

# Original Research Article

## COPROLITES PRODUCTION OF NATIVE EARTHWORMS IN BRAQUIARIA FIELDS UNDER BIOFERTILIZATION

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The objective of this study was to evaluate the coprolite production of native earthworms in a pasture with *Brachiaria*, with and without liquid-enriched biofertilization.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The experiment was carried out between April of 2014 and August of 2015 at the Centro de Ciências Agrárias da Universidade Federal da Paraíba – UFPB.

**Methodology:** A randomized complete block experimental design was used, with subdivided plots and four replicates, with a total of 40 plots in a 5x3x2 factorial arrangement, five grass species (*Brachiaria brizantha*, *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola*, *B. ruziziensis* e *B. brizantha* MG5) and three sampling times, with and without liquid-enriched biofertilization. The plot area was composed of 50.0 m<sup>2</sup> (10 m x 5 m) with subplots of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> (0.5 m x 0.5 m). Six foliar fertilization were performed in intervals of fifteen days, with three applications in the drought period and three applications in the rainy season. Each application consisted of 5% of biofertilizer (100 mL of biofertilizer diluted in 2 L of water), each plot received 2 L of biofertilizer.

**Results:** In the dry season there was a significant difference in the means between the fertilization treatments, leading to the absence of fertilization, obtaining a better result, varying of 48, 24% in relation to the treatments that received fertilization, and there was no significant difference between the brachiaria. In the rainy season, it was verified that there was no significant difference in the means between the fertilization treatments, but there was a significant difference between the brachiaria.

### CONCLUSION:

The study concludes that earthworm coprolites production is higher under pasture with *B. MG5* during the dry season. In the rainy season, production increased under pasture with *B. humidicola*. *Brachiaria* fertilized with liquid-enriched biofertilizer provided lower results in the production of biogenic aggregates (earthworm coprolites). Under conditions of the present study, the hypothesis that the effect of the liquid -enriched biofertilizer increases the production of endogeic earthworm coprolites has not been proven.

**Keywords:** Organic fertilization, soil biology, soil fertility' Production, earthworms, pasture

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Earthworms are among the organisms that compose the macrofauna, these organisms are one of the most important invertebrates of ecosystems and agroecosystems around the world [1,2]. They are considered engineers of the ecosystem with a large impact on the soil structure [3]. Earthworms are estimated to be responsible for about 40% to 90% of the

22 biomass of edaphic macrofauna in most tropical ecosystems. They participate in the  
23 incorporation and decomposition of organic matter present in the soil when they ingest the  
24 organic matter added to the inorganic matter of the soil, which passes through the intestinal  
25 tract and then is excreted as coprolites (biogenic aggregates), all these factors contribute to  
26 the soil quality improvement, since they are directly linked with particle aggregation and  
27 nutrient availability [4,5,6].

28  
29 Coprolites contain calcium humate, which together with the calcium released by the  
30 calciferous glands, serves as an aggregating element to the soil particles [7]. In addition,  
31 they contain large amounts of nutrients, due to the addition of organic matter and urinary and  
32 intestinal secretions that forms a homogeneous and rich structure, the movement of organic  
33 matter and mineral components through the digestive tract of earthworms is subjected to  
34 enzymatic processes and break downs, which increases soil fertilization [8].

35  
36 The insertion of earthworm coprolites in crop cultivation guarantees not only superior plant  
37 nutrition but also the substrate quality when incorporated, in addition, the low cost of this  
38 input makes its use viable [9]. Several studies have pointed out the importance of  
39 vermicomposting for agricultural production, especially with regard to the improvement of  
40 commercial crops and pastures [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. However, it is not known how the  
41 application of biofertilizer and seasonality can affect the production of coprolites in a native  
42 pasture environment.

43  
44 The objective of this study was to analyze the production of "geophagous" native earthworm  
45 coprolites in a Yellow Oxisol area, in the city of Areia-PB, under the pastures of *Brachiaria*  
46 grasses, with and without liquid-enriched biofertilizer.

