



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48239
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyse the perception level and the causes of migration in Mali.
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction the author should mention how the work/study is divided (the structure)</p> <p>Inline 92-93 the author refers that emigration in Mali is very well-documented, but he does not make a state of art regarding this issue. It is not sufficient to make a endnote here making reference to an author. In this article and in this first part he/she should make a brief state of art of this issue.</p> <p>In line 107 it does not seems academic to put Mali's coordinates. It is relevant to say where it is geographically, but it is already too much to indicate the coordinates.</p> <p>In line 130 the author says that analysis the data in all the cercles of region of Sikasso, except Yorosso. But, it does not explain why it leaves out of the analysis this region.</p> <p>In line 200, it seems that there is a word missing "...there represent...." ?</p> <p>The conclusion much reflect the main results of the study. It seems that the author did not explore well enough all the results. The conclusion must be developed.</p>	<p>As you asked for the structure of the paper I have done it</p> <p>The rest of this paper is structured as follow: Section 2 presents the migration trends in Mali followed by the methods in section 3. Section 4 and 5 describe respectively the results and discussion, and the conclusion.</p> <p>In line 108 with coordinates have been corrected in "it is located between"</p> <p>The fact that Yorosso is not included in the analysis is due to the lack of accessibility.</p> <p>In line 201 "there" has been corrected in "they"</p> <p>The objective of this research was to identify the socioeconomic characteristics of migrants based on the push factors. For instance, to identify the characteristics of people who migrate due to bad weather or environmental challenges. Cross-sectional data was used for the analysis in this estimation. The use of multinomial logistic regression is the fact migration issue has many causes. From the result, the factors that significantly influenced migration were sex, age, and age squared, household size, labour constraint, and location. The probability of migration due to poverty or unemployment is very high than the other push factors such as environmental challenges. The fact to not overcome the environmental challenges is due to the vulnerability of the population. Therefore, the government should focus its effort on the first point of sustainable development goals that is "no poverty".</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>It does not seem that there are ethical issues in the manuscript, but the Journal should always submitted it to a Plagiarism program to detect potential faults.</p>	