Original Research Article

Ρ	Pharmacological screening for CNS Depression, analgesic and ant
	inflammatory potentials of Sonneratia caseolaris (Linn.) barks in
	different solvent fraction

1 2

> Aims: Barks of *Sonneratia caseolaris* (Linn.) (Sonneratiaceae) were screened for its analgesic, anti-inflammatory and CNS activities by using different solvent systems of various methods.

> Study design: For the purpose of this experiment the extracts were subjected for an *in-vivo* study.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out in August 2014 in the Department of Pharmacy, Southeast University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Methodology : Ethanolic fractions (ETF), ethyl acetate (EAF), chloroform(CLF) and pet ether (PTF) extracts of barks of *S. caseolaris* were used to evaluate the analgesic activity using Acetic acid induced writhing and Formalin test. The same fractions of extracts were evaluated for anti-inflammatory activity using Carrageenan induced hind paw edema model. The CNS depressant activity was evaluated by Hole cross method. Results: The different fractions of extracts produced significant (p<0.05) writhing inhibition in acetic acid induced writhing in mice at dose of 150 and 300mg/kg BW comparable to the standard drug diclofenac sodium at the dose of 10 mg/kg BW and reduced the number of licks induced by formalin in a dose dependent manner. Among these fractions the most potent activity was found in Ethanol fraction of 79.40 % (300 mg/kg) that was almost similar to standard Diclofenac-Na 82.78% (10 mg/kg), then EAF fraction 74.59 % (300 mg/kg) followed by chloroform fraction 59.03% (300 mg/kg) and Pet ether fraction 52.45 %.

In formalin-induced paw licking model, all fractions of *S. caseolaris* showed superior result in the late phase compare to the early phase at 150 and 300 mg/kg p.o. The same ranges of doses of ethanol, pet ether, chloroform and ethyl acetate caused significant (p<0.05) inhibition of carrageenan induced paw edema after 1, 2 or 3 hour in a dose dependent manner. A statistically significantly (p<0.05) decrease in locomotor activity at dose of 150 and 300 mg/kg was also observed.

Conclusion: Our result find out that all the extractives of *S. caseolaris* have noticeable analgesic, anti-inflammatory and CNS depressant activities. The activity can be predictable to the phyto-constituents viz phytosterol ,terpenoids, and flavonoids present in the *S. caseolaris* extracts.

Conclusion: Our result demonstrates that all the extractives of *S. caseolaris* have appreciable antioxidant activities.

12 13

KEYWORDS: Sonneratia caseolaris, Analgesic, Anti-inflammatory, CNS activity.

14

15 **1. INTRODUCTION**

16 Sonneratia caseolaris (L.) (Sonneratiaceae) is such a mangrove plant found widespread in 17 tropical and subtropical tideland. S. caseolaris is a medium-size plant (2-20m hight), 18 evergreen tree with elliptic-oblong leaves (5-9.5cm long) [1-2]. S. caseolaris is reported to 19 have 24 compounds such as nine triterpenoids, eight steroids, three flavonoids and four benzene carboxylic derivatives have been isolated from stems and twigs of medicinal 20 21 mangrove plant S. caseolaris [3]. This plant contains phenolic compound like gallic acid and 22 flavonoids e.g. luteolin and luteolin-7-O-glucoside [4]. It contains alkaloid, tanin, flavonoid, saponin, phytosterol, and carbohydrate[5-6].S. caseolaris to be used in traditional medicine 23 24 systems in several countries, it is used for sprains, swelling helminthiasis, poultices, coughs, 25 hematuria, small pox, astringent, antiseptic, arresting hemorrhage, piles, and also used as 26 remedy to stop blood bleeding [7]. S. caseolaris possessed intestinal a-glucosidase 27 inhibitory property [8] and it has also been reported to be toxic against mosquito larvae [7].

28 So far our knowledge, previously no reports have been found on analgesic, anti-

29 inflammatory and CNS depressant activities of different fractions of this plant.

