



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_14395
Title of the Manuscript:	ALGAECULTURE: AN ALTERNATIVE TO SOLVING ENERGY SUSTAINABILITY CRISES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract should include a statement on what you are reviewing.</p> <p>Where have we come from with this technology? More background on each technology.</p> <p>Table 2 not mentioned in text and currently has weird placement. Move to Oil section.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Conclusions need to be fleshed out. What would you recommend? Why? How much would this save? Is it realistic in the near future to switch over?</p> <p>Seems like a lot of details, all good; but, I would like to see the more important technologies really expanded upon.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Noted</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Major spacing issues throughout manuscript.</p> <p>Be more specific on what you are going to be reviewing in manuscript.</p> <p>Figures all look squashed. Enlarge them?</p> <p>Walk readers through Plate 1 better.</p> <p>Reword 175-177 Make into sentence form (line 244-254) done</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Interesting article, but does not tell a story. I would like to see more in the introduction and conclusion. Weed out what is not really necessary and flesh out the important details.</p>	<p>Done</p>