47  
48

## 49 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

50

51 The experiment was carried out between April of 2014 and August of 2015 in the  
52 experimental station "Chã do Jardim", at the Centro de Ciências Agrárias of the  
53 Universidade Federal da Paraíba - UFPB, Areia - PB. The soil of the experimental area is  
54 classified as Yellow Oxisol, deep, well-drained and sand-clay texture [15].

55

56 A randomized complete block design was used, with subdivided plots and four replicates,  
57 with a total of 40 plots (Figure 1). The factorial arrangement used was 5 x 3 x 2, with five  
58 species of grasses (*Brachiaria brizantha*, *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola*, *B. rriziensis* *B.*  
59 *brizantha* MG5), three sampling times in with and without liquid-enriched biofertilization.

60



61

62 **Fig. 1. Experimental area: arrangement of plots and subplots for application of**  
63 **treatments with and without organic fertilizer, Chã do Jardim, Areia - PB.**

64

65 The plot area had 50.0 m<sup>2</sup> (10.0 m x 5.0 m) with subplots of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> (0.5 m x 0.5 m). Six  
66 biweekly applications via foliar fertilizations were performed, with three applications in the  
67 drought season and three applications in the rainy season. Each application consisted of 5%  
68 of biofertilizer (100 mL of biofertilizer diluted in 2L of water).

69

70 Coprolites produced by native "geophagous" earthworms were manually collected over a  
71 period of eight months, in a 45-day time span, between October of 2014 and January of  
72 2015 (drought period) and from May to August of 2015 (rainy season). Six collections were  
73 carried out in the experimental area. For the collection, an iron square was randomly placed  
74 in the plots, three replications per subplot were used. The collected material was placed in  
75 properly labeled containers and sent for chemical analysis in the Laboratório de Solos do  
76 Centro de Ciências Humanas, Sociais e Agrárias da Universidade Federal da Paraíba,  
77 Bananeiras- PB, following the methodological procedures of EMBRAPA [15].

78



79

80 **Fig. 2. Collection of biogenic aggregates (native earthworm coprolites), using a iron**  
81 **square in areas under grass pasture of Brachiaria genus, Chã do Jardim, Areia-PB.**

82

83 Data were submitted to analysis of variance, using the ASSISTAT 7.7 software and means  
84 compared by the Tukey test at 5% probability [16].

85

86

### 87 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

88

89 Significant differences were observed between the fertilization treatments in the first  
90 sampling (Table 1), leading to better results in the treatments with no fertilization, which  
91 varied from 48, 24% compared to the treatments with fertilization, with no difference  
92 observed between the Brachiaria species. It was verified that in this case, the production of  
93 biogenic structures (coprolites) was higher without the application of the biofertilizer.  
94 Regarding the five Brachiaria under the presence and absence of fertilization, B. MG5 varied  
95 with 28.3% when compared to the production of B. Ruzizienses. This can be explained by  
96 the fact that B. MG5 has higher production of dry matter, drought resistance, rapid regrowth  
97 after grazing and better tolerance to poorly drained soils when compared to the other  
98 species. In the second and third sampling (Table 1), there were no significant differences  
99 between the fertilization treatments, nor between the Brachiaria species.

100

101 During the sampling time, the drought season had higher air temperature and lower soil  
102 moisture which contributed to the low density of the number of organisms, and,  
103 consequently, reduced the coprolites production. In this sense, Kanianska et al. [12], found

