

1 **THE EFFECTS OF FISH POND SEDIMENTS AND COW DUNG ON THE EARLY**
2 **GROWTH OF *Afrormosia elata* HARMS SEEDLINGS.**

3
4
5 **ABSTRACT**

6 More often than not, the emphasis is laid on the essence of employing organic manures for
7 raising plant seedlings and even in improving the nutrient status of their growth media for
8 higher productivity. *Afrormosia elata* has numerous medicinal uses but not very much
9 available. Thus, the study on the effects of fish pond sediments (FPS) and decomposed cow
10 dung (DCD) on the early growth of *A. elata* seedlings was carried out at the nursery 'A' of the
11 Federal College of Forestry, Ibadan, Nigeria. *A. elata* seeds were sown in a finely perforated
12 sieve (filled with washed river sand) and seedlings were pricked – out 2 weeks after seedling
13 emergence into polythene pots with varying levels of FPS and DCD. The experimental design
14 was Completely Randomized Design (CRD) consisting of nine treatments and eight replicates.
15 **Treatments include; T₁(2kg of FPS + 2kg of topsoil); T₂ (2kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil); T₃**
16 **(1.5kg of FPS + 2 kg of topsoil); T₄ (1.5kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil); T₅ (1kg of FPS + 2kg of**
17 **topsoil); T₆ (1kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil); T₇ (500g of FPS + 2 kg of topsoil); T₈ (500g of DCD**
18 **+ 2kg topsoil); and 2kg of topsoil without any treatment served as control). Morphological**
19 **parameters such as seedling height, collar diameter and leaf count as well as leaf biomass were**
20 **assessed and the data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The result**
21 **showed that T₃ (1.5kg FPS + 2Kg TS) had the best performance in height, leaf area and leaf**
22 **biomass with mean values of 11.02cm, 21.65cm² and 1.16g respectively. Though, there were no**
23 **significant differences amongst the growth parameters assessed for this study. But T₃ (1.5kg FPS**
24 **+ 2Kg TS) could be employed in raising the seedlings of this plant for faster growth rate.**

25
26 **Keywords:** *A. elata*, fish pond sediments, cow dung, topsoil, growth
27 parameters.

28
29
30 **INTRODUCTION**

31 Aquaculture has been widely developed in recent years for food security and income generation
32 (Lin and Yi, 2003). Lin and Yakuptiyage (2003) had also reported that successful management
33 of tropical fish pond for biologically optimal fish growth requires the supply of necessary pond
34 inputs including nutrients in a balanced manner via fertilization and supplementary feeding.
35 However, Boyd *et al.*, (2006) stated that the accumulation of the sediments enriched with
36 organic matter and other nutrients is a major concern affecting the intensification and
37 management in ponds. Therefore, maintenance of pond volume and its environment by sediment
38 removal is a helpful practice for profitable fish production. Pond sediments had become a
39 widespread concern but on the contrary, the use of pond sediments in agricultural and forest land

40 as fertilizer supplement and soil conditioner have proved to be the best management option
41 which can be used in raising agricultural crops as well as forest tree species (Rath, 2000).
42 Similarly, urban dwellers are beginning to show more interest in fish farming to improve
43 household nutrition. It is therefore imperative to employ animal wastes such as fish pond
44 sediments and cow dung (as manure) for boosting forest and agricultural crop production.
45 Cow dung is an organic fertilizer that is cheap, popularly used and readily available for use in
46 enhancing soil nutrient status and improving crop yield especially in semi-urban areas (Shahen *et*
47 *al.*, 2010). Akande *et al.*, (2006) described it as a type of farmyard manure which is mainly
48 excreta collected from cattle which can be applied as manure in the formed slurry or dried to
49 improve soil physicochemical properties that are important for plant growth. Moreover, the need
50 to increase the productivity of tree species which has great economic importance and high value
51 in the international market cannot be overemphasized. *Afrormosia elata* (Harms) is one of such tree
52 species that possess these qualities.

53 *A. elata* also is known as *Pericopsis elata* (Harms) It is a leguminous species and belongs to the
54 family Fabaceae. *A. elata* is a gregarious species restricted to the drier part of semi-deciduous
55 forest. It is usually found in Central and West Africa. It is a large tree which may be recognized
56 readily by its bark which flakes - off in thin irregular patches leaving bright reddish colour
57 beneath. It is known for its beautiful colour which ranges from golden to darker brown gradually
58 turning to a deeply rich, walnut-like colour (ITTO, 2005). The seeds of *A. elata*
59 germinate/emerge (as seedlings from seeds) rapidly in about 8 days (Kyereh *et al.*, 1999).
60 Burslen and Miller (2001), reported that under full sunlight, the seedling emergence rate is low
61 and is only about 5% in localities where seedlings receive full sunlight in the morning but better
62 seedlings' growth is optimal when shaded from direct midday sun.

