



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_26215</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phytochemical and Gastrointestinal study on the leaf extract of <i>Stachytarpheta angustifolia</i> Mill Vahl (Verbenaceae) in Rabbit Jejunum</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The manuscript has been very carelessly framed with lot of grammatical and typographical errors. Title of the work is not proper, the abstract is very poorly framed with the wrong format of writing the binomial name of the plant in the beginning of the first sentence itself. The figures are not legibly done. Phytochemical screening table is poorly made.	Reviewer's comment was highly appreciated and well acknowledged . All the grammatical, typographical errors in the manuscript as well as the wrong format of writing have been corrected.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		