104 that there are correlations between earthworm activity and abiotic factors such as humidity,  
 105 light, and temperature, which, in many cases, shape the supply of these ecosystem services  
 106 played by these organisms. Saha et al.[17] reported that seasonal variation associated with  
 107 habitat played important roles in the distribution and abundance of various earthworm  
 108 species, where seasonal parameters such as rainfall, relative humidity, air temperature, soil  
 109 temperature, and solar radiation influenced on fluctuations in population densities. Sales [18]  
 110 observed that in the dry season the soil is more resistant to the deformations caused by the  
 111 movement of earthworms since a costly effort would be necessary to move through the soil  
 112 profile. In a study on earthworms in temperate areas, Ortiz-Gamino et al. [19], points out that  
 113 along an altitudinal gradient, the climate can act as a barrier to the distribution of  
 114 earthworms, and its abundance occurs in a significant way through soil fertility and pasture  
 115 quality.  
 116

117 **Table 1. Coprolites production of native earthworms under Brachiaria pastures during**  
 118 **the drought season.**

Brachiaria	1 <sup>st</sup> Sampling		Means
	Fertilized	Non-fertilized	
	-----t ha <sup>-1</sup> -----		
Decumbens	1.06	1.47	1.26 a
Brizantha	1.18	1.51	1.35 a
Humidicola	0.96	1.65	1.30 a
MG5	1.26	1.94	1.60 a
Ruzizienses	1.22	1.88	1.55 a
Mean	1.14 B	1.69 A	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Sampling		
Decumbens	0.75	1.00	0.87 a
Brizantha	1.15	0.98	1.06 a
Humidicola	0.94	1.11	1.02 a
MG5	1.37	1.33	1.35 a
Ruzizienses	0.89	1.06	0.97 a
Mean	1.02 A	1.09 A	-
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Sampling		
Decumbens	0.30	0.44	0.37 a
Brizantha	0.58	0.51	0.55 a
Humidicola	0.43	0.54	0.48 a
MG5	0.55	0.49	0.52 a
Ruzizienses	0.50	0.47	0.48 a
Mean	0.47 A	0.49 A	-

119

120

121 As observed in Table 2, there was an increase in coprolite production during the  
 122 rainy season when compared to the drought season due to higher humidity and lower  
 123 temperatures, which provides better soil moisture and creates favorable conditions for the  
 124 activities in the form of biogenic aggregates. The difference between the two collection  
 125 seasons is due to the ease movement capacity that the earthworm has inside the soil,  
 126 provided by the moisture content of the soil in the rainy season, thus facilitating the feeding,  
 127 constituted of organic compounds. According to silva et al. [20], some earthworm species  
 128 such as *A. duseni* (endogeic), reach the soil surface only after significant rainfall.

129

130 In the second sampling (Table 2), no significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) were observed  
 131 between the fertilization treatments, but significant differences between the Brachiaria  
 species were observed. The coprolite production in *B. humidiculus* increased to  $3.32 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,

132 50.90% higher when compared to *B. decumbens* with 2.20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of coprolite production.  
 133 However, there were no significant differences between *B. decumbens*, MG5, *B. humidicola*  
 134 and *B. ruziziensis*. In the third sampling, the coprolites production was not influenced by the  
 135 treatments with fertilization, significant differences between the Brachiarias were observed,  
 136 with higher coprolites production in pasture with *B. brizantha* (2.62 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), which did not differ  
 137 from *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola* and *B. ruziziensis*. Brachiaria has higher root biomass, a  
 138 root system that aggregates the soil particles and provides conditions for the development of  
 139 earthworms, which work in the soil and excrete in the form of coprolites. Fiuza et al. [21]  
 140 emphasized the importance of earthworms in the growth of grasses such as maize,  
 141 according to the authors the presence of earthworms of the genus *Chibui bari* favored the  
 142 growth in stem diameter and increased the shoot and total dry matter of the plant, in  
 143 addition, it was observed that the coprolites were sufficient for the supply of N to plants at  
 144 levels equivalent to those of NPK amounts. According to Silva et al. [22], the addition of  
 145 earthworm coprolites to dystrophic soils increased the growth of cabbage plants, especially  
 146 in concentrations higher than 70% of the volumetric composition of the substrate. This  
 147 phenomenon did not occur in the present study, where the production of earthworm  
 148 coprolites did not depend on the addition of biofertilizer, the soil itself was able to supply the  
 149 nutrients necessary for coprolites production.  
 150

