



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_23097
Title of the Manuscript:	IN-VITRO EVALUATION OF ANTIBACTERIAL AND ANTIFUNGAL EFFICACY OF BOSWELLA DALZIELII STEM BARK EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Nevertheless, even though this is an interesting topic, there are some aspects that should be addressed before considering this manuscript acceptable:</p> <p>-Mistakes in the scientific name of the plant. The authors should consider a spelling review.</p> <p>-Introduction lacks information on the plant under study. It would be useful if a little introduction to the morphology be included along with a picture and a description of the plant.</p> <p>-Which is the actual novelty of this study? There are already quite an important amount of publications alike, and the authors need to clarify this point and the differences with previous works.</p> <p>-Methods must be explained well. Why the authors decide for those strains? Which is the area of interest of those microorganisms? It is very important to add a short description of the method used to determine the phytochemical compounds.</p> <p>-Results are only graphics; they must be showed with statistical diagrams. Figure caption must be included for each table</p>	<p>The spelling errors have been corrected.</p> <p>The corrections have been effected.</p> <p>Result was chosen to be presented in table form.</p> <p>This has also been corrected.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>The most important result must be highlighted well, and add an ambitious perspective of this study</p> <p>-The English use and grammar of all the manuscript should be deeply revised.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		