30 Present study was aimed to explore the analgesic, anti-inflammatory and CNS depressant

31 activities of different fractions based on polarities of Sonneratia caseolaris barks part

32

33 2. METHODS

34 **2.1** Collection, identification and preparation of plant material

The stems [insert the name of the plant] were harvested after identification by an expert taxonomist from the plant growing at Barisal on August 5, 2014. The stems were air dried under shade at room temperature for a period of two weeks in order to avoid solar radiations from altering the API. These stems were spread on plastic bags while avoiding their stacking. Every day we turned these stems upside down so that to favor a homogenous 40 drying process. The dried leaves were ground in a clean electric grinding machine in such a 41 way to obtain a fined powder, which was stored in an airtight container. The total dried 42 powder material was obtained was 600 gm. It was divided equally into four portions and was 43 refluxed with ethanol, ethyl acetate, pet ether and chloroform solvent for three times. The 44 extract was filtered with Whiteman No. 1. filtered paper and the collected filtrate was evaporated in an oven at 50°C. This extract was weighed so that to determine the yield 45 obtained from the initial powder quantity and then stored in an air-tight container for 46 47 subsequent experimental tests.

48 2.2 ANALGESIC ACTIVITY

49 2.2.1 Acetic Acid-Induced Writhing Method for Peripheral Analgesic Assay

50 Experiment for the detection of the peripheral analgesic activity of the bark extracts of S. 51 caseolaris were evaluated by the acetic acid-induced writhing test in mice[8]. Anyway, the 52 abdominal writhing was induced by intraperitoneal injection of acetic acid solution (0.7%) at 53 a dose of 0.1 ml/10 g of body weight to each mouse, a model of visceral pain. An analgesic 54 agent like Diclofenac was used as a standard at an oral dose of 10 mg/kg body weight, and 55 the extract was administered at 150 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg body weight. The standard drug, 56 control (Normal saline solution, 1mg/kg), as well as the extract, were orally administered 30 57 minutes prior to the injection of acetic acid. Each mouse of all groups were observed 58 individually for counting the number of writhing they made in 30 minutes beginning just 5 59 minutes after the intraperitoneal administration of acetic acid solution. Full writhing was not 60 always accomplished by the animal, because sometimes the animals started to give writhing but they did not complete it. This incomplete writhing was considered as half-writhing. 61 62 Accordingly, two half-writhing were taken as one full writhing. The number of writhes in each 63 treated group was compared to that of a control group .The percent inhibition (% analgesic activity) was calculated by the equation $\frac{(A-B)}{A} \times 100$ 64

Where, A= Average number of writhing of the control group; B= Average number of writhingof the test group.

67 2.2.2 Formalin-Induced paw licking Method for Central Analgesic Assay

The formalin-induced method is a popular technique to evaluate analgesic activity in mice described by Achinta [9]. Swiss albino mice (Experimental animals) were selected by randomly and allocated into six groups designated as group-I, group-II, group-III, group-IV, group-V and group-VI, consisting of 3 mice in each group.

72 Twenty micro liters (20 µl) of 1 % formalin was injected intradermally on the plantar surface 73 of the hind paw of each mouse one hour after administration of the test extracts (150 mg /b. 74 w. and 300 mg/b. w.) and also the controls. The time in seconds spent in paw licking as an 75 index of painful response was determined at 0 – 10 min (Early) and 15– 30 min (late phase) 76 after formalin injection. This represent, neurogenic and inflammatory responses, 77 respectively. The total time spent licking or biting the injured paw (pain behavior) was 78 measured with a stop watch. The data were presented as Mean ± S.E.M of time(s) spent in 79 pain behaviour. The mean of time(s) spent in pain behaviour for the extracts were compared 80 with that of the control.

