SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_51256**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An opinion regarding equivalence testing for evaluating measurement agreement**
Type of the Article	**Opinion Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	From my observation from previous studies in Social and Behvioural Sciences, issues surrounding methodology, mostly the choice of the right statistical tool for hypotheses testing has been a major problem. This paper to some extent has addressed such a problem in t-test and ANOVA but the researcher would have extended the same to other statistical tools for the sake of students and young researchers. However, the paper is recommended to the editor for publication. Thank you	
Minor REVISION comments	The author followed the reference format of the journal, which is also commendable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Dare Ojo OMonijo*
Department, University & Country	*Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria*