

Editor's Comment

1. Consider changing the title and deleting bulk because you report only analysis of tablets.
A. No my method is in the bulk and its tablet formulation.
2. Abstract, line 9: high performance liquid chromatographic not high performance chromatographic
A. Corrected as High performance liquid chromatographic.
3. Abstract, line 15,22,23,24: 5 μ ?
A. In the line 15 indicates particle size (5 μ m) packed in column. 22,23,24 are solution concentrations in(μ g/mL)
4. Introduction, line 43: (4',3':6,7), it's not chemically written
A. That matter was typed.
5. Figure 1 and 2 could be done with Chemdraw.
A. Yes
6. line 80: 400 mg not 400mg
A. Corrected as 400 mg.
7. line 84: 5 μ m not 5 μ
A. corrected as 5 μ m
8. line 86: Waters not Water
A. Corrected as Waters
9. line 91: in abstract it's reported 258 nm, here 285 nm....what is the correct wavelength?
A. Detection was carried out at 285 nm.
10. line 117: reggration....Did you mean regression?
A. Corrected as regression
11. line 127: mobile phase composition change ± 3 : ± 3 about what?
A. The mobile phase composition was changed ± 3 organic phase. That was corrected
12. line 128: HCl not HCL
A. Corrected as HCl
13. line 196: are you sure are inter-day data and not intra-day data?
A. corrected as intra-day data.
14. Line 213-214: you reported "The limit of detection and limit of quantitation to be determined 0.0068 μ g/ml & 0.029 μ g/ml for VEL and 0.104 μ g/ml & 0.347 μ g/ml for SOF respectively." while in the abstract you reported "The LOD and LOQ for VEL and SOF were found to be 0.0068-0.104 μ g/mL and 0.029-0.342 μ g/mL respectively."

A. Typographical mistake and corrected that mistake.

15. line 215: The authors should compare the results of the modification with the normal conditions

A. corrected all the changes.

16. Table 8: authors should indicate the conditions of degradation in water

Line 240-243: why the caption is in bold?

A. corrected and remove the boldness

References: Reference styles should be uniform for all

A. Corrected

Author's Feedback

It's my pleasure to write a feedback that the review was high standard by the consideration of every sentence, word and character in the manuscript. I was gone through all the comments of the editor and corrected and hope my corrections will satisfy as per journal standards and expecting positive reply from your side, Thank You.