81

82 2.3 ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY

83 2.3.1 Carrageenan Induced Paw Edema Test in Mice

84 Swiss albino mice (25 - 30 g) were divided into six groups of four animals each. The test 85 groups received 150 and 300 mg/kg body weight, p.o. of EA, CLF and PET extracts 86 respectively. The reference group received Indomethacin (10 mg/kg body weight, p. o.) while 87 the control group received 1 ml/kg body weight normal saline. After 30 min, 0.1 ml, 1% carrageenan suspension in normal saline was injected into the subplanatar tissue of the right 88 hind paw. The paw volume was measured at 1, 2, 3 and 4 h after carrageenan injection 89 90 using a micrometer screw gauge. The percentage inhibition of the inflammation was 91 calculated from the formula: % inhibition = $(1-D_t/D_o) \times 100$

- Where, D_o was the average inflammation (hind paw edema) of the control group of mice at a
 given time, D_t was the average inflammation of the drug treated (i.e., extract or reference
 indomethacin) mice at the same time [9].
- 95

96 2.4 CNS DEPRESSION ACTIVITY

97 **<u>2.4.1 Hole cross test</u>**

The method used was described by Takagi *et al* [10]. The animals were divided into control, standard and test groups (n = 4 per group). The control group received vehicle (0.9% saline in water at the dose of 10 ml/ kg) whereas the test group received extract (at the doses of 150 and 300 mg/kg b.w.) and standard group received diazepam at the dose of 1mg/kg body weight orally. Each animal was then placed on one side of the chamber and the number of passages of each animal through the hole from one chamber to the other was recorded for 3 min on 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min during the study period.

105

106 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- 107 Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test and p value of 0.05 was
- 108 considered statistically significant.
- 109 3. RESULT

110 **3.1 Analgesic activity**

111 3.1.1 Acetic Acid Induced Writhing Method

The effect of administration of ETF, EAF, CLF and PTF extracts of *S. caseolaris* are shown in Table 1 by acetic acid induced writhing method. It was found that ETF, EAF, CLF and PTE extracts of *S. caseolaris* significantly inhibited the nociceptive effects induced by acetic acid compared to the control group (saline water) at the doses of 150, 300 mg/kg, respectively (p<0.05). The percentage inhibition of constrictions was calculated. Among these fractions the most potent activity was found in Ethanol fraction of 79.40 % (300 mg/kg) that was almost

- similar to standard Diclofenac-Na 82.78% (10mg/kg), then EAF fraction 74.59% (300 mg/kg)
 followed by chloroform fraction 59.03% (300 mg/kg) and Pet ether fraction 52.45% .From
- 120 this result, it is clear that all the extractives of *S. caseolaris* contain considerable analgesic
- 121 activity.
- 122
- 123
- TABLE 1: Antinociceptive effect of ETF, EAF, CLF and PTF extracts of *S. caseolaris* by acetic acid
 induced writhing method
- 126

127 Values are mean ± SEM, (n = 4), (*) indicates statistically significant compared to vehicle

128 control group (**P*<.05) using one way ANOVA followed by Dunnet test.

Groups	Treatment	Dose	Avg. no. of Writhing	% inhibition
I	Control (Saline)	10ml/kg	24.40 ± 2.13	-
11	Diclofenac-Na	10mg/kg	4.2 ± 1.60*	82.78
111		150	8± 2.12*	60.21
IV	Ethanol fraction	300	5 ± 1.70*	79.40
V		150	7.6 ±1.51*	68.85
VI	Ethyl Acetate Fraction	300	6.2 ±1.63 *	74.59
VII	$\langle \rangle$	150	9.8± 2.05*	59.83
VIII	Chloroform Fraction	300	6.6± 1.67*	72.95
IX		150	14.6± 2.35*	40.16
x	Pet-ether Fraction	300	11.6± 1.06*	52.45



Figure 1: Evalution of analgesic activity of extracts of different solvents fractions of *S. caseolaris* by acetic acid induced writhing method in mice.

