



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_48289
Title of the Manuscript:	IRON DEFICIENCY ANAEMIA OF UNKNOWN CAUSE – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The theme is interesting. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: Delete, "The definition of anaemia is decrease in the number of red blood cells or the decreased percentage of haemoglobin in blood." 2. "One sentence paragraph" should be avoided. 3. Figure (and its number) should appear in the order of appearance in the text. 4. Conjunctiva anemic? Please describe so. 5. Case report ends just at the diagnosis. Please describe treatment and her outcome. 6. Please describe if she had menses and if so whether hypermenorrhea was present. If yes or no, how you confirmed it (presence or absence). 7. "Dental or oral manifestation as a severe iron deficiency anemia: A case report" <p>Please change the title. The point of this case is NOT "unknown origin" but "dental-oral manifestation was the chief complaints". You had better emphasize that "oral-dental physician" must be aware that oral-dental manifestation can be the first manifestation of IDA. "Unknown" is no use to reply on it. Did you check endoscopic (gastrointestinal) findings by endoscopy. Absence of no "blood stool and its associated test" never reject the presence of gastrointestinal bleeding. How about the story that she bled 3000 mL vaginally (for example abortion just one month) but she did not tell things? Thus, "unknown" does not tell anything. Rather, "oral manifestation first" much more interests readers. Please change/modify the manuscript accordingly.</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestions sir...we have made necessary corrections in the manuscript and hereby sending the corrected manuscript</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	