

Short Research Article

Olfactory attraction of rhizome borer (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to banana genotypes inoculated with entomopathogenic fungus

ABSTRACT

The species: *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) stands out as one of the main pests of banana. The damage to the crop is caused by the larvae of this pest, when they feed on the plant tissues. The aim of this study was to investigate the olfactory responses of *C. sordidus* for different varieties and the possible olfactory interference after application of *Beauveria bassiana* fungus on the crop. The research was conducted at the Phytosanitary Clinic in the Agriculture Sector of the Center for Humanities, Social and Agrarian Sciences of the Federal University of Paraíba, located in Bananeiras - PB, Brazil, from January to July 2017. The experimental design was completely randomized, with four treatments represented by banana varieties (Apple, Silver, Nanica and Pacovan) with 11 replications each. The bioassays were distributed in two stages; the first stage was an evaluation of the attractiveness of banana genotypes and rhizome and pseudostem tissues. In the second, the attractiveness of *C. sordidus* to the tissues contaminated with the fungus *B. bassiana* was investigated. The Prata banana plantation was less attractive to *C. sordidus*, the highest preference was to the Nanica banana plantation. The most attractive tissue was pseudostem. Given the conditions under which the study was conducted, the banana plantation and the plant tissues analyzed present an olfactory influence under *Cosmopolites sordidus*. The Nanica banana plantation is the most susceptible to insect attack. The application of the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* on banana baits does not interfere with the odour conditions exerted the nanica banana plantation under *C. sordidus*.

Keywords: Plant resistance, biological control, Olfactometer, Beauveria bassiana

1. INTRODUCTION

The species beetle: *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) stands out as one of the main banana pests, occurring in practically every region of the planet [1]. Injuries to the crop are caused by the larvae of this pest, which when feeding on plant tissues build galleries causing the interruption of the sap and the rotting of the plant and thus leading to decreased productivity.[2].

The use of systemic insecticides is considered one of the main forms of control for this pest [3]. However, one of the major problems encountered in chemical control is the translocation of the active ingredient of these insecticides via sap to the fruits, which are mostly marketed "in natura" [4]. In this context another form of control which has been widely used is the use of attractive baits, produced with pieces of pseudostem split in half. These baits are based

28 on the attraction exerted by the volatile substances present in the banana pseudostem and
29 rhizome. [5].

30 Use of attractive baits may be enhanced after spraying with entomopathogenic fungus:
31 *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill, this way the fungus acts against insects which served as
32 parasite spreading agents to other parts of the banana plantations. The fungus *B. bassiana*
33 is one of the most effective fungi and studied in biological control. [6]. This fungus as well as
34 other entomopathogenic fungi penetrate the host via the integument, causing the death of
35 insects due to mycotoxin production, and due to vegetative growth promoting mechanical
36 blockage of the digestive tract and other physical damage due to mycelial growth. [7]Several
37 studies have been performed to detect *C. sordidus* olfactory preference to different banana
38 varieties. [8,9,10,11]. However, studies are needed to provide information on *C. sordidus*
39 olfactory preference for entomopathogenic fungi. Since such agents may cause biological
40 interference in the treated material. This hypothesis was also studied by Magalhães et al.,
41 [12] Where, evaluating the interactions between entomopathogens, parasitoids and
42 predators, he observed that bioinsecticides may influence the choice of parasitoids by
43 changes in host characteristics such as color, shape, texture or odor.

44 Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the *C. sordidus* olfactory responses for
45 different varieties and the possible olfactory interference after *B. bassiana* fungus application
46 in the culture.

47

48 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

49

50 The research was carried out at the Phytosanitary Clinic in the Agriculture Sector of the
51 Center of Human, Social and Agrarian Sciences of the Federal University of Paraíba, located
52 in Bananeiras, Paraíba, Brazil. At the same site, *C. sordidus* specimens were obtained. The
53 capture took place by means of tile baits, made from pieces of banana pseudostems. The
54 insects were kept in the laboratory in plastic containers, measuring approximately 10 cm
55 high by 80 cm wide, with 1 cm radius perforations on the sides, containing as a food source
56 and shelter fresh pseudostem pieces, changed every five days The four banana genotypes
57 analyzed were: Apple, Silver, Nanica and Pacovan (these are kinds of banana), from which
58 two parts of the plant (pseudostem and rhizome) were used. Later the most preferred variety
59 was inoculated with the fungus: *B. bassiana* for possible verification of the preference after
60 inoculation by the banana tree borer. The strain of the entomopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana*
61 was isolated from a mummified specimen of *C. sordidus*, found in the Rural community of
62 Roma, Bananeiras district. The fungus was produced in Petri dishes in BDA culture medium
63 using the methodology described by Alves. [7].

