



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48332
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers Current Agriculture Practices on Paddy Cultivation and Relationship with Work Performance In Iada Batang Lupa, Sarawak, Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explain how a descriptive study was transformed to quantitative approach?</li> <li>Were the farmers grouped by gender? What is the proportion of women and men involved in paddy cultivation?</li> <li>The result seems to be separated to discussion. I suggested to have section for results and other to discussion (not as subsection)</li> <li>Section Age, ≤39-49 to be changed to below 49 years because it was joined information about ≤39 and 40-49 years. The mas sentence has information presented in the first result of age, no need for repletion</li> <li>In the land size, can farmers be grouped in small, medium and large scale farmers? How is it affecting production? Related to other information such as average yield, use of inputs, other agriculture practices.</li> <li>Are the more productive farmers with more experience? Is there any relationship?</li> <li>Explain better the sections of land preparation, planting and transplanting, source of water and fertilizer. Example: farmers are no concern about fertilizer. That mean no application? What is the source of water of farmers? Which is more used?</li> <li>There is need to explain the pest and disease management and harvesting. Are the farmers controlling pest and disease or it is only awareness of the importance? The meaning of harvesting (time of harvesting? Remove the crop from the field?)</li> <li>Regression equation should be moved to material and methods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Descriptive research is aimed at making the research more familiar or to gain insight into the phenomena. However, collection of primary data to test a cause and effect relationship make a the research to quantitative in nature.</li> <li>Farmers were not group by gender in the study. However, paddy production is a male dominated activity in the study area.</li> <li>The result and discussion are now a section of their own.</li> <li>The age range is adjusted as suggested</li> <li>Farmers were group as small, medium and large scale based Size of their land, use of inputs and other agricultural practices.</li> <li>This was discuss; the more experience a farmers is the more productive he become and so there is a strong relationship on the two experience and production.</li> <li>This aspect was also treated as suggested in the results discussion on the independent factors as land preparation etc.</li> <li>The pest and disease and harvesting were discussed and treated as suggested</li> <li>The regression equation was shifted as suggested</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Verify the citation, et al in italic and some years in parenthesis. Uniformize the references</li> <li>Keywords are suggested to be different from the words in titles form more visibility of the manuscript: Try words such as production yields, field activities or management, etc...</li> <li>Verify verbs in the text, some are in present (is). Check objectives</li> <li>How this work will have policy implication? How will be used by policy makers (significance of the study)</li> <li>Repetition of number of farmers in material and methods (total farmers, selection farmers and sample population). This can be rephrased and make it simple and clear</li> <li>First paragraph of Results and discussion change the word paper to research/ study</li> <li>Section 3.1., repletion of capture in the first sentences.</li> <li>Section 3.2.1 and above: table number not mentioned</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Verified as suggested.</li> <li>Done as suggested</li> <li>Verified</li> <li>Treated as suggested</li> <li>Adjusted as simple and clear</li> <li>Done as suggested</li> <li>Done</li> <li>Done</li> </ul>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript has updated references and well presented.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	