



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_40188
Title of the Manuscript:	Anatomical variations of sphenoid sinus, studied by CT scan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Lot of errors in grammar and framing of sentences which needs to be corrected failing which the article is not fit for publication</p> <p>Ethical issues: The article doesn't mention about the clearance from the institutional ethics committee, which is mandatory for any publication</p>	<p>Thank you for your instructive comments. Grammatical and spelling problems have corrected. Ethical permission has stated in the text.</p> <p>Great regards</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Identity of the place where the study is done is done two places which is not desirable. The youngest patient was 14 years old. No other details regarding the age distribution pattern, oldest patient included are available There is no mention about ethical clearance for the study. Review of literature is not proper</p>	<p>Age pattern has stated in the text (13 to 90)</p> <p>Ethical permission has stated in the text.</p> <p>Great regards</p>
Optional/General comments	May be accepted after major revisions	