

## **Original Research Article**

### **Comparison of structural defects between optic disc and ganglion cell complex in patients with glaucoma**

#### Abstract

**Purpose:** To evaluate the agreement of glaucomatous structural defects of the ganglion cell complex (GCC) detected with the spectral domain optical coherence tomography (sdOCT) with the optic nerve head alterations detected with the Heidelberg retina tomography (HRT), of glaucoma patients with ocular hypertension or open angle glaucoma.

**Material and methods:** Ninety patients eyes with structural glaucomatous defects were enrolled. All of them underwent imaging examination of GCC with sdOCT and the optic disk with HRT. The Cohen's kappa coefficient of agreement was used.

**Results:** The agreement between the optic disc and GCC using the parameters of the programs analysis of the HRT, the Moorfields regression analysis (MRA) and glaucoma probability score (GPS) was not significant. Instead between MRA and GPS a good agreement was calculated. Significant agreements were found between MRA and GPS on one hand and GCC on the other, considering location and length of the glaucomatous damage, while non significant agreements were found between GPS and GCC for the location and the length of the glaucomatous structural defect.

**Conclusions:** There is no significance (Please explain further if you are referring to significance in terms of the difference, similarity or agreement) between HRT and sdOCT for the detection of the glaucomatous damage between the optic nerve head and the ganglion cell complex. Instead MRA and GCC detect comparable areas and lengths of the glaucomatous damage. On the other hand GPS records larger deficits relative to MRA and has not a significant agreement with the study of GCC.

**Key words:** Complex, Ganglion Cell, Glaucoma Probability Score, HRT, Moorfields Regression Analysis, OCT,

#### Introduction

37 Glaucoma is a progressive optic neuropathy, characterized by an abnormal  
38 intraocular pressure (IOP) that exceeds nerve tissue resistance, with  
39 structural glaucomatous type damage of the nerve tissue, and finally optic  
40 neuropathy. There is permanent functional defects on the achromatic  
41 perimetry, when almost 40% of the nerve retinal tissue has already gone in  
42 apoptosis cellular death [1, 2].

43 Early diagnosis of glaucoma is challenging and important because of the  
44 silent clinical progression, the irreversible nature of the glaucomatous damage  
45 and its impact on patients' life. Glaucoma is a chronic disease that leads to  
46 irreversible optic nerve damage and to permanent loss of vision [3]. It is  
47 mainly asymptomatic until its advanced stages when accumulative perimetric  
48 defects, narrow the visual fields of the patient (4). The quality of life related to  
49 vision is affected at the early stages of glaucoma, whereas the socio-  
50 economic effects are also important (5).

51 The identification of glaucoma suspect is based on the presence of risk  
52 factors, such as an increased IOP, a positive family history for glaucoma, a  
53 thin central corneal thickness (CCT), the clinical appearance of the optic  
54 nerve head and others, but also on the structural and perimetrical defects,  
55 detected with several imaging methods (6).

56 The Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) and the confocal scanning laser  
57 microscopy, with the Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT), are widely used  
58 in the clinical practice to detect the glaucomatous damage. Their prognostic  
59 value have already been studied. HRT studies the optic nerve head and  
60 calculates several quantitative and qualitative indices, whereas OCT focuses  
61 on the quantitative and qualitative analysis of the retinal nerve fiber layer  
62 (RNFL) and the optic disk (7).

63 The advance of OCT technology from time domain to spectral domain  
64 imaging with fourier analysis, enable the selective study of the innermost  
65 retinal layers known as ganglion cell complex (GCC), that includes ganglion  
66 cell body, dendrites and axons of the same cells. Early structural  
67 glaucomatous damage is thought to be focused on these retinal layers (9).  
68 The clinical prognostic value and the diagnostic accuracy of GCC study for  
69 glaucoma have already been assessed with spectral domain OCT (sdOCT),  
70 and comparing GCC indices with RNFL and optic disk measurements (10).  
71 The glaucomatous GCC damages have not yet been studied with the HRT  
72 quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the optic nerve head.

73 The main purpose of the present study is to assess the clinical agreement  
74 between GCC glaucomatous structural defects detected with sdOCT **and** the  
75 optic nerve head glaucomatous alterations detected with the HRT, in patients  
76 with ocular hypertension or open angle glaucoma.

