

4 **Application of GIS in the assessment of groundwater quality in the Yenagoa watershed of the Niger**
5 **Delta region of Nigeria**

6
7 **ABSTRACT**

8 The spatial variations in groundwater quality in parts of the Yenagoa watershed (YWS) in the Niger Delta Region of
9 Nigeria has been investigated using Geographic Information System (GIS). An understanding of the factors
10 responsible for groundwater vulnerability could facilitate the use of geographic information system in the control
11 and management of groundwater quality. This study is due to the fact that the spatial distribution maps of
12 groundwater quality in the YWS obtained by GIS modeling are not documented. The quality of groundwater
13 accounts for the environmental and human health status of the residents in the YWS. Therefore, twenty (20) water
14 samples obtained from shallow boreholes were analyzed for physicochemical properties. The physicochemical
15 parameters such as pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids, sulphate, nitrate, sodium, chloride, magnesium, total
16 hardness, and iron contents were measured using standard laboratory procedure. Except for the iron content, the
17 results obtained from the physicochemical analyses were within limits of the World Health Organization Standards
18 for drinking water. These results were transformed into spatial distribution maps using GIS modeling and
19 interpretation. The Index Overlay method and Inverse Distance weighted method form component parts of the GIS
20 modeling used in the generation of the spatial distribution maps for each physicochemical parameter. These modeled
21 results were related to the World Health Organization (WHO) Standard for drinking water. The maps generated
22 from GIS modeling indicated zones that were suitable for groundwater extraction as opposed to zones unsuitable for
23 groundwater extraction. In conclusion, 55% of the boreholes in the Yenagoa watershed were affected by high iron
24 content.

25 **Keywords:** Groundwater; geographic information system; inverse distance weighted; physicochemical
26 parameters; Yenagoa watershed; Niger Delta Region
27

28 **1. INTRODUCTION**

29 Water is an important resource which is very important to life. Water occupies 70% of the earth and constitutes 70%
30 body weight of all living organisms. About 97% of water found on earth is salty and only 3% is present as fresh
31 water, from which about 0.6 % constitutes groundwater (Ishaku et al., 2012). The groundwater is highly valued
32 because of self-filtration, purification and some properties not possessed by surface water (Adelana et al., 2008).
33 The Geographic Information System (GIS) and Statistical approaches are regarded as ground-breaking methods in
34 the assessment of groundwater quality (Arulbalaji, et al., 2019). Therefore, GIS is a working tool for data
35 management, data analysis, spatial data display, and non-spatial data analysis.

36 Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are tools that are very efficient in the storage management, and display of
37 spatial data generated for the management of water resources (v et al., 2018). The use of GIS in groundwater

38 resource management is on the increase. In an attempt to emphasize the relevance of GIS in the management of
39 groundwater resources, applications aligned to inverse overlay method using inverse weighted technique has been
40 provided in this study (Tsihrintzis et al., 1996).

41 These attributes when linked together are used in several fields for decision making (Stafford, 1991; Yeung, 2003).
42 The use of GIS technology has significantly increased the assessment of environmental concerns, natural resources,
43 and groundwater. In groundwater research, GIS is mostly used for managing site inventory data, suitability analyses,
44 estimation of groundwater vulnerability in term of contamination, leaching and modeling solute transport,
45 groundwater flow mapping, and modeling and linking of groundwater quality index assessment models. The latter is
46 used with spatial data to create modeling for decision-making systems (Oki et al., 2018; Burrough et al., 2015;
47 Mukate et al., 2019).

48 In this paper, GIS modeling has been used in the characterization of the quality of groundwater in the YWS (Wan
49 Mohtar et al., 2019; Li, et al., 2019). This was based on the physicochemical analysis of the groundwater. The
50 parameters of interest such as pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids, sulphate, nitrate, sodium, chloride,
51 magnesium, total hardness, and iron contents are useful in the understanding of groundwater quality (Nwankwoala
52 et al., 2014). For instance, groundwater with high or low pH outside the limits of the World Health Organization is
53 deleterious to human health (WHO, 2017).

