



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48207
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological Control of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. Vasinfectum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read Biocontrol potential of <i>Trichoderma</i> and <i>Bacillus</i> species on <i>Fusarium oxysporum f.sp vasinfectum</i>.. 2. The abstract is devoid of results. Please, include your results in the abstract. 3. The materials and methods should have subtitles. They should include experimental location, source of fungal pathogens and seeds, inoculation of seeds, evaluation of the biocontrol potential of <i>Trichoderma</i> and <i>Bacillus</i> species, experimental design, data collected, data analysis amongst others. 4. Write out the formula of germination index as proposed by Maguire. 5. Write out the formula of incidence and severity used in the research. 6. The tables in the result section are not presented in factorial design. Please, bring out the main and interaction effect of each table. 7. The results and discussion segment is full of storytelling. Bring out the findings of the research with supporting figures in each case. 8. How did you determine the transmission rate in this research? Am not sure you did this. Explain in detail in the materials and methods how you determined transmission rate. 9. Arrange the manuscript according to specifications given by the journal. 10. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 	Agreed. The corrections were made.
Minor REVISION comments	Rearrange the manuscript to enhance comprehension	Agreed. The article was reorganized.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is poorly organised and therefore not easily comprehensible.	Agreed. The article was reorganized.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	