



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48230
Title of the Manuscript:	Antagonic Agents and Hydrothermal Treatment in the Control of Anthracnosis in Banana cv. 'Pacovan'
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There should be a concluding statement in the abstract.</p> <p>Add 3 more words to the keywords LIKE Anthracnosis, antagonic and remove biological treatment.</p> <p>Italize the scientific names.</p> <p>In experiment 2 “which were races 2 and 9. First, the bananas were inoculated with C. musae at a concentration of 107, 24 hours after the spraying of the races of Trichoderma spp. At concentration 106. In addition, two control treatments were done, one positive where the bananas were sprayed in suspension of the fungicide (Q) from the dithiocarbamate @Mancozeb chemical family at 0.09 g. / 100 mL-1 of distilled water (Fungicide not indicated for control of anthracnose in banana in the post-harvest) and a negative control without the use of any type of treatment, sprayed only with distilled water (T) the same one used for the others treatments”. These concentration should have units or don't they? Do well correct the grammatical error in the highlighted and quoted area.</p> <p>All the places highlighted in red are to be verified and revised be them numbers or words. Yellow has been corrected.</p> <p>The references are not in accordance with SDI authors' guideline. Revise.</p>	<p>Dear reviewers, the summary of the article presents in its final paragraph the conclusive part of the research, when it says that "Thermotherapy is a promising technique for the treatment of postharvest rot in banana fruits of 'Pacovan' cultivar, with the best efficiencies observed at 47°C for 3 and 9 min, and 51 ° for 3 and 9 min. "</p> <p>As requested by the reviewers were added three more keywords and removed the word biological treatment.</p> <p>Dear reviewers, grammar correction of the article has already been done as per the request.</p> <p>The Trichoderma races used in the experiment were T2: (<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>) and T9: (<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> Samuels, Lieckf & Nirenberg).</p> <p>References are already in accordance with the guidelines of the SDI authors.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Attend to the raised issues and fix the grammatical errors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	