



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_48247</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Employability Analysis of Management External Degree Holders of Sri Lanka: Longitudinal Study based on University of Sri Jayewardenepura</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Overall Article is <b>Excellent</b>            Author analysing "Employability Analysis of Management External Degree Holders of Sri Lanka: Longitudinal Study based on University of Sri Jayewardenepura "            With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and note down below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Author followed universal system while preparing the article.</li> <li>• Author used empirical &amp; descriptive study and used simple statistical tools for analysing the above problem</li> <li>• Hence, Research Result showing accurate values</li> <li>• Article design and analysing pattern is good but between sentences some serial Numbers are given. It is confusion to readers. I think these are reference nos. and should be shown with lower font at up side of the sentence.</li> <li>• Author should take care about the construction of sentences and paragraphs.</li> <li>• All conclusions are coming from research study but not given any suggestions.</li> <li>• Sufficient references are included.</li> </ul> <p>Finally, this article is graded <b>Excellent</b>.</p>	<p>Thank you very much for the compliments.</p> <p>Since this study is a descriptive study, it is not necessary to make suggestions based on the results.</p>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>