

**SPARTIAL VARIABILITY OF METALS IN SURFACE WATER AND
SEDIMENT IN THE KOLO CREEK AND GEOCHEMICAL
FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THEIR INTERACTIONS
USING GEO-ACCUMULATION INDEX**

Abstract

The Kolo Creek is an inland water bodies in the Niger Delta receiving organic and chemical wastes arising from anthropogenic activities within the catchment area. This study was therefore carried out to investigate the spatial variabilities of heavy metals in surface and sediment and their geochemical factors that influence their presence along the Kolo Creek in 2018 using Geo-accumulation index and pollution load index to extrapolate the level of heavy metal contraction in the two medium. Sediment and Water samples were collected for five (5) months and assessed from eight sampling points (A-h) for seven (7) heavy metals such as Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb), Iron(Fe), Camium (Cd), Manganes (Mn), Nickel (Ni) and Mercury (Hg). All the heavy metals had geo-accumulation indices below zero which indicates no pollution except Fe which had geo-accumulation index in the range 3.327 in surface water and 7.751 in sediment, furthermore, the pollution load index revealed a toxicity of 0.664 times in surface water as against 1.501 times in sediment exceeding the background concentration in the Kolo Creek. Hence, poor ecological ethics and culture should be discouraged to keep the Kolo Creek water under check for safe domestic water use.

Key Words: Kolo Creek; Geo-accumulation Index; Pollution Load Index; Heavy Metals;

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Water is a dynamic medium containing living, non -living components, organic, inorganic soluble as well as insoluble substances that constitute a support system which flows, swirled and sip among the spheres of the earth surface in three (3) states as gaseous, liquid and solid. Water stands to be the second most important natural resource for all forms of life after air but often a time it is treated with contempt, hence the type and severity of water contaminations often is directly related to human activity, which can be quantified in terms of the intensity and type of land use in the source areas of water (Ebuete and Ebuete, 2018).

Water quality is typically assessed based on three major parameters including heavy metals, microbial and general physicochemical characteristics of the water (*ibid*, 2018)

Metals Ions like Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Cobalt (Co), Manganese (Mn), Nickel (Ni) and Iron are inorganic elements that are either essential nutrient to living organisms at micro (trace) levels or very toxic and poisonous in relatively higher concentration, easily assimilated and bio-accumulated in the protoplasm of aquatic organisms. However, metals like Lead (pd); Cadmium (Cd); Mercury (Hg); Chromium (Cr) and Arsenic (As) are very toxic to living organism even at low concentrations

and are listed as global contaminants and most hazardous inorganic of the EPA Hazardous Substance Priority list which have detrimental effect on the health of people and ecology (UNEP, 2008).

Heavy metals (elements with an atomic density greater than 6g/cm^3) has been used as indicator of pollution because of their high toxicity to human and aquatic life (Olele, Falodun & Wangboji, 2013). They are not regarded as natural trace components of the aquatic environment but also commonly known as environmental pollutants particularly the receiving inputs from anthropogenic activities. However, their occurrence not only originated from natural factors such as the weathering of soils and rocks, but also anthropogenic input such as industrial waste, agricultural and mining activities (Angaye & Mileyepa, 2015; Budu, Ononugbo & Avwiri, 2018).

Heavy metal discharged either through direct discharge or surface run-offs into the environment rapidly associates with particulates and ultimately settles in bottom sediments of water bodies (Inengite, Oforka & Osuji, 2010; Izah, Chakrabarty & Srivastav, 2016). In their discharged into the environment, they tend to distribute between the aqueous phase and the suspended sediment during their transportation and assimilate in sediment with the organic matter, Fe/Mn oxides, Sulphide and clay thus forming several reactive components, which are harmful to their environment (Wan, Ahmed & Mohamed, 2012); hence regarding sediment as the potential reservoir for metals that plays an important role in absorption of dissolved heavy metals. Heavy metals also changes in their specification due to dissolution, precipitation, sorption and complications phenomena when discharged into water bodies (Moore & Behnam, 2011; Seiyaboh, Inyang & Izah, 2016).

