SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_50479
Title of the Manuscript:	A Circular Light Bulb Economy: Framework for Sustainable End-of-Life Management of Modern Light Bulb
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It would be very useful to add in "Abstract" section the purpose, objectives and resuts of the research. The paper has not JEL Classification. It would be very useful to add in the "Introduction" section the purpose, objectives and hypothesis of the research. The authors must present the research hypotheses in the methodology section. The equations should be written using the equation software. The authors must present econometric models with two or three independent variables. The authors must provide the software they have processed and the database they have accessed. I recommend the authors to present the novelty of this research compared to previous research. The authors must present what are the differences between fixed costs and semifixed costs, and the variable costs. The paper is too theoretical one. The period of the research must be extend (2014-2016 is a short period) The authors must develop the conclusions (only one sentence is not enough!!!. Authors should cite papers published in journals indexed in Web of Science such as: Batrancea, I., Batrancea, L., Nichita, A., Gaban, L., Masca, E., Fatacean, G., Moscviciov, A., An econometric approach on production, costs and profit in Romanian coal mining enterprises, Economic Research, 2019, 32(1), pp.1019-1036, ISSN: 1331-677X, 2019, 10.1080/1331677X.2019.1595080 Authors have to follow the guidelines of the journal!!	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the man his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Batrancea loan
Department, University & Country	Babes-Bolyai University, Romania

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

reed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write