

# Variations in the anatomy of sphenoid sinus: A Computed Tomography Investigation.

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The sphenoid sinus is surrounded by many neurovascular structures which are very vulnerable to intrasphenoid sinus surgeries. The purpose of this study is to investigate the variation of sphenoid sinus structure by CT scan imaging.

**Methodology:** This is a retrospective study of 3D images of a paranasal sinus in 129 cases. In this study, three-way metering of the sphenoid sinus, additional septum, pneumatization of the period process (PP), anterior clinoid process (ACP) and greater wing of sphenoid and protrusion and dehiscence of adjacent structures will be assessed.

**Results:** Protrusion of internal carotid artery (ICA), a vidian nerve, maxillary nerve ( V2) and optical canal were seen respectively 50.4%, 57.36%, 62.5% and 54.3% but dehiscence of this structure was seen 8.5%, 7%, 3.9% and 6.2%. pneumatization of PP, ACP and greater wing of sphenoid were seen 96.87%, 43.9% and 41.1%. Additional septum also is seen in 76% of the population.

**Conclusion:** This study demonstrates numerous variations in sphenoid sinus structure. Some of the variations cause many problems during intrasphenoidal surgery. Therefore, physicians should evaluate patients completely before surgery.

*Keywords: paranasal sinus, internal carotid artery, median nerve, maxillary nerve, optical canal*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In medical science, accurate diagnosis needs exact knowledge about common anatomy [1]. Sphenoid sinus is the most inaccessible and variable sinus of paranasal [2-4]. sphenoid sinus variation is widely used in skull base surgeries in a procedure called functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS). FESS is one of the best choices in a variety of surgeries such as endoscopic orbital surgery, Sino nasal tumours and endoscopic pituitary surgery [5-6].

It worth noting that numerous nerves and vessels (maxillary nerve, vain nerve, internal carotid artery,..) are present around of sphenoid sinus which may be damaged during surgery. These structures injury will be produced by anatomical variations such as protrusion, dehiscence, pneumatization of these elements [2,7].


Computed tomography is a valuable technique for investigation of paranasal sinuses variation. Beside diagnostic importance of this technique, CT scan may be used for assessment of risk factors mentioned before [7-8].

Some studies have focused on a variety of variations but the aim of this article is to cover different measurements of variations of sphenoid sinus especially in Iranian population.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a retrospective study of cross-sectional paranasal sinus images obtained from computed tomography (CT) (120kv, 210mAs, 1.25 mm). Patient's data recorded in Imam Hussein hospital, radiology department of Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran. These patients were referred to CT scan ward for evaluation of sinusitis, trauma, facial surgery and etc. This study performed during 2015 to 2017. Total cases were 213 from CT Scan device database and 84 patients were excluded. Some of them had head and neck surgery or trauma (because of possible changes in sinus parameters) and others were under 13 or older than 86 years old age (because of immaturity in sinus structure). Finally, 129 patients were included [9].

39 Imaging protocols were reviewed and approved by radiology attendant of **Shahid Beheshti** medical  
40 science university. Images were studied in **3D** (axial, sagittal and coronal) plans and measurement  
41 carried out by Siemens™ measurement device and viewer software. Measurement of the **sinus length**  
42 was performed at maximum length in three directions: length, width and height.



43  
44 **Fig. 1. Coronal CT scan revealed Pneumatization (presence of air cells in the anterior clinoid**  
45 **processes) [rectangular], Dehiscence (bone coverage missing in pterygoid canal) [red arrow]**  
46 **and also Protrusion (bone bulging around foramen rotundum).**



47  
48 **Fig. 2. The coronal slice CT scan, Pneumatization in the pterygoid process(stars) and**  
49 **anatomical place of the common carotid (white arrow) and dehiscence of vidian canal are**  
50 **seen.**  
51

52 **3. RESULTS**

53

54 In this study, 129 CT 3D images of sphenoid sinus were evaluated. 70 cases were male and 59 cases  
 55 were female. 3D paranasal sinus CT scans were analysed for Determination the amount of sinus  
 56 pneumatisation and variation of adjacent neurovascular structures.

