



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_45709
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on fumonisins
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Check grammar through the text. Some lines that appear to be incomplete. Sometimes, the review results a bit literal. For example, [1] suggest something and [2] reports ... But I think author's comments should be done, for example, about measures in different parts of the world. Could be the differences justified? A conclusion is needed, What are the main aspects of this work? Future actions or investigation are needed?	
Optional/General comments	Complete MS, sometimes hard to read. I would recommend check grammar and some lines that appear to be incomplete.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javier Rodríguez Villanueva
Department, University & Country	University of Alcalá, Spain