54 Again, groundwater with high total dissolved solids makes the water cloudy and these solids become sources of the
55 bacterial substrate (Nwankwoala, et al., 2011). The knowledge of the concentration of the sulphate in groundwater is
56 an important parameter in groundwater quality assessment (Jasrotia, et al., 2019). An excessive amount of sulphate
57 has severe consequences for human health (Okiongbo et al., 2013). Industrial effluents, fertilizers, and sewage
58 systems generate nitrates to form pollutants. Nitrate present in a groundwater sample signals different sources of
59 pollution. These pollution sources include fertilizers used in subsistent agriculture in rural areas. In urban areas, the
60 sources are from water derived from sewage (Oki et al., 2017).

61 The presence of even a trace of nitrate indicates sewage contamination. The concentration of chloride varies in natural
62 waters which is related to mineral content in water. It is a common knowledge that seawater contains extremely very high
63 amounts of chloride and coastal aquifers which suffer from seawater intrusion will show the abnormal concentration of
64 chloride. Pollution from industrial effluents can be a source of elevated chloride concentration in the industrial areas (Udom
65 et al., 2008).

66 The element iron is an essential supplement in human nutrition. Within the study area, the groundwater is essentially
67 rich in total iron due to the natural presence of pyrite. The oxidation of pyrite found in the groundwater leads to the
68 corrosion of steel pipes. The (WHO, 2017) state the stipulated limit of iron as 0.3 mg/L. Therefore, in this study, a
69 combination of physicochemical parameters of groundwater and GIS application in the modeling of the results has
70 provided a framework for the delineation of potable and non-potable water in the Yenagoa watershed.

71 **1.1. Physiography and geology of the area**

72 The area selected for this study is situated in the central Niger Delta sedimentary basin of Southern Nigeria (Figure1). The
73 area lies within Latitude 503'30"N - 4068'30"N and Longitude 6015'0"E - 6021'0"E. The area has a good road network that
74 links to component parts of the study area. The topography of the area is low-lying with a maximum of 40m elevation. The

75 study area which falls within the South-Western flank of the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria has been geologically described
76 by Reyment (2018).

77 The Niger Delta Basin was formed by a failed rift (Aulacogen) junction at the pulling apart of the South American plate
78 from the African plate. The rifting in the basin was initiated during the late period of the Jurassic and terminated in the
79 period of the mid-Cretaceous. Several faults occur which are more of thrust faults. The delta covers a land area in excess of
80 105,000 km² (Reijers, 2011).

81 These structures are facies of the pro-delta Akata Formation, facies of the Agbada Formation which constitute a paralic
82 delta front. The Benin Formation constitute a continental delta top facies. The Akata Formation is the basal
83 lithostratigraphic unit found in the Niger Delta Region, ranging from Paleocene to Holocene age (Reyment, 2018; Etu-
84 Efeotor, 1997).

85 Its marine pro-delta mega facies are composed of thick shales, turbidite sands, and small amounts of silt and clay. The
86 Akata formation is made up of high pressure, low density, deep marine deposits consisting of plant relics near the contact
87 with overlying Agbada formation. The planktonic foraminifer may account for over 50 percent of the rich microfauna and
88 benthonic assemblage (Chukwu, 1991).

89 This assemblage indicates a shallow marine shelf depositional environment (Adewoye et al.,2015). The streak of sand and
90 silt have been deposited at the high energy delta advanced into the sea. The approximate range of thickness is from 0-6000
91 meters. The formation crops out subsea at the outer delta area and is not visible at the shore (Etu-Efeotor, 1997; Egirani and
92 Wessey, 2015).




Figure 1: Study Area map showing Borehole location

93

94

95

96 **2. METHODOLOGY**

97

98 2.1. Data Collection and analysis

99 The primary data was recalled from fieldwork. This primary data included groundwater taken from existing

100 boreholes for physicochemical analysis. A total of twenty (20) samples of the groundwater were taken (Fig.1) using
101 polypropylene plastic bottles. These water samples were taken after a one-minute pre-pumping activity.