133 3.1.2 Formalin Test

129

132

ETF, EAF, CLF and PTF extracts of S. caseolaris showed a dose-related inhibition of 134 135 formalin induced nociception and caused significant inhibition of both neurogenic (0-5 min) 136 and inflammatory (15-30 min) phases of formalin-induced licking test at the doses of 150, 137 300 mg/kg when compared with control group (Saline water) (Table 2 and Table 3). However, 138 its effect was more pronounced in the second phase of this model of pain. Diclofenac 139 sodium(10 mg/kg, i.p.) significantly reduced formalin induced nociception in both phases (p < 140 0.05). Among these fractions, at 300mg/ kg, the most potent activity was found in EAF and 141 CLF which showed highest % of inhibition (72.91%) after standard Diclofenac-Na (77.08%) 142 in late phase. At 300 mg/kg, % of inhibition of PTF was (70.83%) and ETF (66.66%). 143 Table 2: Effects of ETF, EAF, CLF AND PTF extracts of S. caseolaris in the Hindpaw

144 licking in the formalin test in mice (Early phase)

Groups	Treatment	Dose	Late phase	% of protection
I	Control (Saline)	10ml/kg	17.75 ± 1.30	-

11	Diclofenac-Na	10mg/kg	7.4 ± 1.29*	61.05
111	Ethanol	150	10.6 ±1.55*	40.28
IV		300	8.4 ± 52.67*	52.67
V	Ethyl acetate	150	10.8± 1.76*	43.15
VI	nacion	300	9.8 ± 1.64*	50.52
VII	Chloroform fraction	150	7.8 ± 1.38*	58.94
VIII		300	7.6 ± 1.06*	60.94
IX	Pet-ether Fraction	150	9.4 ± 1.51*	50.52
Х		300	8.2± 1.51*	56.84

146

147 Values are mean ± SEM, (n = 4), (*) indicates statistically significant compared to vehicle

148 control group (**P*<.05) using one way ANOVA followed by Dunnet test.



150 Figure 2: Evaluation of % of inhibition of different extract of *S. caseolaris* by Formaline

151 Induced writhing Method. (Early Phase).

152

149

153 Table 3: Effects oF ETF, EAF, CLF and PTF extracts of *S. caseolaris* in the Hindpaw

154 licking in the formalin test in mice (late phase)

Groups	Treatment	Dose	Avg. no. of Writhing	% inhibition
--------	-----------	------	----------------------	--------------

I	Control (Saline)	10ml/kg	9.60 ± 1.30	-
11	Diclofenac-Na	10mg/kg	2.20 ± 1.29*	77.08
111		150	3.20 ±1.76*	66.66
IV	Ethyl Acetate Fraction	300	2.60 ± 1.64*	72.91
V		150	4.00± 1.55*	58.33
VI	Ethanol Fraction	300	3.20 ± 1.72*	66.66
VII		150	3.4 ± 1.06*	64.58
VIII	Pet-ether Fraction	300	2.8 ± 0.66*	70.83
IX		150	3.00 ± 1.38*	68.75
Х	Chloroform Fraction	300	2.60± 1.06*	72.91

155 Values are mean \pm SEM, (n = 4), (*) indicates statistically significant compared to vehicle

156 control group (**P*<.05) using one way ANOVA followed by Dunnet test.

157

158



Figure 03: Evaluation of % of inhibition of different extract of *S. caseolaris* by
 formaline induced writhing method. (Late phase).

- 162
- 163 **3.2 Determination o f Anti-Inflammatory Activity**

164 **3.2.1 Carrageenan Induced Paw Edema in Mice**

The effect of administration of ETF, EAF, CLF and PTF extracts of S. caseolaris are shown 165 166 in Table 04 and Figure 04 by carrageenan induced paw edema test. It was found that ETF, 167 EAF, CLF and PTF extracts of S. caseolaris significantly inhibited oedema diameter compared to the control group (saline water) at the doses of 150, 300 mg/kg, respectively (p 168 <0.0001). Among these fractions the most potent activity was found in pet ether fraction 169 (PTF) showed moderate % of inhibition (37.73%) after standard Indomethacin (62.35%). On 170 171 the other hand, ETF, EAF, CLF showed slight anti-inflammatory activity is measured by 172 considering the % of inhibition.