77 Material and Methods

78 (Please reframe/fragment the above sentence to: The present study was  
79 carried out by the glaucoma department of the University of Athens. It was  
80 designed according to the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the  
81 ethical and deontological committee of the hospital.) Informed consent was  
82 obtained from all participants of the study. All were examined, following a  
83 precise protocol including the record of the personal, familial and ophthalmic  
84 history, the clinical evaluation of the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), the  
85 IOP measurement, the CCT measurement and the imaging of the optic nerve  
86 head with HRT and the GCC with OCT.

87 The first one hundred patients that visited the department examined and met  
88 the inclusion criteria were chosen for the purpose of the study. Finally ninety  
89 patients' eyes were enrolled. Inclusion criteria were BCVA of or better on  
90 Snellen chart test with spherical refractive error from -6.00 D to + 3.00 D,  
91 ocular hypertension or open angle glaucoma with the presence of  
92 glaucomatous type structural defects on HRT or/and GCC examination with  
93 sdOCT and uncomplicated cataract surgery. Exclusion criteria were ocular  
94 comorbidities such as diseases of the cornea, anterior chamber, lens, vitreous  
95 cavity, and retina that may reduce visual acuity and history of intraocular  
96 surgery. (Please reframe/fragment the above sentence to: The clinician  
97 decided on the follow up time and treatment, based on his experience, the risk  
98 factors of each patient, the clinical examination and the imaging of the  
99 glaucomatous damage.)

100

101 The best corrected visual acuity was determined from Snellen chart testing on  
102 the decimal form. Slit lamp examination was performed to evaluate the  
103 anterior and posterior chambers. Fundus examination was performed with a  
104 (+ 78) D lens after dilation of the pupil with 1% tropicamide and 2.5%  
105 phenylephrine drops. Intraocular pressure was determined with a Goldman  
106 applanation tonometer. Central corneal thickness was measured with an  
107 ophthalmic ultrasonography system (Ocuscan RxP, Alcon Alcon Laboratories  
108 Inc, USA, city, state). Heidelberg Retina Tomography III (Heidelberg  
109 Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) was used to assess C/D and the  
110 other qualitative and quantitative indices of the nerve head. Both the  
111 programs analysis Glaucoma Probability Score (GPS) και Moorfields  
112 Regression Analysis (MRA) were used. The ivue - sdOCT (Optovue  
113 Corporation, Fremont, CA) was used to assess the ganglion cell complex and  
114 their indices.

115 The results of MRA and GPS of the optic nerve programs and GCC  
116 measurements were examined by the same clinician for the detection of the

117 structural damage presence or absence on the HRT and sdOCT as well as  
118 the correspondence regarding the area and the length of the damage. The  
119 decision for the anatomical correspondence was based on the optic nerve  
120 fiber distribution and the way they converge towards the optic head,  
121 respecting the middle line.

## 122 Statistical analysis

123 Data were analyzed using statistical software (SPSS for Windows 14.00,  
124 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to control  
125 the normality of the distribution. All the descriptive parameters were noted in  
126 the form of mean and standard deviation (SD) if the data were parametric or  
127 in the form of median with interquartile range if the data were nonparametric.  
128 The Cohen's kappa coefficient of agreement was used for the assessment of  
129 the results. Statistical significance was defined by  $P \leq .05$ .

## 130 Results

131 One hundred patients (43 men and fifty seven women) were examined based  
132 on the study protocol. From the two hundred patients' eyes, 110 were  
133 excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria and ninety eyes (40 rights and  
134 fifty lefts) were finally enrolled. Demographic data and clinical characteristics  
135 of the patients are presented in table 1. The median age of the patients was  
136 66 year, IOP was 18 mmHg, CCT was thin (518 $\mu$ m) and the cup to disc ratio  
137 (C/D) was (Sixty patients' eyes were not on any treatment and thirty were  
138 under topical treatment, using at least one medication. Considering optic disk  
139 measurements with HRT and the MRA program, the patients of the study had  
140 median C/D (interquartile range 0,23 - 0,47), with median linear C/D  
141 0.61ranged from 0,48 to 0,69, median rim 1,22 mm<sup>2</sup> (1,02 – 1,64), median  
142 mean cup depth 0,24 mm (0,17 – 0,32) and median mean RNFL thickness  
143 0,21 mm. Table 2 presents the MRA – HRT indices of the optic nerve head.

144 Table 3 presents the indices of the optic disk of the GPS program analysis of  
145 the HRT. The mean glaucoma probability was  $0,57 \pm 0,33$ . GCC thickness  
146 measurements and the relative indices of the patients are presented in Table  
147 4. The mean focal volume loss index (FLV) was  $3,556 \pm 3,69$  and the global  
148 volume loss index (GLV) was  $10,82 \pm 10,17$ .