According to Inengite, Oforka and Osuji (2010), the accumulation of metals from the overlying water to the sediment depends on a number of external environmental factors such as pH, electrical conductivity and the available surface area for adsorption caused by the variation in grain size distribution. Like sand, the particulate size of sediment are in different categories including loose sand, clay, silt and other soil particles found in water (Ogamba, Ebere & Izah, 2017). Surface water has sediment and play a vital roles for the aquatic ecosystem particularly the benthic organisms like shelled fish reside in the sediment especially in the brackish and marine ecosystem (Aghoghovwia, Oyelese & Ohimain, 2015; Seiyaboh, Inyang & Izah, 2016; Seiyaboh, Izah & Oweibi 2017b). As sediment affects water quality irrespective of the type of sediment (Suspended and deposited), so also change in water quality arising from anthropogenic activities such as water transportation, dredging, waste deposition and natural effects resulting from runoff following precipitation also affect the sediment quality, hence the need for continuous assessment into the presence of toxic metals due to their environmental persistence, bioavailability and toxicity to aquatic organisms and the ability to be incorporated into food chains (Wasim, 2010).

In the Niger Delta region research articles carried out on some important rivers, creek, streams and lake had been observed that most of the rivers water quality is gradually deteriorating due to unmanned crude oil explorations and exploitations activities, discharged of industrial and domestic waste and urbanisation; posing high risk to water resources used. Some of the studies were carried out

in Kolo creek (Ogamba, Ebere & Izah, 2017; Ogamba, Seiyaboh, Izah, Ogbugo & Demedongha, 2015; Seiyaboh, Inyang and Izah, 2016; Eremasi, Alagoa & Daworiye, 2015), Nun River (Seiyaboh, Inyang & Gijo, 2013), Efi lake (Nankoala, Egesi & Agi, 2016), Taylor creek (Daka, Amakiri-hyte & Inyang, 2014), Epie creek (Izonfuo & Barieni, 2001), Sagbama creek (Seiyaboh, Izah & Oweibi, 2017); None of these studies has captured heavy metal toxicity level, hence the importance of this paper.

This study therefore accessed the spatial variability of heavy metal concentration in surface water and sediment of the Kolo Creek by means of Geo-accumulation Index (Lgeo) and Pollution Load Index (PLI); an area that is exposed to oil exploration activities, water disposal and receives effluents from industrial activities including makeshift refinery and a gas flaring station yet serving as a major water source for drinking, bathing, fishing, washing and recreation. In this study, the following heavy metals were considered: Pb, Fe, Cd, Mn, Hg, Cu and Ni. Ni and Cd were considered based on the fact that they are the substantial metals in crude oil and gross indices of the biodegraded oil; Pb, Fe, Hg and Cu were considered mainly due to their association in piping system and corrosion inhibition as well as an anti-knocking agent in automobile engines. This study can be considered the first attempt to evaluate the heavy metals pollution in sediments and surface water of Kolo Creek using Geo-accumulation Index (Lgeos) and Pollution Load Index (PLI) to determine toxicity levels.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

Kolo Creek lies within latitude $04^{\circ}24'26.893''$ and $04^{\circ}59'05.094''$ North and longitude $06^{\circ}14'59.190''$ and $06^{\circ}20'47.701''$ East. The full length of the Kolo Creek is 85km, covering a total area of about 1,625 square kilometers (Eli, 2012; Ebuete & Ebuete, 2018). Kolo Creek is located at the central part of the Niger Delta and transverses about twenty-one (21) communities including Okarki; Otegue II, Ibelebiri, Oruma/Yibama, Otuasega, Imiringi, Emeyal 1 and 2, Kolo 1, 2 and 3, Otuegila, Otakeme, Otuabagi, Ewoma/Otuabi, Otuogidi, Ogbia Town, Otuabo/Abobiri, Ekpenkiri, Akakumama, and Bukiri (Eli, 2012; Gobo, Amangabara and Etiga, 2013) (Fig. 1).