64 For evaluation of *C. sordidus* olfactory response to banana genotypes, the rhizome and
65 pseudostem of each genotype were reduced in dimensions of approximately 2 cm, arranged
66 in a multiple arena similar to that described by Botelho *et al.* [13].Which features a central
67 arena and four side arenas as options to choose from. The bioassays were performed during
68 the night, a time associated with greater activity of the banana tree. [14].

69 The experimental design used was a completely randomized design. The bioassays were
70 composed of four treatments represented by banana varieties (Apple, Silver, Nanica and
71 Pacovan) containing 11 repetitions and distributed in two stages; where the first one
72 evaluated the attractiveness to the banana genotypes and the rhizome and pseudostem
73 tissues. In this evaluation the tissues and each vegetable were grouped in isolation on the
74 olfactometer, where two arenas were filled with fresh tissue of one genotype and the other
75 two by cotton wicks soaked with distilled water as a control. Subsequently, four *C. sordidus*

76 adults not sexed were placed in the central arena and remained for 40 minutes exposed to
77 the volatiles released by the tissues of the analyzed plants.

78 In the second stage to evaluate the attractiveness of *C. sordidus* to tissues contaminated
79 with the fungus: *B. bassina*, the arenas were filled with fresh tissue (pseudostem) of the
80 Nanica banana plantation, this time using only, detected in the first stage of the bioassays as
81 the genotype and the tissue with the highest preference for the insect. For the contamination
82 of banana tissues, the fungus was diluted in water and the tissues dipped in this solution for
83 conidia adherence in one minute. Then a 10-minute interval was waited for subsequent
84 attractiveness check by the insect.

85
86 The parameters evaluated were preference, non-preference and individuals with no
87 response to odors. For this, the insects found in three conditions were quantified: on fresh
88 rhizome and pseudostem tissues, found on the control and those that remained in the
89 release arena. Insects not in these conditions were disregarded.

90 The results for banana olfactory response to volatile banana genotypes were analyzed using
91 the non-parametric X2 test (chi-square).

92
93

94 3. RESULTS

95

96 The results for *C. sordidus* olfactory preference (Table 1) showed a high attractive effect of
97 the insect to the pseudostem plant tissue, with only values of olfactory preference below the
98 expected frequency.

99 Apple cultivar has low olfactory influence on pseudostem tissue, with values of 36.36%,
100 below the expected frequency (40%). For cultivar Prata, there was a high influence on *C.*
101 *Sordidus*, when they had an olfactory preference of 81.81%. The indices observed for the
102 cultivar Nanica showed a preference of 81.81%. The results show that the insects had a
103 higher preference for pseudococcus also for cultivar Pacovan, with values of 45.45%.

104

105 **Table 1. Attractiveness of *C. sordidus* for pseudostem tissue in banana varieties**

Varieties	Pseudotem tissue			X ²	Value de (P)
	Preference	No preference	No answer		
Apple	36,36 (40)	18,18 (40)	45,45 (20)	44,6	-0,001
Silver	81,81 (40)	9,09 (40)	9,09 (20)	73,53	-0,001
Nanica	81,81 (40)	9,09 (40)	9,09 (20)	73,53	-0,001
Pacovan	45,45 (40)	9,09 (40)	45,45 (20)	57,01	-0,001

106

107 *C. sordidus* olfactory preference (Table 2), for rhizome plant tissue, presents low olfactory
108 preference values, below the expected frequency for the cultivars Apple, Prata and Pacovan,
109 only the cultivar Nanica presents values above the expected frequency of 40%.

110 **Table 2. Attractiveness of *C. sordidus* for rhizome tissue in banana varieties**

Rhizome tissue	
----------------	--

Varieties	Observed Frequency (Expected) (%)			X ²	Value de (P)
	Preference	No preference	No answer		
Apple	18,18 (40)	9,09 (40)	72,72 (20)	174,8	-0,001
Silver	9,09 (40)	18,18 (40)	72,72 (20)	174,8	-0,001
Nanica	54,54 (40)	0,09 (40)	36,36 (20)	58,48	-0,001
Pacovan	36,36 (40)	0,09 (40)	54,54 (20)	99,8	-0,001

111

112 The apple variety rhizome tissue was not attractive to the insect, and the expected frequency
 113 (40%) was higher than the observed frequency (18.18%). Similarly, the cultivar Prata
 114 presented values below the expected frequency (9.09%). Olfactory preference for cultivar
 115 Nanica was 54.54%, the expected frequency for tissue. In this tissue, the cultivar Pacovan
 116 presented 36.36%, obtaining values below the expected frequency.