151 **Table 2. Coprolites production of native earthworms under Brachiaria pastures**  
 152 **during the rainy season.**

Brachiaria	1 <sup>st</sup> Sampling		Means
	Fertilized	Non-fertilized	
	-----t ha <sup>-1</sup> -----		
Decumbens	1.01	0.96	0.98 a
Brizantha	1.28	1.12	1.20 a
Humidicola	0.99	1.01	1.00 a
MG5	1.40	1.68	1.54 a
Ruzizienses	0.74	1.30	1.02 a
Mean	1.08 A	1.21 A	-
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Sampling		
Decumbens	2.07	2.33	2.20 ab
Brizantha	2.10	1.60	1.85 b
Humidicola	2.99	3.65	3.32 a
MG5	0.77	1.74	1.26 b
Ruzizienses	1.71	1.47	1.59 b
Mean	1.93 A	2.16 A	-
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Sampling		
Decumbens	2.10	2.98	2.54 a
Brizantha	2.88	2.36	2.62 a
Humidicola	1.79	2.90	2.34 ab
MG5	1.15	1.32	1.23 b
Ruzizienses	1.08	1.83	1.46 ab
Mean	1.80 A	2.28 A	-

153

154

#### 155 4. CONCLUSION

156

157 The study concludes that earthworm coprolites production is higher under pasture with *B.*  
 158 *MG5* during the dry season. In the rainy season, production increased under pasture with *B.*  
 159 *humidicola*. Brachiaria fertilized with liquid-enriched biofertilizer provided lower results in the  
 160 production of biogenic aggregates (earthworm coprolites). Under conditions of the present

161 study, the hypothesis that the effect of the liquid -enriched biofertilizer increases the  
162 production of endogeic earthworm coprolites has not been proven.  
163

164

## 165 REFERENCES

166

167 1. Rai SN. Earthworm biodiversity in different land use system. International Journal  
168 Research Granthaalayah. 2017; 5: 347-352.

169

170 2. Santos JB, Ramos AC, Azevedo Júnior A, Oliveira Filho LCI. Soil macrofauna in organic  
171 and conventional coffee plantations in Brazil. Biota Neotrópica. 2018;18(2):1-13.

172

173 3. Blouin ME, Hodson EA, Delgado G, Baker L, Brussaard KR, Butt J, Dai L, Dendooven  
174 G, Perez JE, Tondoh D, Cluzeau, Brun JJ. A review of earthworm impact on soil function  
175 and ecosystem services. European Journal of Soil Science. 2013; 64: 161-182.

176

177 4. Bartz MLC, Costa ACS, Tormena CA, Souza Júnior, IG, Brown GG. Sobrevivência,  
178 produção e atributos químicos de coprólitos de minhocas em um Latossolo Vermelho  
179 distroférico (Oxisol) sob diferentes sistemas de manejo. Acta Zoológica Mexicana.  
180 2010; (8):261-280

181

182 5. Bertrand M, Barot S, Blouin M, Whalen J, Oliveira T, Roger-Estrade J. Earthworm  
183 services for cropping systems. A review. Agronomy Sustainable Development. 2016;  
184 35(2):553-567.

185

186 6. Lavelle P, Spain A, Blouin M, Brown G, Decaën T, Grimaldi M, Jimenez JJ, Mckey C,  
187 Mathieu J, Velasquez E, Zangerlé A. Ecosystem Engineers in a Self-organized Soil: A  
188 Review of Concepts and Future Research Questions. Soil Science. 2016; 181:91-109.

189

190 7. Edwards CA, Bohlen .J. The influence of environmental factor on earthworms. In:  
191 Biology an ecology of earthworms. 3. ed. London: Chapman & Hall, 1996. P. 134-154.