173

Table 4: Tables are shown of %inhibition of ETF, EAF, CLF AND PTF extracts of S.
 caseolaris. on carrageenan induced paw edema test

Group			Inhibition (%)				
	Treatment	Dose	1h	2h	Зh	4h	
I	Control (Saline)	10ml/kg	4.70±0.11	4.40± 0.09	4.17±0.11	3.75±0.14	
11	Indomethacin	10mg	47.69	51.45	54.76	62.35	
111	ETF Fraction	150	29.29	39.29	41.70	32.70	
IV		300	35.98	43.30	43.12	35.84	
V	EAE Fraction	150	32.22	28.57	30.47	32.40	
VI		300	38.08	31.69	36.19	35.50	

VII	Chloroform Fraction	150	30.13	31.25	32.22	24.52
VIII		300	37.24	35.71	36.49	32.41
IX	Pet-ether Fraction	150	33.05	33.93	41.70	33.94
х		300	35.66	39.73	48.34	37.73

177

178



Figure 4: % of inhibition of different extractives of *S.caseolaris* by carrageenan
induced mice paw edema method.

181 **3.3 Determination of CNS Depressant Activity**

In the hole cross test, extracts of different solvents of *S. caseolaris* doses significantly decreased the number of hole crossed compared to the control group. Extracts of different fractions of *S.caseolari* sexhibited a decrease in the movements of the test animals at all dose levels tested. The depressing effect was moderately intense during the 3rd (90 min) and 4th (120 min) observation periods. The results are shown in table 05 and in figure 05. **Table 05: Determination of volume of CNS depression of mice at different time for different fractions of** *S. caseolaris***.**

			Number of Move	ments			
Group	Treatment	Dose	0 min	30 min	60 min	90 min	120 min

	Control	10ml/kg	16.80 ± 0.962	11.20 ± 2.043	11.60± 2.280	$9.02\pm\ 0.962$	5.40± 0.447
Group-I	(Saline)						
11	Diazepum	10	16.00 ± 0.707	4.40± 0.570*	4.60± 0.274*	3.00 ± 1.612*	1.00 ± 0.097*
111	ETF Eraction	150	10.80± 0.962*	6.00 ± 1.173*	$4.00 \pm 0.612^{*}$	3.00 ± 1.173*	2.60± 0.908*
IV	1 raction	300	4.40 ± 0.570*	5.00 ± 0.935*	$2.80 \pm 0.418^{*}$	1.80 ± 0.0.418*	1.40 ± 0.274*
V		150	10.80± 0.962	6.00 ± 1.173*	4.00 ± 0.612*	3.00 ± 1.173*	2.60± 0.908*
VI	EAFfraction	300	5.00 ± 0.791	2.40 ± 0.274*	1.40 ± 0.274*	1.4o ± 0.247*	1.00 ± 0.224*
VII	Chloroform	150	5.80 ± 0.742	5.60 ± 0.447*	4.60 ± 0.274*	3.60 ± 0.274*	2.00 ± 0.354*
VIII	Fraction	300	4.20 ± 0.418	3.80 ± 0.418*	2.80 ± 0.224*	1.80 ± 0.418*	1.40 ± 0.274*
IX	Pet-ether	150	8.40 ± 0.570	7.00 ± 0.418*	3.80 ± 0.418*	3.00 ± 0.791*	1.40 ± 0.274*
Х	Fraction	300	6.80 ± 0.418	6.00 ± 0354*	2.60± 0.274*	1.80± 0.418*	3.75 ± 2.428*

190 Values are mean ± SEM, (n = 5), (*) indicates statistically significant compared to vehicle

191 control group (**P*<.05) using one way ANOVA followed by Dunnet test.











194 Figure 05: Effect of extract of different solvent fractions of the *S. caseolaris barks* on

195 open field test in mice.

