



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The authors write as they answer questions: 1) 2) ...? no results are presented. No, they must write in context: - literary work, their work and results, - well written and structured text.</p>	<p><b>Respected sir,</b></p> <p><b>I would like to bring to your kind notice that,</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Based on the first 4 four relations, we have developed relations 5 to 25. With further study, by studying all the relations in a systematic approach in view of String theory models, there is a possibility for developing a workable model of Unification.</li> <li>2) In support of our views, we have mentioned our earlier publications and forth coming publications.</li> <li>3) Relations 5 to 25 are our proposed results only.</li> <li>4) We want this paper to be a short communication and we have clearly mentioned at the beginning of first page header. In this context, I request you to please contact Ms. Ruma Bag</li> <li>5) As the subject under consideration is very typical and is in its BUDDING stage, we humbly request you to please recommend our 4<sup>th</sup> revision for publication.</li> </ol> <p>Before taking your final decision, I humbly request you to kindly go through the valuable and unbiased words of Dr. G. Rosi et al. (Precision measurement of the Newtonian gravitational constant using cold atoms, Nature <b>510</b> (7506), 518–521 (2014).</p> <p>According to Rosi et al [1]:There is no definitive relationship indeed between <math>G_N</math> and the other fundamental constants and no theoretical prediction for its value to test the experimental results. Improving the knowledge of <math>G_N</math> has not only a pure metrological interest, but is also important for the key role that this fundamental constant plays in theories of gravitation, cosmology, particle physics, astrophysics, and geophysical models.</p>