102 This action was taken to homogenize the water sample and minimize the impacts of rust contained in the pipes. The
103 pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) were determined on site using portable pH, and
104 Electrical Conductivity electrodes (Oakton), Total Dissolved Solid meter (HANNA) respectively.

105 For the analysis of metals contained in the water, the samples of water were acidified using nitric acid (50 % v/w) of
106 pH<2. The samples of water were kept in ice cool condition and carried to the laboratory for further chemical
107 analysis. The major elements namely Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Na^+ , and K^+) were analysed using an Atomic Absorption
108 Spectrometer (AAS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific M series), and the major anions namely Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- were analyzed
109 using ion chromatograph (Dionex). The bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) was determined by titrimetric method
110 (APHA,2017) .

111 The geographical locations of the boreholes in the study area were determined by using a handheld global
112 positioning system (GPS) instrument GARMIN GPS-60 receiver. The obtained data were in a non-spatial database
113 form. Herein, they were arranged in excel system and related to the spatial data option provided in ArcMap. Both
114 spatial and non-spatial data set were integrated to generate thematic maps of the groundwater.

115 For spatial interpolation, inverse distance weighted approach in GIS was used to delineate the distribution of natural
116 and subsurface anthropogenic groundwater contaminants. An indiscriminate method of statistical sampling was used
117 to study the spatial spread of the groundwater quality parameters (Rangzan, 2008). Consequently, the map of the
118 Yenagoa watershed was gridded using cells of 250m x 250m to ensure that samples collected are evenly spread in
119 the study area.

120 Subsequently, the results from the chemical laboratory analysis were inputted into an excel spreadsheet and
121 imported into a GIS environment to produce a spatial distribution map for each of the water quality parameters.
122 These maps were compared with standards. The outcome of the GIS modeling applied for the spatial study are
123 provided (Figure 2). The processes for the spatial study are provided in the workflow diagram (Fig. 2).

124

125 2.1 GIS Analysis using Index Overlay Method

126 The GIS application was used to analyze all data layers through the process called "Overlay". The spatial technique
127 consists of the application of Index Overlay for the superposition of one layer upon another using a thematic
128 scheme, thus producing a new layer. In this study, the map classes generated on each added map were designated to
129 different value scores and the maps were provided with different weightages (Mageshkumar et al., 2019).

130 The weighted overlay method tool is the most used novel approach for overlay analysis. This method is used to
131 detect and solve multi-criteria problems as site suitability models and selection. In this study, the input layers
132 considered for the analysis of groundwater suitability were the pH, Total Hardness (TH), Total Dissolved Solids
133 (TDS), Sodium (Na^+), Nitrate (NO_3^-), Chloride (Cl^-), Conductivity, Sulphate (SO_4^{2-}), Magnesium (Mg^{2+}), and Iron
134 (Fe^{2+}) contents.

135 The score reading for all parameter classes for each map was assigned along with the map weightages entered as
136 attribute data.

137

138

139

140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168




Fig. 2: Workflow Diagram of the study

170 3.1. Physicochemical Properties of Groundwater

171 The pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids, sulphate, nitrate, and sodium contents of the groundwater in the YWS
172 were within limits recommended by WHO. The sulphate content in the samples ranged from 2.32 mg/L to 4.84
173 mg/L.

174 There is a strong suggestion of the reduction of sulphate thus promoting corrosion of sewers. The nitrate
175 concentration from the analysis ranged between 0.12 mg/L and 0.33 mg/L. Thus, this range is within the safe limits
176 provided by WHO. For potable water, the concentration of nitrates should be less than 50 mg/L (WHO 2011). The
177 sodium concentration in the area ranged from 3.75 mg/L to 18.68 mg/L.

178 Herein, the sodium concentration is within the safe limits provided by the WHO (i.e. below 200 mg/L). There is
179 minimal or no intrusion of saline water in the study area. In another form, there is minimal or no ingress of
180 domestic and industrial wastewater into the groundwater.