196

197 4. DISCUSSION

198 In this investigation, we made reported the effect of ethanolic extract and different fractions 199 of S. caseolaris on several experimental animal models of pain, inflammation and analgesic 200 as well as CNS activity. The extracts showed remarkably inhibited the nociception produced 201 by Formaline induced writhing and writhing induced by acetic acid; the extracts also crucially 202 attenuated carrageenan-induced mice right hind paw edema. Estimation suggested that the 203 use of acetic acid induced writing and Formaline induced writhing for the evaluation of 204 peripherally and centrally acting analgesic drugs respectively [11-12]. S. caseolaris extracts 205 to prolong the reaction latency to thermally-induced pain in mice as observed in the 206 Formaline induced writhing test suggest central analgesic activity, on the contrary, the acetic 207 acid-induced abdominal constriction method is widespread used for the investigation of 208 peripheral antinociceptive activity [13]. Commonly, stimulating and production of 209 prostaglandins by acetic acid causes writhing or nociception [14]. GDP and AGE receptors 210 are suggested to be partly connected in the abdominal constriction response. Increased 211 levels of PGE2 and PGF2 α in peritoneal fluids as well as lipoxygenase products have associated with prostanoids in general by the method [15-16].As results of the acetic acid-212 213 induced writhing strongly recommend that the action of this extracts is linked partially to 214 LOXs (lipoxygenases) and/or COXs (cyclo-oxygenases) pathways. The S. caseolaris extracts at the doses tested revealed analgesia in both the nociceptive pain models further 215 216 demonstrates that the extract possesses both central and peripherally mediated analgesic 217 activities. Carrageenan induced rat paw edema was commonly used as an experimental 218 animal model for acute inflammation and is believed to be biphasic and Carrageenan model 219 of inflammation is also said to be biphasic. Typically, in the early phase (1-2h), histamine, 220 serotonin and kinninsare released and increased synthesis of prostaglandins in the 221 damaged tissue surroundings in the first or two hours. While the late phase sustained to the 222 release of prostaglandin and mediated by bradykinin, leukotrienes, polymorphonuclear cells, 223 and prostaglandins produced by tissue macrophages [17-18] and release of lysosome enzymes in the second to the third hour [19-20]. The present study, indicates that the 224

225 extracts outstandingly inhibited the carrageenan-induced acute inflammation in the 4th hour 226 of investigation and the discovering was comparable to that of the standard Indomethacin. 227 Therefore, the anti-inflammatory effect of S. caseolaris extracts may be due to its 228 suppressive action on protease or lysosome synthesis or prostaglandin synthesis activity. 229 The different fractions of S. caseolaris exhibited crucial antioxidant activity, which was 230 determined by standard method, catechin was chosen as the reference antioxidant in this 231 investigation. CNS study demonstrated that the different extracts of S. caseolarispossess 232 potent CNS depressant activity in Diazepam induced sleeping time open field models.

233

234 **5. CONCLUSION**

Our study investigation brings out the scientific rationale for the folkloric uses of the plant in the management of inflammation and pain. Even so, further research is needed towards isolation and ascertaining the active principles present in the extracts, which could possibly be explored for pharmaceutical use.

239 COMPETING INTERESTS

240 There are no competing interests.

241 CONSENT: NOT APPLICABLE

- 242 ETHICAL APPROVAL:
- 243 All the experimental mice were treated following the Ethical principles and guidelines for
- scientific experiments on animals (1995) formulated by the Swiss Academy of Medical
- 245 Sciences and the Swiss academy of sciences. The institutional Animal Ethical Committee
- 246 (SEU /IAEC /17-25) of Southeast University Bangladesh approved all experimental rules.
- 247 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable

250 COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used
 for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of
 research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the
 authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these
 products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of
 knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company