63 **The objective of the study**

64 The study focuses on the evaluation of the effects of fish pond sediments and decomposed cow
65 dung (organic manures) on the early growth rate of *A. elata* seedlings.

66

67 **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

68 This study was conducted at the **greenhouse** of the Federal College of Forestry Ibadan,
69 Nigeria. The college is located at Jericho Quarters in Ibadan North West Local Government
70 Area of Oyo State Nigeria. The area coordinates are latitude **70° 26¹ N** and longitude **30° 36¹**

71 **E.** Regarding the climatic conditions, the area is typically in the rain forest zone, with an
72 annual rainfall of 1,400 mm–1,500 mm, average temperature of about 31.2°C and relative
73 humidity of about 65%. The eco-climate of the area is of two distinctive seasons, the dry
74 season usually commences from November and ends in March and the rainy season goes
75 from April to October (FRIN, 2015).

76 *A. elata* seeds were extracted from its pods and sown directly into a sieve (finely perforated)
77 filled with washed and sterilized river sand. Watering was done daily (morning). After
78 seedling emergence (S.E), 76 seedlings of uniform sizes were selected for further transplanting
79 into already prepared polythene pots with various treatments. Polythene pots of size (23cm x
80 19cm x 13cm; length, breadth and height respectively) were used for the experiment. The
81 experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD). There were 9 treatments and
82 8 replicates. Treatments (T) include; T₁(2kg of FPS + 2kg of topsoil); T₂ (2kg of DCD + 2kg of
83 topsoil); T₃ (1.5kg of FPS + 2 kg of topsoil); T₄ (1.5kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil); T₅ (1kg of FPS
84 + 2kg of topsoil); T₆ (1kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil); T₇ (500g of FPS + 2kg of topsoil); T₈ (500g
85 of DCD + 2kg topsoil); and 2kg of topsoil without any treatment served as control. Growth
86 Parameters were assessed for twelve weeks including seedling height (cm), leaf count, stem
87 diameter (mm), leaf area (cm²) and after the twelfth week; one seedling each were selected at
88 random from each treatment for biomass assessment (g). The selected seedlings for biomass
89 assessment were segmented into stem, leaf and root. Samples were dried and oven-dry weights
90 were obtained. Finally, the data collected were analysed with Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

91

92 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

93 It was observed from the chemical analyses, that cow dung had a higher percentage of
94 nitrogen compared to fish pond sediments with values of 1.34% and 1.15% (respectively).
95 Though, fish pond sediments had higher percentage of phosphorus and potassium
96 (7.34mg/kg and 5.6mg/kg respectively) than cow dung (1.0mg/kg potassium and 1.5mg/kg
97 phosphorus respectively). This corroborated the findings of Nemati *et al.*, (2000) who
98 affirmed the effectiveness of pond sediments as a soil conditioner (Tables 1 and 2 below).

99

100

101

102 Table 1: Chemical analysis of cow dung

<i>Parameters</i>	<i>Quantity</i>
Nitrogen (%)	1.34 mg/Kg
Ca+ + (mg/100g)	2.34 mg/Kg
Fe++ (cmol/Kg)	3.40 mg/Kg
K-M(mg/100g)	1.22 mg/Kg
K (%)	1.4 mg/Kg
C (%)	8.23 mg/Kg
P (%)	1.5 mg/Kg
Na (%)	1.34 mg/Kg
Mg (%)	0.21 mg/kg
Cu (%)	20.4 mg/Kg
Zn (%)	120.6 mg/Kg
Mn (%0	115 mg/Kg

103

104 Table 2: Chemical analysis of fish pond sediments

Parameters	Quantity
PH (H ₂ O)	7.12
C (%)	4.78
T.N (%)	1.15
P (mg/Kg)	5.60
H ⁺	0.30
Particle sizes (%)	
Sand	85.60
Clay	09.00
Silt	05.40
Exchangeable bases (mg/Kg)	
Na	2.28 mg/kg
K	7.34 mg/kg
Ca	2.9 mg/Kg
Mg	1.05 mg/Kg
Micronutrients	
Mn	3.0 mg/Kg
Fe	4.5
Cu	1.0
Zn	1.1