149 Table 5 presents the Cohen's kappa coefficients of agreement relative to the  
150 presence or not of the glaucomatous damage between HRT and GCC. There  
151 was no significant agreement between the HRT for the optic disk and GCC of  
152 sdOCT for both the analysis programs of the HRT, MRA and GPS ( $P = 0.205$   
153 and  $P = 0,624$ ). However, between MRA and GPS a significant agreement  
154 was calculated ( $\kappa = 0.477$ ,  $P = 0.0001$ ).

155 A significant but moderate agreement was found between MRA and GCC ( $\kappa =$   
156 0,296 and  $P = 0.004$ ), considering the location of the damage when both the  
157 examinations detected the glaucomatous defect, while a non significant  
158 agreement was found between GPS and GCC ( $P = 0,602$ ). A significant and  
159 strong agreement ( $\kappa = 613$ ,  $P = 0,0001$ ) was calculated between MRA and  
160 GPS (table 6).

161 A significant and strong agreement was calculated ( $\kappa = 0,442$ ,  $P = 0.0001$ )  
162 between both MRA and GPS of HRT and GCC of sdOCT, considering the  
163 length of the glaucomatous damage when both the examinations detected the  
164 glaucomatous defect. Instead the agreements between GPS and MRA and  
165 GPS and GCC were not significant ( $P = 0.068$  and  $P = 0.256$  respectively)  
166 (table 7).

167

## 168 Discussion

169 The thickness of ganglion cell complex is significantly thin in patients with pre-  
170 perimetric glaucoma. The advances in technology of OCT imaging offers the  
171 ability of a high diagnostic accuracy and repetitivity for GCC examination in  
172 different stages of the glaucomatous optic neuropathy (11,12). Specificity of  
173 GCC examination is very high (91%) and the volume indices, calculated by  
174 ganglion cell complex analysis program, are useful in distinguishing glaucoma  
175 from healthy eyes. Arintawati and others have calculated the odds ratio (OR)  
176 of GCC volume indices and found that GLV is more precise for early (OR=  
177 1,22) and pre-perimetric glaucoma (OR= 1,74), whereas the FLV indicator  
178 was more significant (OR = 2,32) in advanced glaucoma defects (14). In the  
179 present study no agreement was recorded between the optic disc and GCC  
180 defects. GCC examination by itself does not offer a high prognostic accuracy  
181 for the detection of the glaucomatous defect for the group of pre-perimetric  
182 and glaucomatous patients of the study. These findings concern both optic  
183 nerve analysis programs of HRT, MRA and GPS.

184 GCC analysis has a significant correlation with RNFL study in both glaucoma  
185 patients and healthy individuals and probably has a higher diagnostic ability  
186 than RNFL, to detect the early glaucomatous damage (15). Instead The  
187 correlations of GCC indices with the optic disk parameters are not equally  
188 strong ( $r > 0,2$ ), especially for GLV and FLV (16). In patients with primary open  
189 angle glaucoma and glaucoma suspects patients the progression of the GCC  
190 damage follows the perimetric defects ( $P = 0.007$ ) and presents a strong  
191 correlation ( $r > 0.60$ ) with the visual fields indices (17,18). A finding of this  
192 study is a non significant agreement, between HRT and sdOCT for the  
193 detection of the glaucomatous damage that is in accordance with the low  
194 correlation described between GCC defects and optic disc indices.

195 Confocal scanning laser microscopy (HRT) has a specificity of 95,8% and  
196 offers optic disk measurements of high accuracy. HRT indices, either  
197 independent in combination with the clinical findings and the risk factors  
198 present a high correlation with the glaucomatous damage progression (19)  
199 and can predict the risk of glaucoma (20). HRT and especially the MRA  
200 analysis program can predict perimetrical defects (21). HRT sensitivity is  
201 84,3% (22) and the respective sensitivities of the programs MRA and GPS are  
202 77,1% and 71,4% (23). In the present study a significant agreement has also  
203 been calculated for the concordance regarding the location and the length of  
204 the damage between HRT and sdOCT that detect structural defects.

205 The sensitivities of GCC volume indices have been calculated and are 82,6%  
206 for the GLV and 81,5% for the FLV (24). In contrast with these different  
207 sensitivities between HRT and GCC indices, the present study revealed a  
208 significant agreement regarding the location but especially the length of the  
209 damage, between GCC and MRA. Instead there was no agreement between  
210 GPS and GCC.

