



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	2014_ARRB_11131
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOREMEDIATION OF INDUSTRIAL EFFLUENT USING CYANOBACTERIAL SPECIES: <i>PHORMIDIUM MUCICOLA</i> AND <i>ANABAENA AEQUALIS</i>
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The subject of the manuscript is useful and seems adding to the existing knowledge in bioremediation of industrial effluent. However, the clarity of the manuscript is very poor. The sentence constructions very poor grammatically in most cases. Problem with spelling as well. There is a need for thorough rewrite of the manuscript.	Manuscript rewrite
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		