Fig 1 The Kolo Creek : Niger Delta
Source: Eli, 2012

2.2 Water and Sediment Sampling and Preservation

Water samples and sediment samples were collected monthly between March - July, 2018. Plastic containers for metals analysis were washed with hot soapy water and rinse. They were soaked for 4 hours in HNO_3 to prevent adsorption of metals into walls of the bottle, then rinsed thoroughly with de-ionised water. The bottles were air-dried and stored until time for sample collection. Water samples along the Kolo Creek within the eight (8) purposive sampling sites (A-H) (table 2.1) were collected in triplicate in 2litre (HDPE) capacity plastic bottles with screw caps at 35cm depth, labelled and stored in 4°C ice cool box before taken to the Chemistry Laboratory of the Federal University, Otuoke, Bayelsa State for analysis. Sediment Samples were collected from the waterbed with aid of stainless steel Eckman Grab into plastic containers (Udoiong, Oribhabor, Munam & Bernard, 2013). The top 30.0cm of each grab sample were stored in clean dark plastic containers for metal analysis. The samples were transferred into 4°C icebox to halt biological and chemical transformation in the sample. They were air-dried at room temperature and preserved for further analysis (Seiyaboh, Izah & Oweibi, 2017).

Table 1. Sampled Station, Absolute Location and Activities

Stations	Spatial Location	Activities
A (Okarki)	$4^{059}1^{05.094}11$ $6^{025}1^{47.701}11$	Source of Kolo Creek
B (Ibelebiri)	$4^{057}1^{47.232}11$ $6^{024}1^{51.732}11$	Activities like makeshift refineries
C (Otuasega)	$4^{054}1^{55.993}11$	3 km away from the makeshift

	6 ⁰ 23 ¹ 12.326 ^{II}		refinery with a rusted dilapidated iron bridge.
D (Kolo Creek Flow Station)	4 ⁰ 53 ¹ 42.259 ^{II} 6 ⁰ 22 ¹ 43.123 ^{II}		SPDC(Shell Petroleum Development Company) base and a gas flaring station
E (Imiringi)	4 ⁰ 51 ¹ 08.529 ^{II} 6 ⁰ 22 ¹ 14.725 ^{II}		Major constructions activities such as bridge constructions
F (Kolo Town)	4 ⁰ 48 ¹ 18.612 ^{II} 6 ⁰ 22 ¹ 35.954 ^{II}		Mini industrial activities with manual sand quarries
G (Otuabagi)	4 ⁰ 42 ¹ 35.736 ^{II} 6 ⁰ 21 ¹ 51.786 ^{II}		An area with crude oil activities
H (Ogbia Town)	4 ⁰ 41 ¹ 26.893 ^{II} 6 ⁰ 18 ¹ 59.190 ^{II}		Confluence between the Kolo Creek and Otuoke Creek with a tidal influences

Source: Fieldwork, 2018

2.3 Analysis Technique

Water samples collected from respective locations were not subjected to further treatment. They were aspirated directly in the flames of the Varian Tectron B Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) as explained in Ehi-Eromosele & Okieki, 2012; Ogamba, Ebere & Izah, 2017. Sediment samples were air dried at 40⁰C after handpicked stick stems and other dirt's; grounded to powder. The powder was sieved to remove material greater than 2mm using 2mm diameter sieve; after which 2.5g of sediment was taken and 10ml of nitric per chloric acid mixture in a ratio of 2:1 by volume was added before digestion. It was further heated at 150⁰C until a clear solution was obtained, distilled with 10ml water to digest samples thus preventing formation of complex compound before filtration. The filtrates were diluted with distilled water to 50ml and the samples taken in bottle and stored in refrigerator pending analysis. The Perkin Elmer Analyst 3100 (model) Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer was used for the analysis of the heavy metals.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The Geo-accumulation index and pollution load index was further applied on the samples (Laboratory data) to determine the level of metal concentration in sediment and surface water of the Kolo Creek.

