



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_32255
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro study of antagonistic capability of Trichoderma harzianum against Aspergillus niger isolated from rotten white yam (Dioscorea rotundata) tubers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is very preliminary work and does not have sufficient information. Trichoderma is well established mycoparasitic fungi and using it against new isolate does not warrant a publishable information as the mycoparasitism of Trichoderma is strain independent. Authors did not established that the isolated Aspergillus is a pathogenic fungi to Yam. It is ubiquitously distributed and may come as contamination from soil.	The corrections are effected appropriately
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Page 1 line 44 [19] reported that.... need to corrected Page 2 line 55 [22; 23; 24] ... should be written [22-24] Line 254 [47] discovered.... need to corrected etc	The corrections are effected appropriately
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		