

**Editor's Comment:**

After reading the reviewers comments and the revised version of the MS, I would say that the MS still deserves major revisions. Particularly, I highlight the following aspects:

- the citation of the scientific names is not correct
- the authors should use past tense instead of present
- the discussion should be written (as it is too superficial)

I would also like to clarify the procedures used by the editorial services: who decides to send the MS for review and who chooses the reviewers; In fact, I would reject this paper immediately, without sending it to peer-review, and suggest a new submission of the improved version. But at this stages, after two rounds of peer-revision, I cannot do that.

**Editor's Details:**

Dr. Ana Ribeiro-Barros  
Biotrop Center - Environment, Agriculture and Development, Tropical Research Institute (IICT),  
Portugal