211 The agreement between MRA and GPS was significantly strong ( $\kappa = 0,613$ ,  
212  $P < 0,0001$ ) for the location of the glaucomatous damage but no agreement  
213 was found for the length of the defect between the two analysis program of  
214 HRT with the GPS program to present a higher extension of the damage.

215 Limitation of the present study is the absence of a group of healthy patients  
216 that does not permit the sensitivity and specificity of the examinations. Also  
217 the present study does not calculate the correlations of the indices of HRT  
218 and GCC analysis programs. This can be the purpose of future studies to  
219 assess the appropriate indices for the detection and the follow up of the  
220 glaucomatous damage.

221

## 222 Conclusions

223 There is no significance (Please explain further if you are referring to the  
224 significance in terms of the difference, similarity or agreement) between HRT  
225 and sdOCT for the detection of the glaucomatous damage between the optic  
226 nerve head and the ganglion cell complex. Instead MRA and GCC detect  
227 comparable areas and lengths of the glaucomatous damage and they  
228 represent the indices that better follow the nerve damage area. On the other  
229 hand GPS records larger deficits relative to MRA and has no significant  
230 agreement with the study of GCC.

231

## 232 The conflict of interest

233 “The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the  
234 publication of this paper.”

## 235 Funding Statement

236 There is no financial support

237

238

239 References

- 240 1. Khawaja AP, Viswanathan AC. Are we ready for genetic testing for primary  
241 open-angle glaucoma? *Eye (Lond)*. 2018 Jan 30
- 242 2. Quigley HA, Dunkelberger GR, Green WR. Retinal ganglion cell atrophy  
243 correlated with automated perimetry in human eyes with glaucoma. *Am J*  
244 *Ophthalmol*. 1989;107(5):453-64.
- 245 3. Peters D, Bengtsson B, Heijl A. Lifetime risk of blindness in open-angle  
246 glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2013;156(4):724-30
- 247 4. Fernandez Lopez E, Karaca EE, Ekici F, Waisbourd M, Spaeth GL.  
248 Symptoms reported by patients with varying stages of glaucoma: review of  
249 401 cases. *Can J Ophthalmol*. 2014;49(5):420-5.
- 250 5. Maria Kazaki, Ilias Georgalas, Alexandros Damanakis, Georgios Labiris,  
251 Sergios Taliantzis, Chryssanthi Koutsandrea, Dimitris Papaconstantinou.  
252 Vision-Related Quality of Life in Ocular Hypertension Patients: Effects of  
253 Treatment. *Open Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2015, 5, 31-40
- 254 6. Blumberg D, Skaat A, Liebmann JM. Emerging risk factors for glaucoma  
255 onset and progression. *Prog Brain Res*. 2015;221:81-101
- 256 7. Choi YJ, Jeoung JW, Park KH, Kim DM. Clinical Use of an Optical  
257 Coherence Tomography Linear Discriminant Function for Differentiating  
258 Glaucoma From Normal Eyes. *J Glaucoma*. 2015 Jan 14.
- 259 8. Hoffmann EM, Miglior S, Zeyen T, Torri V, Rulli E, Aliyeva S, Floriani I,  
260 Cunha-Vaz J, Pfeiffer N. The Heidelberg retina tomograph ancillary study  
261 to the European glaucoma prevention study: study design and baseline  
262 factors. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2013;91(8):e612-9.
- 263 9. Na JH, Lee K, Lee JR, Baek S, Yoo SJ, Kook MS. Detection of macular  
264 ganglion cell loss in preperimetric glaucoma patients with localized retinal  
265 nerve fibre defects by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. *Clin*  
266 *Exp Ophthalmol*. 2013 41(9):870-80.
- 267 10. Le PV, Tan O, Chopra V, Francis BA, Ragab O, Varma R, Huang D.  
268 Regional correlation among ganglion cell complex, nerve fiber layer, and  
269 visual field loss in glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013  
270 21;54(6):4287-95.
- 271 11. Na JH, Lee K, Lee JR, Baek S, Yoo SJ, Kook MS. Detection of macular  
272 ganglion cell loss in preperimetric glaucoma patients with localized retinal  
273 nerve fibre defects by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. *Clin*  
274 *Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2013;41(9):870-80.
- 275 12. Renard JP, Fénolland JR, El Chehab H, Francoz M, Marill AM, Messaoudi  
276 R, Delbarre M, Maréchal M, Michel S, Giraud JM. Analysis of macular  
277 ganglion cell complex (GCC) with spectral-domain optical coherence  
278 tomography (SD-OCT) in glaucoma. *J Fr Ophtalmol*. 2013;36(4):299-309.
- 279 13. Iverson SM, Feuer WJ, Shi W1, Greenfield DS Frequency of abnormal  
280 retinal nerve fibre layer and ganglion cell layer SDOCT scans in healthy

