



## SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	<a href="#">AnnualResearch&amp;Review inBiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_ARRB_11131
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOREMEDIATION OF INDUSTRIAL EFFLUENT USING CYANOBACTERIAL SPECIES: PHORMIDIUM MUCICOLA AND ANABAENA AEQUALIS

### PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>1- The English has been improved but there are still some grammatical mistakes in the manuscript.</p> <p>2- Statistical analysis has not been performed. How many samples have been tested for each experiment?</p> <p>3- The BOD:N:P ratio has not been calculated.</p> <p>4- Why only Zn and Cu were measured and not other ions?</p> <p>5- How microorganisms were separated from the treated effluent?</p> <p>6- MLVSS/MLSS was not checked. If suspended solids (SS) were adsorbed by microorganisms, this ratio would be reduced.</p>	<p><b>Ans 1. ok</b></p> <p><b>Ans2 Each sample has taken triplicate and i used averages of these triplicate.</b></p> <p><b>Ans 3- I have been calculated BOD. My work does not require any N:P ratio. So I did not measure these parameters.</b></p> <p><b>Ans 4- Textile and Pharmaceutical industries effluent contain high Zink and Copper. Due to adequacy of these metal, removal done of Zn &amp; Cu.</b></p> <p><b>Ans 5 - Microorganism which I got from effluent listed in result &amp; discussion(see Table 1).</b></p> <p><b>Ans. 6- Pleas see the material and method for que 6. We did not apply such method.</b></p>