



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50871
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of introducing clinical pharmacy services in a respiratory diseases clinic: a short report from North Cyprus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>) **ART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1) The title should contain the name of the study design. 2) The title should also contain physician perceptions because it was the aim of the study. 3) In the introduction section, line number 21, the author stated MANY STUDIES. However, the author cited only one reference. 4) In the introduction section, the author cited the reference number 7 for two times i.e., in line 34 and 36. It may be enough to cite the reference in line 36 only. 5) The author should report the validity of the questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha value. 6) Mention Institutional Review Board approval number if any. 7) The median age in the results section (line number 142,143) should be reported with Interquartile range 8) In Table1 and Table 2, instead of using the symbol%, name the word Percentage in the column. 9) Organize the Table 5 properly 10) In Table 9, do not abbreviate comfortable as CA, because standard abbreviations are to be used. Or otherwise the author should mention CA as comfortable as a footnote. 11) The figures 2 and figures 3 should be mentioned in the results 12) Name the x-axis and y-axis clearly in the Figure 2. 13) The legend/caption in the figure 3 was not clearly mentioned. Correct it to make it understandable. 14) Uniform Reference format should be followed for all the references in the references section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  Mention the Ethical Committee Approval Number	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Vinodkumar Mugada</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vignan Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, India</b>