Geo-Accumulation Index (Lgeo): The Lgeo has been widely utilized as a measure of pollution in freshwater sediment while the pollution load index (PLI) represents the number of times by which the heavy metal concentrations in the sediment exceeds the background concentration, given a summative indication of the overall level of heavy metal toxicity in a particular sample (Rabee, Al-Fatlawy; Abdoun & Nameer, 2011). The geo-accumulation index (Lgeo) was introduced by Muller in 1979 for determining the extent of metal accumulation in sediments (Muller, 1979). However, in this study the geo-accumulation index and Pollution Load Index was employed to assess the extent of metals

concentrations (toxicity) in sediments and surface water of the Kolo Creek in bid to observed the concentration differences following the equation below

$$\text{Pollution Load Index (PLI)} = \frac{\sqrt[n]{cf_1 \times cf_2 \times cf_3 \dots \dots \dots cf_n}}{1/n} \quad \text{Equ. 1}$$

where: CF= The Contamination factor (Metal concentration / Background values

n = Number of metals study

$$\text{Lgeo} = \log_2 (Cn / 1.5Bn) \quad \text{Equ. 2}$$

where: Cn is the measured concentration of heavy metal in the Kolo Creek

Bn is the Geochemical background value in average shale of element N as presented in table 2 below which is used to quantified the extent and degree of metal pollution (Ong, Menier, Shazili & Kamaruzzaman, 2013). The factor 1.5 is used for the possible variations of the background data due to lithological variation. The obtained value is read on a legend table as follows.

Table 2 Background values of some common heavy metals

Parameters	Fe	Pb	Cd	Mn	HgS	Cu	Ni	Co	Cr	Ti	Al
Background Value	3.59	20	0.3	720	13	32	49	20	97	0.38	6.93

Source: Tomison, Wilson; Haris and Jeffrey (1980)

Table 3 Geo-accumulation (Lgeo) Index Legend Table

Lgeo	Lgeo Class	Explanations
<0	0	Uncontaminated
0-1	1	Uncontaminated to Moderately contaminated
1-2	2	Moderately contaminated
2-3	3	Moderately to strongly contaminated
3-4	4	Strongly contaminated
4-5	5	Strongly to extremely contaminate
>5	6	Extremely contaminated

source: Muller (1979)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 4 Mean concentrations of Heavy metals in surface water of the Kolo Creek

Elements	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Mean	SON
Fe	68.9	65.24	67.82	62.11	57.42	54.91	51.35	48.32	59.52	0.3mg/L
Pb	0.001	0.009	0.002	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.0036	0.01mg/L
Cd	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.003mg/L
Mn	0.001	0.098	0.065	0.069	0.045	0.025	0.057	0.044	0.051	0.2mg/L
Hg	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001mg/L
Cu	0.498	1.395	1.062	1.245	1.149	0.658	1.148	0.945	1.013	2.0mg/L
Ni	0.004	0.014	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.005	0.012	0.010	0.010	0.02mg/L

Source: Fieldwork, 2018

Table 5 Mean Heavy Metals concentration in sediment of the Kolo Creek

Elements	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Mean	WHO
Fe	120.8	153.3	132.1	163.4	150.3	122.1	148.4	119.1	138.67	0.3mg/L
Pb	0.004	0.014	0.005	0.009	0.008	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.007	0.005mg/L
Cd	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003mg/L
Mn	0.025	0.159	0.145	0.167	0.143	0.089	0.152	0.114	0.124	0.1mg/L

Hg	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000mg/L
Cu	0.975	1.025	1.043	1.868	1.524	1.098	1.249	1.139	1.240	2.0mg/L
Ni	0.012	0.024	0.015	0.022	0.021	0.014	0.022	0.021	0.019	0.000mg/L

Source: Fieldwork, 2018

Iron concentrations in this study were above the recommended permissible limits of 0.3mg/L by the Standard Organisation of Nigeria (SON, 2007) and WHO (2011). The concentration difference between the surface water to sediment concentrations in iron contents accounted for about 40% in this study. The concentration of lead were below recommended permissible limits by SON and WHO, although, the highest concentration were at station B and D which is associated with fossil burning from the flow stations and makeshift refinery including fumes from vehicular traffic that got flushed into the Kolo Creek through runoff in addition to the atmospheric precipitation at this point. The findings were similar with the report of Nabizarde, Mahvi, Mardan, Yunesian, (2015). Similarly, the concentration of Cadmium (Cd) was highest at station B and D due to filling operation (land reclamation) and bridge construction in this location at the time of sampling. Also, aware that Cd is used in electric batteries and in various electronic components and inorganic fertilizers produced from phosphate ores; it constitutes a major source of diffuse cadmium pollution through floodplain agriculture in the Kolo Creek. The concentration differences between surface water and sediment accounted for about 33%. According to Aghoghovwia, Oyelese and Ohimain (2015) sand quarries and floodplain agricultural activities can help release pore solution (rich in heavy metals) in the sediment. Mn also was below permissible limits by SON (2007) and WHO (2011). The concentration is about 42%. Cu and NI were also below permissible limits by SON (2007) and WHO (2011), and the concentration differences of Cu in surface water and sediment account for 10% while that of Ni accounted for 31%.

