerative potential of arboreal species in a fragment of the Atlantic Forest, Pernambuco, Brazil. Rev. Green. 2017;12(4):666-673. Portuguese. Accessed 13 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18378/rvads.v12i4.5002>.
22. Alves Junior FT, Ferreira RLC, Silva JAA, Marangon LC, Costa Júnior RF. Diametric structure of a fragment of Atlantic Rain Forest in sugarcane plantation, Catende, Pernambuco State, Brazil. Brazil J Agric and Environm Eng. 2009;13(3):328-333. Portuguese. Accessed 05 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662009000300015>.
23. Silva RKS, Feliciano ALP, Marangon LC, Lima RBA. Floristics and ecological succession of the arboreal vegetation in the spring area of an Atlantic forest fragment, Pernambuco, Brazil. Brazil J Agric Scienc. 2010;5(4):550-559. Portuguese. Accessed 01 March 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v5i4a829>.
24. Holanda AC, Feliciano ALP, Marangon LC, Santos MS, Melo CLSMS, Pessoa MML. Edge effect on the structure of tree species in a Seasonal Forest fragment in Pernambuco. Rev. Tree. 2010;34(1):103-114. Portuguese. Accessed 05 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622010000100012>.
25. Watzlawick LF, Albuquerque JM, Redin CG, Longhi RV, Longhi SJ. Structure, diversity and spatial distribution of trees in the Araucaria Forest in faxinal system, Rebouças (PR). Ambiência. 2011;7(3):415-427. Portuguese. Accessed 23 February 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5777/ambiencia.2011.03.01>.
26. Cervi AC, Hatschbach GG, Von Linsingen L. Floristic composition of an area of Lowland Ombrophilous Dense Forest (Atlantic Forest) in Sapitanduva Ecological Reserve (Morretes, Paraná, Brazil). Fontqueria, 2007;55(52):423-438. Portuguese.
27. Sawczuk AR, Figueiredo Filho A, Dias NA, Watzlawick LF, Stepka TF. Changes in the structure and floristic diversity in the period 2002-2008 of a Montane Mixed Ombrophilous Forest of the Center-south of Paraná, Brazil. Forest. 2012;42(1):1-10. Portuguese. Accessed 05 February 2019. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5380/ff.v42i1.26286>.
28. Callegaro RM, Andrzejewski A, Longhi SJ, Longhi RV, Biali LJ. Composition of successional categories in the horizontal, vertical and diametric structures of a Montane Mixed Ombrophilous Forest. Brazil J Agric Scienc. 2016;11(4):350-358.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Relation of the shrub-arboreal species sampled in the edge (E) and interior (I) environments of Urban Forest Reserve Mata of Manassu in Jaboatão dos Guararapes, Pernambuco, Brazil.

Family	Species	Number of individuals	SC	E	I
Anacardiaceae	<i>Mangifera indica</i> L. (**)	2	IS		X
	<i>Tapirira guianensis</i> Aubl.	65	IS	X	X
	<i>Thyrsodium spruceanum</i> Benth.	120	IS	X	X
Annonaceae	<i>Anaxagorea dolichocarpa</i> Sprague & Sandwith	63	LS		X
	Annonaceae 1	2	UN	X	X
	Annonaceae 2	11	UN	X	
	<i>Cymbopetalum brasiliense</i> (Vell.) Benth. ex Baill.	7	LS		X
	<i>Guatteria pogonopus</i> Mart.	49	LS	X	X
	<i>Guatteria schomburgkiana</i> Mart.	1	LS		X
	<i>Xylopia frutescens</i> Aubl.	6	IS	X	X
Apocynaceae	<i>Aspidosperma discolor</i> A. DC.	8	IS	X	
	<i>Aspidosperma spruceanum</i> Benth. ex Müll.Arg.	1	IS	X	
	<i>Himatanthus bracteatus</i> (A. DC.) Woodson	22	IS	X	X
	<i>Tabernaemontana flavicans</i> Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.	6	IS	X	X
Araliaceae	<i>Schefflera morototoni</i> (Aubl.) Maguire et al.	11	IS	X	X
Boraginaceae	<i>Cordia nodosa</i> Lam.	177	IS	X	X
	<i>Cordia sellowiana</i> Cham.	1	IS	X	
Appendix 1. To be continued...					
Appendix 1. To be continued...					
Family	Species	Number of individuals	SC	E	I
Bursaceae	<i>Protium aracouchini</i> (Aubl.) March.	15	LS	X	X
	<i>Protium giganteum</i> Engl.	16	LS	X	X
	<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i> (Aubl.) Marchand	77	IS	X	X
Celastraceae	<i>Maytenus distichophylla</i> Mart. ex Reissek	18	LS	X	X
Chrysobalanaceae	<i>Couepia rufa</i> Ducke	1	LS	X	
	<i>Hirtella racemosa</i> Lam.	136	IS	X	X
	<i>Leptobalanus octandrus</i> (Hoffmanns. ex	10	IS	X	X