181 The chloride content in the area ranged from 11 mg/L to 62 mg/L. Again, this range is within the limits
182 recommended by WHO. In addition, low sodium and chloride contents are good indications of low rock salt in the
183 study area. The total iron content in the groundwater ranges from 0.13 mg/L to 0.39mg/L. This upper value is
184 above the safe limits recommended by WHO. Thus, the use of this groundwater without iron treatment is
185 deleterious to health.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

186 **Table 1: Showing Physicochemical Parameter of Groundwater**

Latitude	longitude	Sample Code	Community	pH	Conductivity S/m	TDS ppm	No ₃ ppm	Cl ppm	SO ₄ ppm	TH	Mg ppm	Na ppm	Fe ppm
4.983667	6.276111	BH1	Akaibiri	6.14	285	142	0.218	14	2.48	17	2.87	5.48	0.31
4.987861	6.275722	BH2	Akaibiri	6.59	355	178	0.231	20	3.5	34	3.54	7.6	0.364
5.000389	6.279556	BH3	Gbarantoru	6.01	420	210	0.31	20	4	52	4.2	6.5	0.136
4.999861	6.280667	BH4	Gbarantoru	5.97	583	292	0.318	34	4.8	48	5.68	9.45	0.142
4.999656	6.279361	BH5	Gbarantoru	5.96	363	182	0.22	20	3.85	36	2.53	6.84	0.36
4.999222	6.2785	BH6	Gbarantoru	5.92	364	182	0.23	30	3.64	30	4.86	8.35	0.132
5.004056	6.294028	BH7	Gbarantoru	6.15	310	155	0.197	12	3	26	2.25	5.42	0.38
5.032306	6.312556	BH8	Ogbuna	6.49	379	189	0.271	13	4.3	43	2.84	5.46	0.348
5.033528	6.311917	BH9	Ogbuna	6.35	304	152	0.176	14	2.34	27	3	4.96	0.186
5.034	6.311778	BH10	Ogbuna	6.52	279	140	0.185	11	2.97	30	2.56	3.75	0.36
5.033361	6.311056	BH11	Ogbuna	6.08	285	143	0.121	12	2.58	21	2.58	4.34	0.272
5.038194	6.323444	BH12	Okolobiri	6.15	382	191	0.278	62	4.84	43	10.72	18.68	0.188
5.038	6.319889	BH13	Okolobiri	5.99	457	274	0.328	16	4.75	44	3.52	7.48	0.174
5.035417	6.321361	BH14	Okolobiri	6.6	348	174	0.281	12	3.84	41	2.84	4.72	0.328
5.034306	6.318833	BH15	Okolobiri	6.83	298	199	0.217	12	3.76	35	1.78	5.46	0.146
5.03425	6.31789	BH16	Okolobiri	6.62	306	153	0.227	13	4	35	2.1	4.8	0.346
4.996806	6.262944	BH17	Tombia	6.24	436	218	0.29	14	3.46	45	3	5.75	0.33
5.001417	6.263	BH18	Tombia	6.08	307	154	0.214	21	3.2	22	4.34	6.58	0.39
5.000861	6.265528	BH19	Tombia	6.1	376	188	0.245	32	4	19	5.63	9.36	0.136
5.000639	6.266833	BH 20	Tombia	5.67	357	178	0.235	33	3.85	10	5.82	9.65	0.382
		Min		5.67	279	140	0.121	11	2.34	10	1.78	3.75	0.132
		Max		6.83	583	292	0.328	62	4.84	52	10.72	18.68	0.39
		Mean		6.223	359.7	184.7	0.2396	20.75	3.658	32.9	3.833	7.0315	0.2705
		WHO (2011)		6.8 -8.5	1000	500	50	250	100	100	10	200	0.3

187

188







Figure 3a: Spatial distribution of groundwater







Figure 3b: Spatial distribution of groundwater

231

232

233

234

235



236

237

238

239

240



241

Figure 3c: Spatial distribution of groundwater

UNDER PEER

242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268

3.2. GIS Analysis using Index Overlay Method

The GIS application using the Index Overlay method provided a set of map classes occurring on each input. These maps have been assigned to different value scores and the maps have been provided with different weights. This method has detected and solved multi-criteria problems in the selection of suitable sites for groundwater mapping in the study area. Water suitability means area that are good for drinking

The physicochemical parameters of pH, Total Hardness (TH), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Sodium (Na^+), Nitrate (NO_3^-), Chloride (Cl^-), Conductivity, Sulphate (SO_4^{2-}), Magnesium (Mg^{2+}), and Iron (Fe^{2+}) contents have greatly supported the outcome of the groundwater mapping. This is due to the fact that the score reading for all parameter classes for each map was assigned along with the map weightings entered as attribute data.

