



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_47387
Title of the Manuscript:	Telescopic hybrid prosthesis – A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Its a well written case of clinical importance and can be accepted if the following changes are done</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Kindly explain why implants were not carried out? 2) What are the different treatment options could have been carried out in the present case and how the telescopic hybrid dentures were better. 3) Some of the references are too old to be considered kindly replace them with newer references. 4) Kindly include the article in references given below (in red) Williams C, Kumar M, Bajpai M, Agarwal D. Prosthodontic management of endodontically treated teeth: A literature review. Int J Clin Prev Dent. 2014;10(1):45-50. 5) Kindly add some citations of sciencedomain journals. 6) Kindly check the manuscript thoroughly for grammatical errors, some sentences should be rephrased. 	We have corrected the manuscript as per the comments
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>