



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_38154
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of kaempferol-3-O-glucoside and 1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one isolated from the leaves of Annona muricata
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-The Abstract should write according to the journal guideline</p> <p>2-Have you done the photochemical investigation if so then where is the report</p>	<p>Authors appreciate useful advice and recommendations from reviewers towards improvement in quality of manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract has been revised in line with journal guideline. 2. Phytochemical analysis carried out on plant in our previous study and reference has now been made to report of this study.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- The author should add latest reference in reference section</p> <p>2- Give proper explanation along with citation of previously research Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties Annona muricata</p> <p>3- The author should properly arrange the table and figure Legends.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Latest references added to revised manuscript. 2. References to previous researches on antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of <i>A. muricata</i> have been made in revised manuscript. 3. Tables and figure legends have been appropriately revised.
Optional/General comments	<p>1-Addition of graphical abstract may increase the strength of the paper</p> <p>2- The plant profile along with the image of the plant may be beneficial for the researchers</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract re-written in structural format according to journal guideline. 2. Plant leaf image presented in revised manuscript