The spatial maps based on weightage and class are provided.

$$M1 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (pH)}]$$

$$M2 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (Conductivity)}]$$

$$M3 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (TDS)}]$$

$$M4 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (TH)}]$$

$$M5 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (Na}^+)]$$


$$M6 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (Mg}^{2+})]$$


$$M7 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (NO}_3^-)]$$

$$M8 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (Cl}^-)]$$

$$M9 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (SO}_4^{2-})]$$

$$M10 = \text{Weightage} * [\text{class (Fe}^{2+})]$$





269
270
271
272

Fig.4:Ground water quality Suitability map

273 **4. CONCLUSION**

274 This study has successfully investigated the use of GIS indexing overlay method to characterize areas suitable for
275 potable groundwater extraction in the Yenagoa watershed of the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. The
276 physicochemical analysis of the groundwater provided a framework for the required parameters for the spatial
277 analysis. The spatial analysis and interpretations of groundwater quality modeling were successfully demonstrated
278 using GIS and statistical methods and also compared with WHO standard for drinking.

279 These were the powerful tools used in the evaluation, description of spatial analysis, and mapping of groundwater
280 characteristics models (Rossetto et al., 2018). The estimated water quality indices demonstrate that the groundwater
281 in the YWS possesses suitable zones for groundwater extraction and unsuitable zones that should be avoided. These
282 areas have been delineated by producing different spatial extent maps (figure 3a, 3b, and 3c).

283 Herein, the study offers the required information for the Local, Regional, and International Organizations to pursue
284 the sustainable control and management of groundwater resources. The spatial distribution results of groundwater
285 quality in the Yenagoa watershed indicated the presence of high iron content in some areas. As provided in Figure 4,

286 45% of the boreholes analyzed provides non-potable groundwater. Therefore, this study provides information on
287 groundwater quality and the potential water crisis that may exist in the YWS.

288

289

290 **References**

- 291
- 292 R.A. Reyment (2018). Ammonitologistsensulattissimo and founder of Cretaceous Research Bengtson, P. Cretaceous
293 Research-1926-2016,88, 5-35.
- 294
- 295 American Public Health Association (APHA). (2017). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste
296 water. 23rd Edition, 1504pp.
- 297 Wan Mohtar, W.H.M., Abdul Maulud, K.N., Muhammad, N.S., Sharil, S., Yaseen, Z.M. (2019) Spatial and temporal risk
298 quotient-based river assessment for water resources management, Environmental Pollution 248, pp. 133-144.
- 299
- 300 Mukate, S., Wagh, V., Panaskar, D., Jacobs, J.A., Sawant, A. (2019). Development of new integrated water quality index
301 (IWQI) model to evaluate the drinking suitability of water, Ecological Indicators 101, pp. 348-354
- 302
- 303 Adewoye, O., Amigun, J.O., Afuwai, C.G. (2015). Lithostratigraphic interpretation and seismic attributes analysis
304 for reservoir characterization in some parts of Niger delta, Petroleum and Coal, 57(1), pp. 76-84.
- 305
- 306 PA. Burrough, RA. McDonnell, CD. Lloyd (2015). Principles of Geographical Information Systems Oxford: Oxford
307 University Press, p. 352.
- 308
- 309 VA. Tsihrintzis, R Hamid, H R. Fuentes (1996). Use of Geographic Information Systems (Gis) In Water Resources:
310 A Review. Water Resources Management, 10: 251-277.
- 311
- 312 DE. Egirani1, N Wessey (2015), Study of water resources in parts of the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria: paper II,
313 International Journal of Multidisciplinary Academic Research, 3(4), 67-82.
- 314
- 315 Etu–Efeotor, JO. (1997), Fundamentals of petroleum geology. Paragraphic publications, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, 135pp.
- 316
- 317 Li, Y., Wang, F., Feng, J, Qu, W.-Y., Xie, S.-L. (2019). Long term spatial-temporal dynamics of fluoride in sources
318 of drinking water and associated health risks in a semiarid region of Northern China, Ecotoxicology and
319 Environmental Safety, 171, 274-280.
- 320
- 321 Ishaku JM, Ahmed AS, Abubakar MA. (2012). Assessment of groundwater quality using Water Quality Index and
322 GIS in Jada, Northeastern Nigeria. International Research Journal of Geology and Mining. 2012; 2(3): 54 – 61.
- 323
- 324 Adelana, S.M.A., Olasehinde, P.I., Bale, R.B., Edet, A.E., Goni, I.B. (2008). An overview of the geology and hydrogeology
325 of Nigeria, Applied Groundwater Studies in Africa pp. 171-197.
- 326
- 327 Arulbalaji, P., Padmalal, D., Sreelash, K. (2019) GIS and AHP Techniques Based Delineation of Groundwater
328 Potential Zones: a case study from Southern Western Ghats, India, Scientific Reports 9(1), 2082.
- 329
- 330 Nwankwoala HO, Amadi AN, Oborie E, Ushie FA. (2014). Hydrochemical factors and correlation analysis of
331 groundwater quality in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Applied Ecology and Environmental Services, 2(4): 100 –
105.