257 rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

258

259 6. REFERENCES

- 260 1. Ghani A. Medicinal Plants of Bangladesh. 2nd ed. Dhaka: The Asiatic Society of
- 261 Bangladesh; p. 382; 2003.
- Sadhu SK, Ahmed F, Ohtsuki T, Ishibashi M. Flavonoids from *Sonnerati acaseolaris*.
 Journal of Natural Medicines. 2006 ; 60(3):264-5.
- Tian M, Dai H, Li X, Wang B. Chemical constituents of marine medicinal mangrove plant
 Sonnerati acaseolaris. Chinese journal of oceanology and limnology. 2009;27(2):288.
- 266 4. Wetwitayaklung P, Limmatvapirat C, Phaechamud T. Antioxidant and anticholinesterase
- activities in various parts of sonnerati acaseolaris (L.). Indian journal of pharmaceutical
 sciences. 2013;75 (6):649.
- Prabhu V. Teja, Ravishankar K (2013). Preliminary phytochemical investigation and in
 vitro antimicrobial activity of ethanol extract of Sonneratia apetala plant. International
 Research Journal of Pharmacy. 2013; 4(6): 84-87
- 272 6. Jana H, Mondal KC, Pati BR, Mitra A. Evaluation of anti-infective potential of fruits of
 273 common mangrove tree Sonneratia apetala against some selected pathogenic fungi and
- bacteria. International Journal of Herbal Medicine. 2015; 3(2):34-7.
- 275 7. Devi P, Solimabi W, D'souza L, Kamat SY. Toxic effects of coastal and marine plant
 276 extracts on mosquito larvae. Botanica Marina. 1997; 40(1-6):533-6.

- Winter CA, Risley EA, Nuss GW. Carrageenin-induced edema in hind paw of the rat as
 an assay for antiinflammatory drugs. Proceedings of the society for experimental biology
 and medicine. 1962;111(3):544-7.
- 280 9. Aruoma OI. Free radicals, oxidative stress, and antioxidants in human health and
 281 disease. Journal of the American oil chemists' society. 1998 Feb;75(2):199-212.
- 10. Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. Role of free radicals and catalytic metal ions in human
 disease: an overview. InMethods in enzymology 1990 ;186;1-85. Academic Press.
- 11. Martinez-Cayuela M. Oxygen free radicals and human disease. Biochimie. 1995
 ;77(3):147-61.
- 286 12. Simlai A, Rai A, Mishra S, Mukherjee K, Roy A. Antimicrobial and antioxidative activities
 287 in the bark extracts of Sonneratia caseolaris, a mangrove plant. EXCLI journal.
 288 2014;13:997.
- 13. Tawaha K, Alali FQ, Gharaibeh M, Mohammad M, El-Elimat T. Antioxidant activity and
 total phenolic content of selected Jordanian plant species. Food chemistry.
 2007;104(4):1372-8.
- 14. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods London Chapman and Hall, Ltd. 1973; 49-188.
- 15. Mir, M.A., Parihar, K., Tabasum, U. and Kumari, E.Estimation of alkaloid, saponin and
 flavonoid, content in various extracts of Crocus sativa . Journal of Medicinal Plants
 Studies 2016; 4(5): 171-174.
- 296
- 297 16. Singleton VL, Rossi JA. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic298 phosphotungstic acidreagents. American Journal Enology and Viticulture 1965; 16: 144299 158.
- 300 17. Prieto P, Pineda M, Aguilar M. Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity
 301 through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: specific application to the
 302 determination of vitamin E. Analytical biochemistry. 1999;269(2):337-41.

303	18. Feresin GE, Tapia A, Angel GR, Delporte C, Erazo NB, Schmeda-Hirschmann G. Free
304	radical scavengers, anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of Acaena magellanica.
305	Journal of pharmacy and pharmacology. 2002 ;54(6):835-44.

306 19. Munira MS, Kabir MH, Bulbul IJ, Nesa ML, Muhit MA, Haque I. Pharmacological
307 Activities of Youngia japonica Extracts. Annual Research & Review in Biology.
308 2018;25(5): 1-14.