



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_47387
Title of the Manuscript:	Telescopic hybrid prosthesis – A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No major revision is needed. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The font style is different at last sentences of abstract section. At keyword section, 'removable prosthesis' is written twice. 'double coping' keyword is not needed. The reference style is incorrect in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Why is 'removable partial dentures' capitalized in the second paragraph of the 'Introduction' section? The purpose of the this article should be defined at the end of the introduction section. Figures should be written correctly in the text (e.g., Fig. 1). More actual references can be used in the discussion section. 	
Optional/General comments	This case report is a well designed and written article but there are some spelling mistakes. So, this article can be published in the journal after they are corrected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>