- 281 eyes and glaucoma suspects in a prospective longitudinal study. *Br J*  
282 *Ophthalmol.* 2014 98(7):920-5.
- 283 14. Arintawati P, Sone T, Akita T, Tanaka J, Kiuchi Y. The applicability of  
284 ganglion cell complex parameters determined from SD-OCT images to  
285 detect glaucomatous eyes. *J Glaucoma.* 2013;22(9):713-8.
- 286 15. Moreno PA, Konno B, Lima VC, Castro DP, Castro LC, Leite MT, Pacheco  
287 MA, Lee JM, Prata TS. Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for  
288 early glaucoma assessment: analysis of macular ganglion cell complex  
289 versus peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer. *Can J Ophthalmol.*  
290 2011;46(6):543-7.
- 291 16. Bresciani-Battilana E, Teixeira IC, Barbosa DT, Caixeta-Umbelino C,  
292 Paolera MD, Kasahara N. Correlation between the ganglion cell complex  
293 and structural measures of the optic disc and retinal nerve fiber layer in  
294 glaucoma. *Int Ophthalmol.* 2014 Sep 3.
- 295 17. Teixeira IC, Bresciani-Battilana E, Barbosa DT, Caixeta-Umbelino C,  
296 Paolera MD, Kasahara N. Correlation between the ganglion cell complex  
297 and functional measures in glaucoma patients and suspects. *Int*  
298 *Ophthalmol.* 2014 Nov 26.
- 299 18. Anraku A, Enomoto N, Takeyama A, Ito H, Tomita G. Baseline thickness  
300 of macular ganglion cell complex predicts progression of visual field loss.  
301 *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2014;252(1):109-15.
- 302 19. Miglior S, Zeyen T, Hoffmann EM, Torri V, Rulli E, Floriani I, Poli D,  
303 Aliyeva S, Cunha-Vaz J, Pfeiffer N. Predictive value of heidelberg retina  
304 tomograph parameters for the development of glaucoma in the European  
305 glaucoma prevention study. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2015;159(2):265-76.e1.
- 306 20. Weinreb RN, Zangwill LM, Jain S, Becerra LM, Dirkes K, Piltz-Seymour  
307 JR, Cioffi GA, Trick GL, Coleman AL, Brandt JD, Liebmann JM, Gordon  
308 MO, Kass MA; OHTS CSLO Ancillary Study Group. Predicting the onset of  
309 glaucoma: the confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy ancillary study to  
310 the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study. *Ophthalmology.*  
311 2010;117(9):1674-83.
- 312 21. Schrems-Hoesl LM, Schrems WA, Laemmer R, Horn FK, Juenemann AG,  
313 Kruse FE, Mardin CY. Confocal Laser Scanning Tomography to Predict  
314 Visual Field Conversion in Patients With Ocular Hypertension and Early  
315 Glaucoma. *J Glaucoma.* 2014 Oct 9.
- 316 22. Wollstein G, Garway-Heath DF, Fontana L, Hitchings RA. Identifying early  
317 glaucomatous changes. Comparison between expert clinical assessment  
318 of optic disc photographs and confocal scanning ophthalmoscopy.  
319 *Ophthalmology.* 2000;107(12):2272-7.
- 320 23. Harizman N, Zelefsky JR, Ilitchev E, Tello C, Ritch R, Liebmann JM.  
321 Detection of glaucoma using operator-dependent versus operator-  
322 independent classification in the Heidelberg retina tomograph-III. *Br J*  
323 *Ophthalmol.* 2006;90(11):1390-2. Epub 2006 Jul 26.
- 324 24. Rao HL, Yadav RK, Addepalli UK, Begum VU, Senthil S, Choudhari NS,  
325 Garudadri CS. Comparing Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence  
326 Tomography and Standard Automated Perimetry to Diagnose  
327 Glaucomatous Optic Neuropathy. *J Glaucoma.* 2014 Aug 20
- 328