Table 6. Pollution Load Index for Surface water and Sediment of the Kolo Creek

Surface Water	Sediment
0.664	1.501

Source: Fieldwork, 2018

Table 6 represents the pollution load index in surface water and sediment of the Kolo Creek, and only 0.664 times in surface water as against 1.501 times toxicity level by which the heavy metal concentrations exceeds the background concentration in the Kolo Creek.

Table 7. Geo-accumulation Index (Lgeo) for Surface Water and Sediment of the Kolo Creek

Parameters	Lgeo Values for Surface water	Lgeo Value for Sediment
Iron (Fe)	3.327	7.751
Lead (Pb)	0.0009	0.0145
Cadmium (Cd)	0.043	0.061
Manganese (Mn)	0.000028	0.00027
Mercury (Hg)	0.000026	0.0002
Copper (Cu)	0.0007	0.0048
Nickel (Ni)	0.0028	0.011

Source: Fieldwork, 2018

All the heavy metals had geo-accumulation indices less than zero (<0) which indicates no pollution except Fe which had geo-accumulation index in the range 3.327 in surface water and 7.751 in sediment. This indicates strongly contaminated for surface water to extremely contaminated for sediment of the Kolo Creek. The concentration is more in sediment than in surface water because sediments acts as the ultimate reservoir for the numerous potential chemical and biological contaminants. The highest is in station D. According to Galadima and Garba (2012) iron is known as the second most abundant metals in the earth's crust which has reflected on the result in this study. Although, the metal construction, dilapidated iron bridge and the bridge constructions activities across the creek is a suspect contributor of Fe to the creek at this point (figure 2 and 3), also solid municipal waste as scraped metals are also freely dumped in the Kolo Creek; results similar with formal studies by Inegite, Oforika and Osuji (2010) but far below the report of Aghoghovwia, and Agatari (2012). Comparisons of the heavy metals concentrations and limits according to WHO (2011) (Table 4&5) showed that the heavy metals concentrations were far below the WHO limits except for Fe. The iron concentrations as observed in this study is high enough (above the maximum tolerable daily intake of 0.8kg/day) to caused *Hemosiderosis* (Genetic Disorder), *Hemachromatosis* skin pigmentation and Hepatic Disorders on the health user of water from the Kolo Creek.

4. CONCLUSION

Generally, water pollution is a term associated with the presence of toxic substances or energy in large quantity more than what can be attenuated by the environment on the basis of natural degradative changes which has a strong anthropocentric bias towards its determinant. The health risk due to inorganic chemicals in water differs from that caused by microbiological and even organic chemical contamination, for a few microgram of inorganic constituents in water can lead to acute health problems except through massive accidental contamination of supply. This study revealed a geo-accumulative index less than zero (<0) of all metals consideration except for iron while the pollution loading index also revealed 0.664 toxicity level for surface water and 1.50 for sediment content; an indication that there is virtually no imminent danger, however bioaccumulation in the aquatic organisms could be a concern, since the Kolo Creek serve as a means of fishing activities. Therefore, the appropriate agencies in Bayelsa state should enforced relevant environmental laws to minimize both inland and instream activities of man leading to contamination of the creek. Also, the discharge of wastes into the creek should be avoided.



Figure 2. Rusty and Dilapidated Iron Bridge in the Kolo Creek.