105

106

107

108 Table 3: Soil Physico-chemical analysis of topsoil

Parameters	Quantity
PH	6.65
Organic Matter (%)	4.54

Total Nitrogen (%)	3.12
Average P (ppm)	23.24
K (mg/kg)	5.30
Ca (mg/kg)	6.80
Mg (mg/kg)	1.26
Cu (mg/kg)	0.72
Na (mg/kg)	2.20
Zn (mg/kg)	2.04
Mn (mg/kg)	3.64
Exchange cation (mg/kg)	1.66
ECEC (mg/kg)	23.62

109

110 Table 4: Mean plant height (cm) of *A. elata* seedlings

Trt	Wk2	Wk4	Wk6	Wk8	Wk10	Wk12	Mean
To	8.58	9.72	10.60	11.36	13.60	15.74	10.77
T1	7.72	8.64	9.93	10.64	12.36	14.10	10.04
T2	6.66	8.08	9.08	9.84	11.28	12.56	8.99
T3	7.82	9.07	10.62	11.98	14.40	17.04	11.02
T4	8.03	8.80	9.70	10.35	12.38	15.23	10.20
Ts	8.73	9.68	10.39	11.03	12.83	14.28	10.59
T6	7.15	8.18	9.60	9.93	11.90	13.38	9.36
T7	8.36	9.26	10.48	11.32	12.50	13.74	10.40
T8	7.90	8.90	10.28	11.08	12.32	13.64	10.14

111 *Note: Trt- treatment, wk- week*

112 Table 5: ANOVA Result for Seedling Height

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Treatment	23.91129	8	2.988912	0.497411	0.851572	2.152133
Error	270.402	45	6.008933			
Total	294.3133	53				

113

114 From Table 4, it was observed that T₃ (1.5kg of FPS + 2kg TS) had the overall highest plant
115 height with the mean value of 11.02cm, followed by T₀ (control - 2kg TS only) with the mean
116 value of 10.77cm, while T₂ (2kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil) had the least height with the mean
117 value of 8.99cm. However, in comparison, it was observed that treatment having fish pond
118 sediments in them performed better than those with cow dung and topsoil. This might be due to
119 the fact that fish pond sediments had a higher phosphorus and potassium contents than cow dung
120 hence, as indicated in Tables 1 and 2, thereby improving seedlings growth in addition to the
121 nitrogen content of the topsoil. This corroborated the findings of Rahman and Yakuptiyage

122 (2006), who reported that application of Tilapia pond soil provided the required amount of
 123 phosphorus to *Ipomoea purpurea* (morning glory) plant which significantly improved the soil
 124 aggregate stability and hence supported the plant growth. Though there was no significant
 125 difference among the treatments at 5% probability level (Table 5).

126

127 Table 6: Mean stem diameter (mm) of *A. elata* seedlings

Trt	Wk 2	Wk 4	Wk6	Wk8	Wk10	Wk12	Mean
To	0.80	1.57	1.78	2.02	2.29	2.55	1.61
T1	0.64	1.39	1.72	1.83	1.93	2.33	1.47
T2	0.60	1.30	1.55	1.75	1.90	2.00	1.38
T3	0.79	1.47	1.69	1.92	2.16	2.40	1.52
T4	0.85	1.23	1.42	1.99	2.16	2.29	1.47
T5	0.62	1.43	1.90	2.07	2.35	2.63	1.61
T6	0.72	1.47	1.51	1.92	2.07	2.30	1.49
T7	0.70	1.41	1.69	1.93	2.11	2.35	1.48
T8	0.68	1.39	1.65	1.90	2.07	2.31	1.45

128 *Note: Trt- treatment, wk- week*

129

130 Table 7: ANOVA Result for Stem Diameter

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Treatment	0.471733	8	0.058967	0.168418	0.994041	2.152133
Error	15.7554	45	0.35012			
Total	16.22713	53				

131

132 Table 6 above shows that T₀ (2kg TS) and T₅ (1kg FPS + 2kg TS) had a better performance in
 133 stem diameter with mean value of 1.61 mm, compared others and followed by T₃ (1.5kg FPS +
 134 2Kg TS) with the mean value of 1.52mm, while T₂ (2kg of DCD + 2kg of TS) had the lowest
 135 stem diameter with the mean value of 1.38mm. Furthermore, it was observed that all treatments
 136 having fish pond sediments had better performance when compared with those having cow dung.
 137 This result is therefore in support of the findings by Rahman and Yakupitiyage (2006) who
 138 stated that the addition of fish pond sediments to agricultural soil usually favours the
 139 development of soil structure and root penetration, aeration and water percolation. Thus, the
 140 potential productivity of crop plants is reasonably improved. However, there was no significant
 141 difference among the treatments at 5% probability level (Table 7).