Table 1. Descriptive data and clinical characteristics of the patients	
Patients	
Sex (male/female) (N=100)	43/57
Eyes (Right / Left ) (N=90)	40/50
Age (years)	66 (61-71)
BCVA	9.38 ± 1.1
IOP (mmHg)	18 (15 – 21)
treatment	0 (0 – 1)
no medication / under medication	60 / 30
CCT (µm)	518 (509 – 533)
C/D	0.38 (0.24 – 0.47)
BCVA = Best Corrected Visual Acuity, IOP = Intraocular pressure, CCT = Central Corneal Thickness, C/D = Cup to Disk ratio	

330

Table 2. Moorfields regression Analysis Indices	
Disk area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	2,12 ± 0.46
Cup area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.74 (0.51 – 1.001)
Rim area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	1.22 (1.02 – 1.64)
Cup Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	0.18 ± 0,13
Rim Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	0.29 (0.2 – 0.41)
Cup/Disc Area Ratio	0.37 (0.23 – 0.47)
Linear Cup/Disk Ratio	0.61 (0.48 – 0.69)
Mean Cup Depth (mm)	0.24 (0.17 – 0.32)
Maximum Cup Depth (mm)	0.57 (0.42 – 0.75)
Cup Shape Measure	-0.14 (-0.2 – -0.08)
Height Variation Contour (mm)	0.94 ± 3.65
Mean RNFL Thickness (mm)	0.21 (0.11 – 0.25)
RNFL Cross Sectional Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	1.02 (0.58 – 1.24)

331

Table 3. Glaucoma Probability Score Indices	
Glaucoma probability	0.57 ± 0.33
Rim steepness	-0.26 (-0.61 - -0.14)
Cup Size (mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.43 (0.25 - 0.56)
Cup depth (mm)	0.56 (0.41 – 0.76)
horizontal RNFL curvature	-0.04 (-0.1 - 0.00)
vertical RNFL curvature	-0.12 (-0.16 - -0.08)

332

333

Table 4. Ganglion Cell Complex Indices	
Total GCC Average Thickness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	86.2 $\pm$ 12.28
Superior GCC Average Thickness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	86.64 $\pm$ 11.56
Inferior GCC Average Thickness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	85.89 $\pm$ 13.98
Intra Eye difference (S-I)	0 (-5 – 5)
FLV (%)	3.556 $\pm$ 3.69
GLV (%)	10.82 $\pm$ 10.17
S-I = Superior Area – Inferior Area, FLV = Focal loss volume, GLV = global loss volume	

334

335

Table 5. Cohen's kappa coefficient of agreement between MRA, GPS and GCC			
	MRA HRT	GPS HRT	GCC OCT
MRA HRT (P)	-	<b>0.477</b> <b>(0.0001)</b>	-0.133 (0.205)
GPS HRT (P)	<b>0.477</b> <b>(0.0001)</b>	-	0.048 (0.624)
GCC OCT (P)	-0.133 (0.205)	0.048 (0.624)	-
MRA = moorfields regression analysis, GPS = Glaucoma probability score, GCC = Ganglion Complex Cells, Probability (P) <0,05%			

336

337

Table 6. Cohen's kappa coefficient of agreement for the location of the glaucomatous defect between MRA, GPS and GCC			
	MRA HRT	GPS HRT	GCC OCT
MRA HRT	-	<b>0.613</b> <b>(0.0001)</b>	<b>0,296</b> <b>(0.004)</b>
GPS HRT	<b>0.613</b> <b>(0.0001)</b>	-	0.054 (0.602)
GCC OCT	<b>0.296</b> <b>(0.004)</b>	0.054 (0.602)	-
MRA = moorfields regression analysis, GPS = Glaucoma probability score, GCC = Ganglion Complex Cells, Probability (P) <0,05%			

338

339

340

341

342

Table 7. Cohen's kappa coefficient of agreement for the length of the glaucomatous damage between MRA, GPS and GCC			
	MRA HRT	GPS HRT	GCC OCT
MRA HRT	-	-0.167 (0.068)	<b>0.442</b> <b>(0.0001)</b>
GPS HRT	-0.167 (0.068)	-	-0.163 (0.256)
GCC OCT	<b>0.442</b> <b>(0.0001)</b>	-0.163 (0.256)	-

MRA = moorfields regression analysis, GPS = Glaucoma probability score, GCC = Ganglion Complex Cells, Probability (P) <0,05%

343

344

UNDER PEER REVIEW