SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50813
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacological screening for CNS Depression, analgesic and anti-inflammatory potentials of Sonneratia caseolaris (Li
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Linn.) barks in different solvent fraction



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	From your abstract , Thhe conclusive remark, the plant part has remarkable antioxidant capacities Kindly do the total antioxidant capacity assay to ascertain your conclusion The result is mandatory. The activities are predictable to the phyto-constituents—You need also to ascertain this by doing phytochemical analysis which very necessary while you are qualifying medicinal value of a plant	
	Rewrite your abstract afresh not more than 250 words	
	 From your conclusion—235- your are ascertaining ethnopharmacological claims, such statements should be in your abstract. Your conclusion .5. should be Conclusion and Recommendations as per your conclusive wordings. Line-237 should be bioactive constituents NOT principles. Discussion. It should be subjective more to your data analysis findings than evidential report from a reference. Kindly review your discussion it should be 70-80% basing on your scientific data obtained. Clinical trial protocol and ethical principle statements should be well documented in your article. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor Revision comments, Review your grammatical sentences once more.	
	Declaration Statement: I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer	
Optional/General comments		
		•

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ochieng O. Anthony
Department, University & Country	Sumait University, Tanzania

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her