142 Table 8: Mean leaf count of *A. elata* seedlings

Trt	Wk2	Wk4	Wk6	Wk8	Wk10	Wk12	Mean
To	5.20	5.60	6.60	9.20	12.60	16.60	8.20
Ti	4.40	5.80	7.20	9.00	11.40	13.20	7.57
T ₂	2.40	3.40	3.80	6.40	7.80	9.20	4.52
T ₃	3.40	5.80	8.20	8.60	11.60	14.80	7.77
T₄	3.25	5.00	6.75	9.50	12.25	16.75	7.93
T ₅	5.25	6.50	9.00	13.25	15.75	20.50	10.3
T _f	4.00	4.50	5.00	7.75	11.75	13.50	7.00
T _v	3.5	4.00	5.00	6.80	8.20	12.20	6.01
T _s	4.20	5.80	7.00	8.60	10.20	12.60	7.20

143 *Note: Trt- treatment, wk- week*

144 Table 9: ANOVA Result for Leaf Count

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Treatment	145.4298	8	18.17873	1.082811	0.392304	2.152133
Error	755.4804	45	16.78845			
Total	900.9102	53				

145
 146 Table 8 above shows the mean number of leaves of *A. elata* seedlings. The overall best
 147 treatment was T₅ (1kg FPS + 2Kg TS) with the mean value of 10.32, followed by T_o (2Kg TS)
 148 with the mean value of 8.20, while T₂ (2kg CD + 2kg TS) had the lowest leaf count with the
 149 mean of 4.52. Furthermore, it was observed that every treatment having Fish pond sediments in
 150 them performed better compared with those having cow dung, also, this may be due to higher
 151 content of Phosphorus and Potassium in fish pond sediments compared to that of the cow dung
 152 which corroborated the findings of Yang and Hu, (2002) who reported that fish pond sediments
 153 met up with Nitrogen and Potassium requirements for corn growth (Nitrogen from the topsoil
 154 augmented the initial quantity in FPS or DCD. However, there was no significant difference
 155 among the treatments at 5% probability level (Table 9).

156
 157 Table 10: Mean leaf area (cm²) of *A. elata* seedlings

Trt	Wk2	Wk4	Wk6	Wk8	Wk10	Wk12	Mean
To	11.28	14.03	16.62	18.48	21.18	22.66	16.45
T1	12.50	14.27	6.27	18.83	22.47	27.49	17.41
T2	10.37	11.86	14.44	16.62	17.13	10.03	13.26
T3	13.44	17.67	22.10	25.90	28.36	31.88	21.65
T4	14.19	17.1	18.48	20.72	23.40	20.03	17.98
T5	5.54	16.43	18.87	20.69	25.68	29.11	19.72
T6	11.66	15.23	17.49	26.59	28.78	30.41	20.00

T 7	12.49	14.43	17.38	19.57	22.46	24.39	17.28
T8	14.90	14.97	18.49	20.98	23.24	24.75	15.43

Note: Trt- treatment, wk- week

Table 11: ANOVA Result for Leaf Area

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Treatment	380.7743	8	47.59679	1.379712	0.231295	2.152133
Error	1552.393	45	34.49762			
Total	1933.167	53				

Table 10 shows that T₃ (1.5kg FPS + 2Kg TS) had the overall best leaf area with the mean value of 21.65cm², followed by T₅ (1kg FSP + 2Kg TS) with the mean value of 20.00cm² while T₂ (2kg of DCD + 2kg of topsoil) had the lowest leaf area with the mean value of 13.26cm². It was also revealed that treatments with fish pond sediment had better performance compared with those of cow dung. This study also supported the findings of Rahman *et al.*, (2004) who stated that since fish pond sediment can be used in mushroom culture as substrate and in the pasture, fruit orchards and turf grass production etc. and it has the potentials of being utilized in agriculture due to its high nutrient status. Once again, there was significant difference among the treatments at 5% level of probability (Table 11).

Table 12: Mean biomass (g) accumulation of *A. elata* seedlings

Trt	Wk2	Wk4	V/k6	Wk8	Wk10	Wk12	Mean
To	0.50	0.81	1.01	1.19	1.37	1.56	1.07
Ti	0.39	0.40	0.56	0.78	0.99	0.15	0.71
T2	0.37	0.41	0.54	0.70	0.87	0.99	0.65
T3	0.38	0.45	0.69	1.31	1.94	2.17	1.16
T4	0.55	0.62	0.71	1.10	1.48	1.57	1.01
T5	0.27	0.60	0.84	1.34	1.85	2.08	1.16
T6	0.43	0.45	0.59	0.79	0.99	1.13	0.73
T 7	0.41	0.47	0.61	1.15	1.69	1.82	1.03
T8	0.60	0.70	0.71	1.06	1.41	1.43	0.99

