SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47756
Title of the Manuscript:	Attachment Styles, Marital Conflicts, Coping Strategies, and Sexual Satisfaction in spouse abused and non- abused women
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	 Change the language style in the abstract. (highlighted in red colour), spelling and include keywords. Lengthy Introduction can be modified, Split into need for study / Background Regarding the tool description, also lengthy and be minimized to core facts and scoring keys. In table 2, the values are misinterpreted. Mean scores of coping strategies scale obtained to 42.75 and 45.55 for abused and non- abused women. respectively. In Reference part, follow any one style and follow author guidelines. In results part, In this current study 300 spouse abused women were compared to 300 non spouse abused women in terms of some variables including marital conflicts, coping strategies, sexual 	
	 satisfaction, and attachment style. The above sentence Continuously repeated in this manuscript ,Can be modified. In conclusion, change the sentence completion. problems and conflicts making these problems chronic. Chronic conflicts not only 	
Optional/General comments	Instead of 8 tables can include the figures. If pos , include the recommendations. If pos modify the conclusion with significant findings	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with
		reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	No. but not mention about the study findings. Hence , if pos clarify with formal permission	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	Original 82%, plagiarism 18 %, referenced 0%	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajathi Sakthivel
Department, University & Country	Dr. M. G. R. Medical University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)