57 One of the important variations is additional septum that could be observed on the coronal and axial  
 58 section. Additional sphenoid sinus septum was observed in 76% of the population. This variation in  
 59 male were 76.8% and 72.9% female cases. Other data including dehiscence and protrusion of  
 60 neurovascular structure are presented in following four tables:

61

Variables	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Mean ±SD
Age	13.00 ( y)	86.00 (y)		
Length of Pituitary fossa	7.80(mm)	51.90(mm)	28.78(mm)	6.65(mm)
Wide of Pituitary fossa	12.80(mm)	42.00(mm)	27.37(mm)	5.30(mm)
Height of Pituitary fossa	6.70 (mm)	39.70(mm)	24.10(mm)	6.25(mm)
Pituitary to floor of sinus	3.90(mm)	37.00(mm)	19.65(mm)	7.42(mm)
Pituitary to ostium of sinus	9.30(mm)	35.70(cm)	18.75(cm)	6.06(mm)
Floor thickness of Pituitary fossa	.20(mm)	30.10(mm)	7.50(mm)	9.58(mm)
the thickness of the posterior wall of the sphenoid sinus	.60(mm)	20.80(mm)	2.65(mm)	2.88(mm)
Distance between Sinus ostium to ANS	22.40(mm)	72.70(mm)	57.15(mm)	9.65(mm)

62

63

64

65 **Table1. Pituitary-floor distance:** vertical distance between the lowest side of gland and floor of the  
 66 sphenoid sinus. **Pituitary to the ostium of sinus:** distance between the most anterior part of gland &  
 67 ostium of a sphenoid sinus.

68

69

	No protrusion& dehiscence		Both side protrusion		Left protrusion		Right protrusion	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Vivian nerve	T=74	57.36%	T=29	22.48%	T=7	5.4%	T=4	3.1%
	M=45	61%	M=14	48.27%	M=3	42.85%	M=1	25%
	F=29	39%	F=15	51.73%	F=4	57.15%	F=3	75%
V2	T=80	62.5%	T=27	20.9%	T=8	6.2%	T=7	5.4%
	M=44	55%	M=19	70%	M=4	50%	M=1	15%
	F=36	45%	F=8	30%	F=4	50%	F=6	85%
	T=65	50.4%	T=32	24.8%	T=11	8.5%	T=6	4.7%

Carotid artery	M=32	49%	M=16	50%	M=7	64%	M=4	66%
	F=33	51%	F=16	50%	F=4	36%	F=2	34%
Optic canal	T=70	54.3%	T=24	18.6%	T=11	8.5%	T=9	7%
	M=39	56%	M=14	58%	M=6	54%	M=1	100%
	F=31	44%	F=10	42%	F=5	46%	F=0	0%

70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75

**Table 2: Number and percentage of normal position and protrusion of vidian nerve, v2, carotid artery and optic canal (T=total number, M=male, F=female).**

	Both side dehiscence		Left dehiscence		Right dehiscence	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Vidian nerve	T=9	7.0%	T=5	3.9%	T=1	0.8%
	M=6	66.6%	M=1	20%	M=0	0%
	F=3	33.4%	F=4	80%	F=1	100%
V2	T=5	3.9%	T=2	1.6%	T=0	0%
	M=1	20%	M=1	50%	M=0	0%
	F=4	80%	F=1	50%	F=0	0%
Carotid canal	T=11	8.5%	2	1.6%	T=2	1.6%
	M=0	0%	M=0	0%	M=0	0%
	F=11	100%	F=2	100%	F=2	100%
Optic canal	T=8	6.2%	T=5	3.9%	T=2	1.6%
	M=5	62.5%	M=3	60%	M=0	0%
	F=3	37.5%	F=2	40%	F=2	100%

76  
77  
78  
79

**Table 3: Number and percentage of normal position and dehiscence of vidian nerve, v2, carotid artery and optic canal (T=total number, M=male, F=female).**

	Pneumatization		NO Pneumatization	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Clinoid process	56	43.4	T=73	56.6%
	M=32	57.14%	M=38	52.5%
	F=24	42.86%	F=35	47.5%
Greater wing of sphenoid	T=53	41.1%	T=76	58.9%
	M=32	60.37%	M=38	50%
	F=21	39.63%	F=38	50%

	32	24.8	97	75.2%
pterygoid process	M=15	46.87%	M=53	54.63%
	F=17	53.12%	F=44	45.37%

80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131

**Table 4: Rate of Pneumatization in Clinoid process, Greater wing of the sphenoid and pterygoid process.**

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Because of the anatomical location of the sphenoid sinus, intrasphenoidal surgery leads to multiple complications [10-13]. Because of immature sinus structure under 13, participants in this study are older than 14 years [14-15].

Sphenoid sinus is enclosed by the sphenoid bone and closely connected to a number of critical elements for example optic nerve, carotid artery, median nerve and maxillary nerve [12,16-18]. Sphenoid sinus expansion is in all directions. In pervious studies pterygoid process bone pneumatization was reported approximately 25%-57% [1,15,19-20]. It is found in 24.8% pneumatization of the pterygoid process in considered cases. Also, the rate of pneumatization in women is 2% more compared to man.