117

118 As it was observed in Table 5, *C. sordidus* showed preference by pseudostem tissues when
 119 they were inoculated by the fungus *B. bassiana*.

120 **Table 3. Attractiveness of *C. sordidus* in tissues of inoculated Nanica Banana**
 121 **plantation and without application of the fungus *Beauveria bassiana*.**

Pseudostem with application of <i>B. bassiana</i>	Observed Frequency (Expected) (%)		X ²	Value of (P)
	X ²	Pseudostem without application		
60,0 (50)	2,0	40,0 (50)	2,0	(0,001)

122

123 4. DISCUSSION

124

125 Among the various managements used for the control of agricultural pests, varietal
 126 resistance has been researched and pointed as a viable alternative in the control of *C.*
 127 *sordidus* [15, 16]. We evidenced in this study the olfactory influence exerted by different
 128 plant tissues and genotypes, analyzed on *C. sordidus*. The attractiveness of Insect by the
 129 plant is produced due to the secondary volatile compounds released by the plant as
 130 semiochemicals present in the rhizome and pseudostem [17, 8].

131 Facundo *et al.* [18] states that the various banana genotypes have different volatile
 132 compounds that vary according to variety. According to Oliveira *et al.*, [11], the volatiles
 133 found in banana genotypes, whether varieties or hybrids, have the same attractiveness for
 134 *C. sordidus*. However, there is variation in the composition and concentration of volatiles that
 135 may vary among banana genotypes, reflecting the responses of *C. sordidus* adults to each
 136 genetic material [18, 19].

137 We corroborate in this study the high attractiveness of the volatile compounds of Nanica
 138 Banana plantation for both plant tissues analyzed. The Nanica Banana Plantation is
 139 considered one of the most susceptible to attack by this insect, with losses of up to 80% of

140 production, these losses are also affected in the Prata banana plantation, with a smaller
141 proportion around 30% [20].

142 Infestations of this pest in banana plants can be reduced by planting less attractive
143 plantations, which resulted in lower insects demand for the plant and consequently less
144 oviposition and emergence of new individuals [1]. Another efficient type of control widely
145 used by producers is the use of entomopathogenic fungi traps. The use of these fungi for the
146 biological control of **banana broth** as with other agricultural pests is a viable alternative that
147 reduces environmental impacts caused by the use of chemicals such as insecticides [20].
148 The use of alternative pest controls also reduces the use of chemicals, reducing the amount
149 of toxic waste to humans in banana consumption and at the time of application [21].
150

151 5. CONCLUSION

152
153 Given the conditions under which the study was conducted, it can be concluded that the
154 banana varieties and plant tissues analyzed have an olfactory influence under *Cosmopolites*
155 *sordidus*. The Nanica banana variety is the most susceptible to Insect attack or presenting
156 high olfactory preference for pseudostem and rhizome. The application of the fungus
157 *Beauveria bassiana* on banana baits does not interfere with the odour conditions exerted the
158 nanica banana plantation under *C. sordidus*.
159

160 COMPETING INTERESTS

161
162 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
163
164

165 REFERENCES

- 166
167 1. Gold CS, Pena JE, Karamura EB. Biology and integrated pest management for the
168 banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae).
169 Integrated Pest Management Reviews. 2001 ;6: 79-155. Springer Nature.
170 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1023330900707>.
- 171 2. Dassou AG, Carval D, Dépigny S, Fansi G, Tixier P. Ant abundance and
172 *Cosmopolites sordidus* damage in plantain fields as affected by intercropping.
173 Biological Control. 2015; 81:51-57. Elsevier BV.
174 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.11.008>
- 175 3. Almeida AMB, Batista FA, Tavares FM, Leite LG. Seleção de isolados de *Beauveria*
176 *bassiana* para o controle de *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar, 1824) (Coleoptera:
177 Curculionidae). Arquivos Instituto Biológico. 2009; 76: 489-493.
- 178 4. Moreira FJC, Araújo AB, Silva VF, Luna NS, Araújo OP, Braga RDS. Controle de
179 *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) com os fungos
180 entomopatogênicos *Beauveria bassiana* e *Metarhizium anisopliae* em
181 banana. Revista Verde de Agroecologia e Desenvolvimento Sustentável. 2017;12:
182 366-373. Grupo Verde de Agroecologia e Abelhas.
183 <http://dx.doi.org/10.18378/rvads.v12i3.4538>.
- 184 5. Mendonça FAC, Vilela EF, Eiras ÁIE, Sant'Ana AEG. Resposta de *Cosmopolites*
185 *sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) aos voláteis da planta hospedeira e
186 de adultos coespecíficos em olfatômetro. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*.
187 1999;16:123-128. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81751999000600014>.

