



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_48483 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Clinicoepidemiological profile of childhood poisoning in the pediatric unit of a tertiary care hospital in north india |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The theme is important. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction may better consist of two paragraphs. My suggestion is as follows. "This study was conducted to find out the pattern of accidental childhood poisoning in Srinagar and its northwestern suburbs and compare it with what occurs elsewhere in India. Thereby we aimed to provide practical knowledge in dealing with these pediatric patients." The above should be the second paragraph. One sentence paragraph is prohibited and thus I added another sentence. 2. I believe that the data here described is clinically and medico-legally important. However, you only showed the "fact" and did not show whether your proposal is actually effective in the real world practice. I mean that observational study usually cannot lead to "strong/definite" recommendation. Thus, I advise you to add the following remarks at the end of the manuscript. My suggestion: "I here made several proposal to reduce/prevent pediatric poisoning based on the present data; however, if these strategies are really effective has not been directly demonstrated, which is inherent to the observational study. Further study is needed to confirm effective strategy to prevent and treat pediatric poisoning." | Correction were made in the manuscript |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | I believe that the manuscript may better be shorter; however, the present one may be OK, considering the urgent need of decision. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |