



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_48109
Title of the Manuscript:	Megaprojects - Socioeconomic and Environmental Dynamics in D. Pedro I-Tamoios Road Axis, São Paulo, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors tried to to analyse socio-environmental changes in 10 municipalities located along the D. Pedro I - Tamoios road axis, São Paulo state, Brazil, chosen for their regional relevance, and transformations caused by the roads duplication. Socio-demographic data, the expansion of industry, services, tourism development and agricultural production of these municipalities were analysed with a focus in social and environmental changes that took place in this study area.</p> <p>Author's found out that this megaproject development had not considered the sustainability of regional natural resources, in a manner that promotes environmental and living quality to the population</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The study is interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly.</li> <li>• Following amendments are needed- <b>Page 14-15, Line 435-501; 4. CONCLUSION</b> <i>is to be re-written points wise.</i></li> </ul>	<b>The Conclusion was rewritten</b>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</i></li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	