Note: Trt- treatment, wk – week

Table 13: ANOVA Result for Biomass Accumulation

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Treatment	2.4742	8	0.309275	1.252697	0.291928	2.152133
Error	11.10993	45	0.246887			

174
175 Table 12 shows the mean seedlings biomass accumulation of *A. elata*. It was revealed that T₃
176 (1.5kg FPS + 2Kg TS) and T₅ (1kg FPS + 2Kg TS) had the better performance with both having
177 the mean value of 1.16g, followed by T₀ (control 2Kg TS) with the mean value of 1.07, while T₂
178 (2kg of DCD + 2kg of TS) had the overall lowest biomass accumulation with mean value of
179 0.65. Furthermore, the result shows that all treatments having Fish Pond Sediments in them
180 performed better than treatments with cow dung. This was due to the high content of organic
181 matter in Fish pond sediments which supported the seedlings biomass accumulation. Hence, the
182 study supported the findings of Rahman *et al.*, (2004) who reported that fish pond sediments
183 performed multiple function and roles in the overall production of farmland its uses as fertilizer
184 for crops. Then again, there are no differences among the treatments that were significant at 5%
185 probability level.

187 **Conclusion**

188 The result obtained from this study revealed that fish pond sediments had the largest values
189 in all parameters assessed while decomposed cow dung had the least performance in all
190 parameters assessed. Although, despite the difference in the result of different growth
191 parameters assessed, there are not differ significantly. Though, fish pond sediments look
192 promising with nutrient compositions and performance but do not differ significantly at 5%
193 level of probability.

195 **References**

- 196 Akande, M.O., Oluwatoyombo, F.L., Kayode, C.O. and Olowokere, F.L. (2006). Response Of
197 Maize (*Zea mays*) And Okra Intercrop Relayed With Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) To
198 Different Levels Cow Dung Amended Phosphate Rock. *World Journal Agricultural*
199 *Science. (2)1:119-122.*
- 200 Boyd, C.E, Corpon, K... Bemad, E., and Penseng, P. (2006). Estimates of Bottom Soil and
201 Effluent Load of Phosphorus at A Semi-Intensive Marine Shrimp Farm. *Journal of*
202 *the World Aquaculture Society, 37: 41-47*
- 203 FRIN (2015). Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria Annual Metrological Report, 2015.

204 IITTO: International Tropical Timber Organization, (2005) Tropical Forest Updates. A
 205 Newsletter of the IITO, Vol.2 (5)

206 Kyereh, B., Swaine, M.D. and Thompson J. (1999). Effect of Light on the Germination of
 207 Forest Trees in Ghana *J. Ecol.*, 87(5), 772-783.

208 Lin, C.K, Yi, Y. (2003). Minimizing Environmental Impacts Of Fish Fresh Water
 209 Aquaculture And Reuse Of Pond- Effluents And Mud Aquaculture, 226: 57-68.

210 Lin, L. and Yakupitiyage, A. (2003). A Model for Food Nutrient Dynamics of Semi-
 211 Intensive Pond Fish Culture. *Aquacultural Engineering*, 27: 9-38.

212 Rahman M.M, Yakuiti -ge A, Ranamukhaarachchi S.L. (2004). Agricultural Use of Fish Pond
 213 Sediment for Environmental Amelioration. *Thammasat International Journal of*
 214 *Science and Technology*, 9(4): 1-10

215 Rahman, M.M., Yakuptiyage, A. (2006). Use of Fish Pond Sediment for Sustainable
 216 Aquaculture Agriculture Farming. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*
 217 *and Planing*1:192-202.

218 Rath, K. R.(2000). Aquaculture Environment Fresh Water. Aquaculture Scientific Publishers
 219 (India), Jodhpur. 34-71.

220 Shahen, A., Anaem, M., Jilari, G., And Shafiq, M (2010). Integrated Soil Management In
 221 Eroded Land Augments The Crop Yield And Water Use Efficiency. *Act-Agricultural*
 222 *Science and B- Pi ant Soil Science*, 60: 274-282.

223 Yang, H., Hu, B. (2002). Introduction of Chinese Integrated Fish Farming and Major Models.
 224 In China. *A World Food Day Publication of the NACA. NACA Technical Manual 7,*
 225 *Bangkok, Thailand.*

226 Nemati, M., Lowenadler, J., & Harrison, S. T. L. (2000). Particle size effects in bioleaching of
 227 pyrite by acidophilic thermophile *Sulfolobus metallicus* (BC). *Applied microbiology and*
 228 *biotechnology*, 53(2), 173-179.

229
 230
 231