Figure 3. Ongoing Bridge Constructions in the Kolo Creek

REFERENCES

- Agedah, E.C.; E.R.Ineyougha; S.C. Izah and Orutugu, L.A. (2015): Enumeration of total heterotrophic bacteria and some physico-chemical characteristics of surface water used for drinking sources in Wilberforce Island, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 2015; 3 (1): 28 - 34.
- Aghoghovwia, O.A. and Agatari, J. (2012): Heavy Metals in Water of Upper Kolo Creek, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, *Journal of Environmental Extension*, 10(1):15
- Aghoghovwia, O.A.; Oyelsele, O.A. & Ohimain, E.I. (2015): Heavy Metal Levels in water and sediment of Warri River, Niger Delta, Nigeria, *International Journal of Geology, Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 3(1):20-25. ISSN:2348-0254.
- Angaye, T.C. and Mieyepa, C.E. (2015): Assessment of Elemental and Microbial Quality of Lake Efi in Bayelsa State, Central Niger Delta, Nigeria, *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques* 3(2):71-73.
- Ben-Eledo, V.N.; L.T.Kigigha, S. C. Izah and Eledo, B. O. (2017): Bacteriological Quality Assessment of Water from Epie Creek, Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *International Journal of Ecotoxicology and Ecobiology*. (2):3,102-108. doi: 10.11648/j.ijee.20170203.12.
- Budu, A.; C.P. Ononugbo and G.O. Avwiri (2018): Determination of Heavy Metal Concentrations in

Sediment of Bonny River, Nigeria, *Archives of Current Research International*, 11(4):1-11; ISSN:2454-7077.

Daka, E.R.; B. Amakiri-Whyte and Inyang, I.R. (2014): Surface and groundwater in some oil field communities in the Niger Delta: implications for domestic use and building construction. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 6 (2): 78 – 84.

Ebuefe, A. W and Ebuefe, I. Y. (2018): Water Quality of Kolo Creek In Bayelsa State By Means Of Water Quality Index For Missing Parameters, *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies (IJIRAS)*, (5):8, ISSN: 2394-4404

Eli, H. D. (2012): Analysis of Flooding on farmlands along the Kolo Creek of Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Calabar, Nigeria.

Ehi-Eromosele, C.O and Okiei, W.E. (2012): Heavy Metal Assessment of Ground, Surface and Ground Water samples in Lagos Metropolis Using Anodic stripping Voltammetry, *Resources and Environment*, 2(3):82-86, DOI: 10.5923/j.re.20120203.01.

Eremasi, Y.B.; K.J. Alagoa and Daworiye, P. (2015): Water quality evaluation and heavy metals concentration of kolo creek, Imiringi, Bayelsa state. *International Journal of Current Research, Bioscience and Plant Biotechnology*, 2 (2): 61 - 66.

Galadima, A. and Garba, Z.N. (2012): Heavy Metals Pollutions in Nigeria: Causes and Consequences. *Elixir Pollution*, 45:7917-7922.

Gobo, A. E.; G. T. Amangabara and Etiga, G. E. (2013): Estimating Macrophyte Load for Water Hyacinth in the Kolo Creek, Niger Delta. *International Journal of Ecosystem*, 3(1):7-11. Doi.10.5923/j.ije.20130301.02.

Inengite, A. K.; N. C. Oforka and Osuji, L. C (2010): Survey of Heavy Metals in Sediments of Kolo Creek in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*.4(9):558-566. Available on www.academicjournals.org/AJEST.

Izah, S.C., N. Chakrabarty and Srivastav, A. I. (2016): A Review of Heavy Metal Concentration in Portable Water Sources in Nigeria, Human Health Effects and Mitigating Measures, *Exposure and Health*, 8:285-304.

Izonfuo, L.W.A. and Bariweni, A.P (2001): The effect of urban runoff water and human activities on some physico-chemical parameters of the Epie Creek in the Niger Delta. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 5 (1): 47 - 55.

Moore, F. and Behnam, K. (2011): Assessment of Heavy metals Concentration in Stream and Water and Sediments Affected by the Sungun Prophyry Copper Deposit, East Azerbaijan Province, Northwest Iran, *Water Quality, Exposure and Health, Spring Link* 3(1):37-49.