The floor of the sinus shows a certain border that communicates to the vidian (pterygoid) canal (VC) [12,19,21]. When pneumatization extend into the plates, in the pervious study show that protrusion of VC in sphenoid sinus Varies from 7 to 10% [4,12,21]. But Our study showed a rate of protrusion of VC on both sides is 22.5% and dehiscence on both sides is 7% and 5.4 % have an only left protrusion and right protrusion have 3.1%. Left dehiscence is approximately 3.9 % and right dehiscence is 8%. Our study and the previous study shows a significant relationship between pneumatization of PP and VC protrusion and dehiscence. The sphenoid pneumatization upward, resulting in the anterior clinoid process (APC). Subsequent pneumatisation of ACP, optic nerve protrudes or dehiscence to sphenoid process. Thus inflammation of sphenoid sinus and blind intrasphenoidal Surgery causes Damage to the optic nerve. In pervious study rate of APC pneumatization were between 6-17% [22-23]. In our study, APC pneumatization is 43.4% but optic canal protrusion in the pervious study were 8-77.7%. But our observations show that optic canal protrusion is 54.3%. 8.7% of protrusion for left sinus and the 8.7% percent of the protrusion is for right sinus and remain are a protrusion in both sinuses. Dehiscence of the optic canal on both sides is 6.2%, only right sinus 1.6% and only left sinus 3.9%. Our obvious don't show between man and woman APC pneumatization and protrusion of optic canal. Therefore paying attention to sphenoid sinus roof to Iranian patient for surgeons is important because prevents optic nerve injuries.

One of the elements that increase the risk of injury in intrasphenoidal surgery is carotid artery; because carotid canal (CC) located in the lateral wall of the sphenoid sinus. In the past article reported the percentage of CC protrude was 5.2% to 72.2% [4,24-25]. But our study shows that in 49.6% of population CC protrudes to a sphenoid sinus that 24.8% is bilateral, 8.5% left side and 7% right side. But in 8.5% both side dehiscence, 1.6% left side and 1.6% right side dehiscence.

V2 or maxillary nerve located on the inferolateral wall of sinus in foramen rotundum. Our study shows in 20.9% v2 protrudes to sphenoid sinus but 6.2% only left protrude and 5.4% right. The previous study demonstrated percentage of v2 protrusion was between 8.3% to 28.2% and dehiscence was between 6.4% to 10.7% [15,25-26]. but our Findings Revealed percentage of V2 dehiscence is 3.9% both side, 1.6% left side and 0% right side. Overall our study exhibit variation in left sinus is more than right sphenoid sinus.

One of the variations in sphenoid sinus is additional septum that covers ICA. In intrasphenoidal surgery for exposure of sphenoidal sinus roof, surgeon has to remove sinus roof, Therefore Can cause damage to this element. In pervious study seen in 68.8% to 69.6% of cases exist additional septum in both side [7,9,17]. But in our study shows in Iranian population that the rate of the additional septum is 76% that our study shows this variation in men is 4% more than women. It should be noted that most people have more than one septum on sphenoid sinus.

132 In conclusion, sphenoid sinus possesses numerous and diverse type of variations. Some of the  
133 variation can cause many problems during a lifetime. Therefore physicians have evaluated the patient  
134 in detail. Also specially radiologist should evaluate images in multiplane and should report different  
135 variation because it is necessary for appropriate management of the patient.

136 **Ethical approval:** As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has  
137 been collected and preserved by the author.

