SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
The paper is well written	Dear Editor;
But a few revisions are required as follows.	I appreciate the corrections raised. I have adjusted accordingly, and to the best of my knowledge.
 Numbering of the sections.Page 6, 1.2 Statement of the problem should be numbered 1.5. Page 7, 1.5 Research questions should be numbered 1.6. 	1.Concerning the numbering, the work is numbered as follows: 1.1 Background to the Study; 1.2 Statement of the Problem; 1.3 Research question and so on
Table 2. I could not understand the Mean values (X) in the Table 1, 1.30, 1.92, 1.32, 1.11. They may correspond to the values in page 15. If so, note that exactly in the Table caption.	2. The Mean values (X) in Table 2 (i.e 1.30, 1.92, 1.32, 1.11), correspond with those in Table 1. I have also noted it in the Table caption as requested.
3. Sampling method. In page 15, the authors explain they gained 90 responses. They should explain how the 90 respondents are selected, by random sampling or snow-bowling sampling or else.	3. The details required are now included.
4. Some figures. The readers more likely grasp the paper, if the authors add map of Nigeria, particularly each geo-political zones described in Table 1. I also hope a photo of some costume products as an independent figure.	The map is now included, but costume products are not included because of these costume produced are diverse; not often the same for every consumer. As such, one or two cannot be presented for all. Other corrections raised aside the four mentioned have also been effected
	Regards

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)