Nabizarde, R.; A. Mahvi; G.Mardan and Yunesian, M (2015): Study of Heavy Metal in Urban Runoff, *Inter. Journal of Environmental Sci. and Tech*, 1(4):325-333.

Nwankwoala HO, Egesi N, Agi, C. C. (2016): Analysis of the water resources of Kiama area of Bayelsa state, Eastern Niger Delta. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*; 1 (2): 7 – 12.

Ogamba, N.E., N. Ebere and Izah, C.S. (2017): Heavy metals Concentration in Water, Sediment and Tissues of *Eichhonia Crassipes* from Kolo creek, Niger Delta. *Greener Journal of Environmental Management and Public Safety*,6(1):001-005, <http://doi.org/10-15580/GJEMPS.2017>.

Ogamba, E.N.; E.I. Seiyaboh; S.C. Izah; I. Ogbugo; and Demedongha, F.K. (2015): Water quality, phytochemistry and proximate constituents of Eichhorniacrassipes from Kolo creek, Niger Delta, Nigeria, *International Journal of Applied Research and Technology*, 4 (9): 77 – 84.

Seiyaboh, E.I.; I.R. Inyang and Izah, S.C. (2016): Spatial Variation in Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Sediment from Epie Creek, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, *Greener Journal of Environment Mgt. and Public Safety*, 5(5):100-105.

Seiyaboh, E.I., S.C. Izah and Oweibi, S. (2017b): Physico-chemical characteristics of sediment from Sagbama Creek, Nigeria, *Biotechnological Research*, 31:25-28.

Seiyaboh EI, Izah SC, Oweibi S. (2017): Assessment of Water quality from Sagbama Creek, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Biotechnological Research*, 3 (1): 20-24.

Seiyaboh EI, Inyang IR, Gijo AH. Environmental Impact of Tombia Bridge Construction across Nun River in Central Niger Delta, Nigeria. *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, 2013; 2 (11): 32 – 41.

Udoiodiong, O.M.; J.B. Oribhabor; E.E. Munam and Bernard, J.J (2013): Assessment of the Impact of Human Settlement on the Heavy Metal of Lower Cross River, Nigeria, *Scientific Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 2(7):57-64.

Rabee, A. M.; Y. F. Al-Fatlawy; A. N. Abdoun and M. Nameer (2011): Using Pollution Load Index (PLI) and Geoaccumulation Index (I-Geo) for the Assessment of Heavy Metals Pollution in Tigris River Sediment in Baghdad, Region *Journal of Al-Nahrain University* 14 (4):108-114.

Muller, G. (1979): Index of Geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River, *Geo. journal*, 2(3): 108-118.

Tomison, D. C.; J. C. Wilson; C.R. Haris and Jeffrey, D.W. (1980): Problems in Assessment of Heavy Metals in Estuaries and the Formation of Pollution Index; *Helgol Meere Sunters*, 366-575 In Chakravarty, M. and Patgiri, A. D. (2009): Metal Pollution Assessment in Sediments of the Dikrong River, N.E. India, *J. Hum. Ecol.* 27(1):63-67.

Ong, M.C.; D. Menier; N.A.M. Shazili and Kamaruzzaman, B. Y. (2013): Geochemical Characteristics of Heavy Metals Concentration in Sediments of Quiberon Bay Waters South Brittany, France, *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, 29(1):39-45, ISSN:0970-020X.

Standard Organisation of Nigerian (2007): Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NIS 554:2007), *Standard Organization of Nigeria (SON)*. Price group D.

USEPA (2000): Arsenic Occurrence in Public Drinking water Supplies, Tech. Rep. EPA-815-R-00-023, *US Environmental Protection Agency*. Washington D.C, USA.

UNEP, ERCE, UNESCO (2008): Water quality for ecosystems and human health, (2nd ed.). Online <http://www.unn.org/waterforlifedecade/quality.shtml>

Wasim, A. M. (2010): Assessment and occurrence of various heavy metals in surface water of Ganga River around Kolkata: A study for toxicity and ecological impact. *Environ Monit. Assess. Journ*, 160 (1-4): 207-213.

World Health Organization (2011a): *Guideline for Drinking Water Quality*, (4th Ed.). [Online] Available: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/2011/dwq_chapters/en.