	Roem. & Schult.) Sothers & Prance (****)				
	<i>Licania kunthiana</i> Hook. f.	1	LS		X
Clusiaceae	<i>Clusia nemorosa</i> G. Mey.	3	LS	X	
	<i>Symphonia globulifera</i> L.f.	114	IS	X	X
	<i>Tovomita brevistaminea</i> Engl.	7	LS	X	X
Elaeocarpaceae	<i>Sloanea garckeana</i> K.Schum.	2	LS	X	X
	<i>Sloanea guianensis</i> (Aubl.) Benth.	1	LS		X
Erythroxylaceae	<i>Erythroxylum citrifolium</i> A.St.-Hil.	94	LS	X	X
	<i>Erythroxylum mucronatum</i> Benth.	217	LS	X	X
	<i>Erythroxylum squamatum</i> Sw.	137	LS	X	X
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Mabea piriri</i> Aubl.	48	IS	X	X
Fabaceae	<i>Abarema cochliacarpus</i> (Gomes) Barneby & J.W. Grimes (***)	2	IS	X	X
	<i>Abarema</i> sp.	5	UN	X	
	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i> L. (**)	1	IS		X
	<i>Albizia pedicellaris</i> (DC.) L.Rico	23	PI	X	X
	<i>Andira fraxinifolia</i> Benth. (***)	11	LS	X	X
	<i>Bowdichia virgilioides</i> Kunth	1	LS		X
	<i>Chamaecrista ensiformis</i> (Vell.) H. S. Irwin & Barneby (***)	4	IS	X	
	<i>Dialium guianense</i> (Aubl.) Sandwith	8	LS	X	X
	Fabaceae 1	1	UN		X
	Fabaceae 2	6	UN	X	
	<i>Inga capitata</i> Desv.	4	IS	X	X
	<i>Inga ingoides</i> (Rich.) Willd.	1	LS		X
	<i>Inga thibaudiana</i> DC.	57	IS	X	X
	<i>Parkia pendula</i> (Willd.) Benth. ex Walp.	40	LS	X	X
	<i>Plathymentia reticulata</i> Benth. (***)	7	IS	X	X

Appendix 1. To be continued...

Appendix 1. To be continued...

Family	Species	Number of individuals	SC	E	I
	<i>Swartzia pickelii</i> Ducke	2	LS		X
	<i>Tachigali densiflora</i> (Benth.) L.G.Silva & H.C.Lima (***)	20	PI	X	X
Hypericaceae	<i>Vismia guianensis</i> (Aubl.) Choisy	4	PI	X	
Uncharacterized	Uncharacterized 1	6	UN	X	
	Uncharacterized 2	1	UN	X	
	Uncharacterized 3	1	UN		X

	Uncharacterized 4	1	UN		X
Lacistamataceae	<i>Lacistema robustum</i> Schnizl. (***)	79	IS	X	X
Lauraceae	Lauraceae 1	1	UN		X
	Lauraceae 2	8	UN		X
	<i>Nectandra cuspidata</i> Nees	5	LS		X
	<i>Ocotea glomerata</i> (Nees) Mez	32	IS	X	
	<i>Ocotea longifolia</i> Kunth	3	IS	X	
Lecythidaceae	<i>Eschweilera apiculata</i> (Miers) A.C.Sm.	3	LS	X	
	<i>Eschweilera ovata</i> (Cambess.) Mart. ex Miers	440	IS	X	X
	<i>Lecythis pisonis</i> Cambess.	3	LS	X	
Malphigiceae	<i>Byrsonima sericea</i> DC.	7	IS	X	
Malvaceae	<i>Eriotheca macrophylla</i> (K.Schum.) A.Robyns	1	IS		X
Melastomataceae	<i>Henriettea succosa</i> (Aubl.) DC.	19	IS	X	X
	<i>Miconia albicans</i> (Sw.) Steud.	1	PI	X	X
	<i>Miconia affinis</i> DC.	8	PI	X	
	<i>Miconia ciliata</i> (Rich.) DC.	9	PI	X	
	<i>Miconia holosericea</i> (L.) DC.	7	PI	X	X
	<i>Miconia minutiflora</i> (Bonpl.) DC.	5	IS	X	
	<i>Miconia prasina</i> (Sw.) DC.	154	PI	X	X
	<i>Miconia</i> sp.	24	UN	X	
	<i>Miconia tomentosa</i> (Rich.) D.Don (***)	6	IS		X
Meliaceae	<i>Guarea guidonia</i> (L.) Sleumer	2	LS		X
Moraceae	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam. (**)	133	IS		X
	<i>Brosimum guianense</i> (Aubl.) Huber	141	IS	X	X
	<i>Brosimum rubescens</i> Taub.	84	LS	X	X
	<i>Helicostylis tomentosa</i> (Poepp. & Endl.) Rusby (***)	62	IS	X	X
Appendix 1. To be continued...					
Appendix 1. To be continued...					
Family	Species	Number of individuals	SC	E	I
	<i>Sorocea hilarii</i> Gaudich.	7	IS	X	
Myristicaceae	<i>Virola gardneri</i> (A. DC.) Warb.	1	LS		X
Myrtaceae	<i>Campomanesia dichotoma</i> (O.Berg) Mattos	1	IS		X
	<i>Eugenia candolleana</i> DC.	8	LS	X	X
	<i>Eugenia tumescens</i> B.S.Amorim & M.Alves	8	IS	X	
	<i>Eugenia umbrosa</i> O. Berg	7	IS	X	X

