



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_32255
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro study of antagonistic capability of Trichoderma harzianum against Aspergillus niger isolated from rotten white yam (Dioscorea rotundata) tubers
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1.- check the text in yellow</p> <p>2.- There are very much word join, please check.</p> <p>3.- Page 4, lines 137-141, is confuse please check. Check the order of the figures.</p> <p>4.- rearrange the paragraph of lines 168-188, is very confuse.</p> <p>5.- Rearrange the table 1.</p> <p>6.- Unify the text.</p> <p>7.- Why the references are with other letter type?</p> <p>8.- Check the references are very bad write.</p>	<p>Corrections done</p> <p>The orders of the figures are correct. The higher the inhibition (percentage) the more effective the antagonist. The scale is correct with no mistakes, please.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is good, but require a profound revision of the text.	