192

193 8. Rodrigues VC, Theodoro VCA, Andrade IF, Inácio Neto A, Rodrigues VN, Alves FV.  
194 Produção de minhocas e composição mineral do vermicomposto e das fezes  
195 procedentes de bubalinos e bovinos. Ciência Agrotécnica. 2003; 27 (6):1409-1418.

196

197 9. Martins FJR, Targino VA, Neto MAD, Teixeira EO, Zuza JFC. Teores de cálcio e fósforo  
198 no solo e em coprólitos de minhocas nativas sob um agroecossistema de girassol. (I  
199 CONPLICA II SIMLICA).

200

201

202 10. Van Groenigen JW, Lubber IM, Vos HMJ, Brown GG, Deyn GB, Van Groenigen KJ.  
203 Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis Scientific Reports. 2014. DOI:  
204 10.1038/srep06365.

205

206 11. Zagatto, MRG, Niva CC, Thomazini MJ, Barreta D, Santos A, Cardoso, GBX, Brown  
207 GG. Soil invertebrates in different land use systems: how integrated production systems  
208 and seasonality affect soil mesofauna communities. Journal of Agricultural Science and  
209 Technology. 2017; 7:158-169.

210

211 12. Kanianska, R, Ová JJU, Makovníková J, Kizeková M. Assessment of relationships  
212 between earthworms and soil abiotic and biotic factors as a tool in sustainable  
agricultural. Sustainability.2016; 8:1-13

- 213  
214 13. Senthil V, Sivakami, R. An analysis of the biodiversity of earthworms in three locations  
215 around Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology  
216 and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(9):3195-3199.  
217
- 218 14. Frazão J, Goede RGM, Salánki TE, Brussaard L, Faber JH, Hedde M, Pulleman M.M.  
219 Responses of earthworm communities to waste management after inoculation of the  
220 earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* (Linnaeus, 1758). Applied Soil Ecology, in press, 2019.
- 221 15. EMBRAPA. Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. 2ª edição. Centro Nacional de  
222 Pesquisa de solos. 2013. 306p.  
223
- 224 16. Silva, FS.; Azevedo, CAV. Versão do programa computacional ASSISTAT para o  
225 sistema operacional Windows. Revista Brasileira de Produtos Agroindustriais. 2002; 4  
226 (1):71-78
- 227 17. Saha, AK, Haque MF, Rahman MM. Seasonal Abundance of earthworms in different  
228 habitats of Rajshahi University and Rajshahi sugar mill areas. Bangladesh Journal  
229 Zoology. 2009; 37 (1):69-74.  
230
- 231 18. Sales GPS. Produção de coprólitos de minhocas nativas em Latossolo Amarelo sob  
232 pastagem de Braquiárias. [Dissertação]. Areia (BR): Universidade Federal da Paraíba;  
233 2013.  
234
- 235 19. Ortiz-Gamino D, Perez-Rodrigues P, Ortiz Ceballos AI. Invasion of tropical earthworm  
236 (Rhiodrilidae, Oligochaeta) *Pontoscolex corethrurus* in temperate grasslands. PeerJ.  
237 2016. DOI 10.7717/peerj.2572.  
238
- 239 20. Silva E, Lima OG, Andrade DP, Brown GG. Earthworm populations in forestry  
240 plantations (*Araucaria angustifolia*, *Pinus elliottii*) and Native Atlantic forest in Southern  
241 Brazil compared using two sampling methods. Pedobiology-Journal of Soil Ecology.  
242 2019; 72:1-7.
- 243 21. Fiuza DTF, Kusdra, JF, Fiuza SS. Crescimento do milho em solo sob atividade de  
244 Chibui Bari (Oligochaeta: Glossoscolecidae). Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo.  
245 2012; 36(2):359-366.
- 246 22. Silva SS, Araújo Neto SE, Kusdra JF, Ferreira RLF. Produção orgânica de mudas de  
247 couve-manteiga em substratos à base de coprólitos de minhocas. Revista Caatinga.  
248 2007; 20(4):78-83.