- 332 Nwankwola, H.O., and Udom, G.J., 2011. Hydrogeochemical evaluation of groundwater in parts of Eastern Niger Delta,
333 Nigeria. *Journal of Academic and Applied Studies*. 1, 33-58.
334
- 335 Oki A.O and EtehD.R (2018). Spatial Groundwater Quality Assessment by WQI and GIS in OgbiaLGA of Bayelsa
336 State, Nigeria, *Asian J. Physical and Chemical Sciences*,(4): 1-12.
- 337 Oki OA, Ombu R. (2017). Implications of seasonal variation on groundwater quality in Yenagoa, Niger Delta,
338 Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Geology and Geophysics*. 5(3): 60 – 66.
- 339 Okiongbo KS, Douglas R. (2013). Hydrochemical analysis and evaluation of groundwater quality in Yenagoa City
340 and environs, Southern Nigeria. *Ife Journal of Science*, 14(2): 209 – 222.
- 341 Rangzan K, CA. (2008). Remote sensing and GIS approach for water-well site selection, Southwest Iran. *Environ. Eng.*
342 *Geoscience*, 315–326
343
344
- 345 Rossetto, R., De Filippis, G., Borsi, I., (...), Criollo, R., Vázquez-Suñé, E. (2018). Integrating free and open source tools and
346 distributed modelling codes in GIS environment for data-based groundwater management, *Environmental Modelling and*
347 *Software* 107, 210-230.
348
- 349 Chukwu, G.A. 1991 The Niger delta complex basin: stratigraphy, structure and hydrocarbon potential *Journal*
350 *of Petroleum Geology*, 14, 211-220
- 351 Jasrotia, A.S., Taloor, A.K., Andotra, U., Kumar, R. (2019). Monitoring and assessment of groundwater quality and its
352 suitability for domestic and agricultural use in the Cenozoic rocks of Jammu Himalaya, India: A geospatial technology
353 based approach, *Groundwater for Sustainable Development* 8, 554-566
354
- 355 Udom, GJ. (2006). Quality status of groundwater in Yenagoa and its environs, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. *J. Sci. Ind. Stud.*
356 2006; 4(1): 45-51.
357
- 358 WHO (World Health Organization) (2017). *Guidelines for Drinking Water*, In: B. Misstear, D. Banks, L. Clark, (eds.).
359 *Water wells and boreholes*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. p.467-472.
- 360 Mageshkumar, P., Subbaiyan, A., Lakshmanan, E., Thirumorthy, P. (2019). Application of geospatial techniques in
361 delineating groundwater potential zones: a case study from South India, *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 12(5),151
362
363