- 188 6. Pauli G, Lopes RB, Alves SB, Damatto Junior ER, Mascarin GM. Falsa broca
189 aumenta disseminação de *Beauveria bassiana* em populações de campo da broca-
190 do-rizoma da bananeira. *Ciência Rural*. 2011; 41: 1867-1870.
191 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782011001100002>
- 192 7. Alves SB. Controle microbiano de insetos. São Paulo: Manole, 1986.
- 193 8. Cerda H, López A, Sanoja O, Sánchez, JAFFÉ K. Atracción olfativa de
194 *Cosmopolites sordidus* Germar (1824) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) estimulado por
195 volatiles originados en musaceas de distintas edades y variedades genómicas.
196 *Agronomia Tropical*. 1996;46: 413-429.
- 197 9. Lara FM, Sargo HLB, Campos AR, Barbosa JC. Preferência de *Cosmopolites*
198 *sordidus* GERM. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), por genótipos de bananeira, em
199 condições de laboratório. *Revista Ecosistema*. 2000; 25:35-38.
200
201
- 202 10. Dantas DJ, Medeiros AC, Nunes GHS, Mendonça V, Moreira MAB. Reação de
203 cultivares de bananeira ao *Cosmopolites sordidus* no Vale do Açú RN. *Revista*
204 *Verde de Agroecologia e Desenvolvimento Sustentável*, Mossoró. 2011; 6: 152-155.
205
206
- 207 11. Oliveira FT, Neves PMOJ, Bortolotto OC, Ventura U. Respostas olfativas do
208 moleque-da-bananeira (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) para diferentes genótipos de
209 bananeira. *Revista Ceres*. 2018; 65(4): 329-337. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0034-737x201865040005>
210
211
- 212 12. Magalhaes BP, Monnerat R, Alves SB. Interações entre entomopatógenos,
213 parasitóides e predadores. In: Controle microbiano de insetos. Piracicaba: FEALQ;
214 1998.
215
- 216 13. Botelho PSM, Silveira Neto S, Barbin D, Boreges CG. Teste de atração de *Musca*
217 *domestica* L. com luzes de diferentes comprimentos de onda. *O Solo*, 1973; 65:42-
218 45..
219
- 220 14. Gold CS, Pinese B, Peña JE. Pests of banana. In: Peña JE, Sharp JL & Wysoki M
221 (Eds.) *Tropical fruit pests and pollinators: biology, economic importance, natural*
222 *enemies and control*. Wallingford, CABI Publishing. 2002;p. 3-56.
- 223 15. Kiggundu A, Gold CS, Labuschagne MT, Vuylsteke D, Louw S. Components of
224 resistance to banana weevil (*Cosmopolites sordidus*) in *Musa* germplasm in
225 Uganda. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 2007;122:27-35.
- 226 16. Sadik K, Nyine M & Pillay M. A screening method for banana weevil (*Cosmopolites*
227 *sordidus* Germar) resistance using reference genotypes. *African Journal of*
228 *Biotechnology*. 2010; 9:4725-4730.
- 229 17. Budenberg WJ, Ndiege IO, Karago, FW, Hansson BS. Behavioral and
230 electrophysiological responses of the banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* to host
231 plant volatiles. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 1993;19: 267-277.
- 232 18. Facundo HVV, Garruti DS, Dias CTS, Cordenunsi BR, Lajolo FM. Influence of
233 different banana cultivars on volatile compounds during ripening in cold storage.

- 234 Food Research International. 2012; 49: 626-633.
235 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.08.013>
- 236 19. Pino JA, Flebles Y. Odour-active compounds in banana fruit cv. Giant Cavendish.
237 Food Chemistry. 2013;141:795-801.
- 238 20. Fancelli M, Dias A B, Jesus SC, Delalibera I, Nascimento AS, Silva S. Controle
239 Biológico de *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germ.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) pelo Fungo
240 *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura. 2004; 3.
- 241 21. Rossetti NCS. Controle biológico e comportamental do moleque-da-bananeira.
242 Casa do Produtor Rural – ESALQ/USP. 2013; accessed 09 october 2019.
243 Available:[http://www.esalq.usp.br/cprural/boapratica/mostra/85/control-e-biologico-e-](http://www.esalq.usp.br/cprural/boapratica/mostra/85/control-e-biologico-e-comportamental-do-moleque-da-bananeira)
244 [comportamental-do-moleque-da-bananeira](http://www.esalq.usp.br/cprural/boapratica/mostra/85/control-e-biologico-e-comportamental-do-moleque-da-bananeira)
245

246
247

UNDER PEER REVIEW