	<i>Myrcia guianensis</i> (Aubl.) DC.	48	IS	X	X
	<i>Myrcia</i> sp.	2	UN	X	
	<i>Myrcia spectabilis</i> DC.	86	IS	X	X
	<i>Myrcia splendens</i> (Sw.) DC.	8	IS	X	X
	<i>Myrcia sylvatica</i> (G.Mey.) DC.	10	IS	X	X
	<i>Myrcia tomentosa</i> (Aubl.) DC.	16	IS	X	
	Myrtaceae 1	1	UN	X	
	Myrtaceae 2	45	UN	X	X
Nyctaginaceae	<i>Guapira nitida</i> (Mart. ex J.A.Schmidt) Lundell	4	IS		X
	<i>Guapira opposita</i> (Vell.) Reitz	33	IS		X
	<i>Guapira</i> sp.	1	UN		X
Ochnaceae	<i>Ouratea polygyna</i> Engl.	1	IS	X	
	<i>Ouratea</i> sp.	3	UN		X
Peraceae	<i>Pera glabrata</i> (Schott) Poepp. ex Baill.	20	IS	X	X
	<i>Pogonophora schomburgkiana</i> Miers ex Benth.	10	LS	X	X
Phyllanthaceae	<i>Hyeronima oblonga</i> (Tul.) Müll.Arg.	47	IS	X	X
	<i>Phyllanthus</i> sp.	10	UN	X	
Primulaceae	Primulaceae 1	2	UN	X	
Rubiaceae	<i>Posoqueria latifolia</i> (Rudge) Schult.	8	IS		X
	<i>Psychotria carthagenensis</i> Jacq.	189	IS	X	X
Salicaceae	<i>Casearia javitensis</i> Kunth	76	IS	X	X
	<i>Casearia sylvestris</i> Sw.	2	IS		X
Sapindaceae	<i>Cupania racemosa</i> (Vell.) Radlk.	40	IS	X	X
	<i>Talisia coriacea</i> Radlk.	3	LS		X
	<i>Talisia esculenta</i> (A. St.-Hil.) Radlk.	1	IS	X	X
Sapotaceae	<i>Pouteria bangii</i> (Rusby) T. D. Penn.	41	LS	X	
	<i>Pouteria durlandii</i> (Standl.) Baehni	1	LS	X	X

Appendix 1. To be continued...

Appendix 1. To be continued...

Family	Species	Number of individuals	SC	E	I
	<i>Pouteria gardneri</i> (Mart. & Miq.) Baehni	1	LS	X	
	<i>Pouteria nordestinensis</i> Alves-Araújo & M.Alves	6	LS	X	X
	<i>Sarcaulus brasiliensis</i> (A.DC.) Eyma (***)	1	LS		X
Schoepfiaceae	<i>Schoepfia brasiliensis</i> A. DC.	5	IS	X	
Simaroubaceae	<i>Simarouba amara</i> Aubl.	7	IS	X	X

Siparunaceae	<i>Siparuna guianensis</i> Aubl.	197	IS	X	X
Urticaceae	<i>Cecropia pachystachya</i> Trécul	2	PI		X
Violaceae	<i>Paypayrola blanchetiana</i> Tul.	145	LS		X
	<i>Rinorea guianensis</i> Aubl.	13	IS	X	X
		Total number of individuals	4009		

In that: SC = successional classification; PI = pioneer; IS = initial secondary, LS = late secondary or UN = uncharacterized; (**) Exotic species; (***) Threatened species of extinction according to obtained data from the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species TM 2017-2 (The World Conservation Union) (see <http://www.iucnredlist.org/search>); (****) The current nomenclature of species *Licania octandra* (Hoffmanns ex Roem. & Schult.) Kuntze is *Leptobalanus octandrus* (Hoffmanns ex Roem. & Schult.) Sothers & Prance according to new classification of genus *Licania* [17].

UNDER PEER REVIEW