138  
139 **Consent:** NA  
140

141

142

## 143 REFERENCES

- 144 1. Prabhu L, Kumar A, Pai M, Kevin D. The anatomical variations in the neurovascular relations of the  
145 sphenoid sinus: an evaluation by coronal computed tomography. *Turkish neurosurgery*.  
146 2015;25(2):289-93.
- 147 2. Aksoy F, Yenigun A, Goktas S, Ozturan O. Association of accessory sphenoid septa with variations  
148 in neighbouring structures. *The Journal of laryngology and otology*. 2017;131(1):51-5.
- 149 3. Davoodi M, Saki N, Saki G, Rahim F. Anatomical variations of neurovascular structures adjacent  
150 sphenoid sinus by using CT scan. *Pakistan journal of biological sciences: PJBS*. 2009;12(6):522-5.
- 151 4. Hewaidi G, Omami G. Anatomic variation of sphenoid sinus and related structures in Libyan  
152 population: CT scan study. *Libyan Journal of Medicine*. 2008;3(3):1-9.
- 153 5. Rahmati A, Ghafari R, AnjomShoa M. Normal variations of sphenoid sinus and the adjacent  
154 structures detected in cone beam computed tomography. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2016;17(1):32.
- 155 6. Anusha B, Baharudin A, Philip R, Harvinder S, Shaffie BM, Ramiza R. Anatomical variants of  
156 surgically important landmarks in the sphenoid sinus: a radiologic study in Southeast Asian patients.  
157 *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*. 2015;37(10):1183-90.
- 158 7. Shpilberg KA, Daniel SC, Doshi AH, Lawson W, Som PM. CT of anatomic variants of the paranasal  
159 sinuses and nasal cavity: poor correlation with radiologically significant rhinosinusitis but importance  
160 in surgical planning. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2015;204(6):1255-60.
- 161 8. Eloy JA, Svider PF, Setzen M. Clinical pearls in endoscopic sinus surgery: key steps in preventing  
162 and dealing with complications. *American journal of otolaryngology*. 2014;35(3):324-8.
- 163 9. Kazkayasi M, Karadeniz Y, Arıkan OK. Anatomic variations of the sphenoid sinus on computed  
164 tomography. *Rhinology*. 2005;43(2):109-14.
- 165 10. Lee JC, Hsu CH, Kao CH, Lin YS. Endoscopic intrasphenoidal vidian neurectomy: how we do it.  
166 *Clinical Otolaryngology*. 2009;34(6):568-71.
- 167 11. Bergland RM, Ray BS, Torack RM. Anatomical variations in the pituitary gland and adjacent  
168 structures in 225 human autopsy cases. *Journal of neurosurgery*. 1968;28(2):93-9.
- 169 12. Unal B, Bademci G, Bilgili YK, Batay F, Avci E. Risky anatomic variations of sphenoid sinus for  
170 surgery. *Surgical and Radiologic anatomy*. 2006;28(2):195-201.
- 171 13. Zada G, Cavallo LM, Esposito F, Fernandez-Jimenez JC, Tasiou A, De Angelis M, et al.  
172 Transsphenoidal surgery in patients with acromegaly: operative strategies for overcoming technically  
173 challenging anatomical variations. *Neurosurgical focus*. 2010;29(4):E8.
- 174 14. Vidic B, STOM d. The postnatal development of the sphenoidal sinus and its spread into the  
175 dorsum sellae and posterior clinoid processes. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 1968;104(1):177-  
176 83.
- 177 15. Tomovic S, Esmaeili A, Chan NJ, Shukla PA, Choudhry OJ, Liu JK, et al. High-resolution  
178 computed tomography analysis of variations of the sphenoid sinus. *Journal of Neurological Surgery*  
179 *Part B: Skull Base*. 2013;74(02):082-90.
- 180 16. Banna M, Olutola P. Patterns of pneumatization and septation of the sphenoidal sinus. *Journal of*  
181 *the Canadian Association of Radiologists*. 1983;34(4):291-3.
- 182 17. Hamid O, El Fiky L, Hassan O, Kotb A, El Fiky S. Anatomic variations of the sphenoid sinus and  
183 their impact on trans-sphenoid pituitary surgery. *Skull base*. 2008;18(01):009-15.
- 184 18. Endoskopik SB, Sonuçlar D. Endoscopic endonasal trans-sphenoidal approach to the sellar  
185 region: results of endoscopic dissection on 30 cadavers. *Turkish neurosurgery*. 2009;19(3):237-44.
- 186 19. Bolger WE, Parsons DS, Butzin CA. Paranasal sinus bony anatomic variations and mucosal  
187 abnormalities: CT analysis for endoscopic sinus surgery. *The Laryngoscope*. 1991;101(1):56-64.

188 20.Şirikci A, Bayazıt Y, Bayram M, Mumbuc S, Güngör K, Kanlıkama M. Variations of sphenoid and  
189 related structures. *European radiology*. 2000;10(5):844-8.  
190 21.Enatsu K, Takasaki K, Kase K-I, Jinnouchi S, Kumagami H, Nakamura T, et al. Surgical anatomy  
191 of the sphenoid sinus on the CT using multiplanar reconstruction technique. *Otolaryngology—Head  
192 and Neck Surgery*. 2008;138(2):182-6.  
193 22. Dessi P, Moulin G, Castro F, Chagnaud C, Cannoni M. Protrusion of the optic nerve into the  
194 ethmoid and sphenoid sinus: prospective study of 150 CT studies. *Neuroradiology*. 1994;36(7):515-6.  
195 23. Sofferan RA. Harris P. Mosher Award thesis. The recovery potential of the optic nerve. *The  
196 Laryngoscope*. 1995;105(7 Pt 3 Suppl 72):1-38.  
197 24. Fujii K, Chambers SM, Rhoton Jr AL. Neurovascular relationships of the sphenoid sinus: a  
198 microsurgical study. *Journal of neurosurgery*. 1979;50(1):31-9.  
199 25. Sareen D, Agarwal A, Kaul J, Sethi A. Study of sphenoid sinus anatomy in relation to endoscopic  
200 surgery. *Int J Morphol*. 2005;23(3):261-6.  
201 26. Chong V, Fan Y, Lau D. Imaging the sphenoid sinus. *Australas Radiol*. 1994;29:47-54.  
202