



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_42178
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison of the Effect of Intraoperative 1 mg/kg/h and 2 mg/kg/h IV Lidocaine Infusion on Postoperative Pain and Nausea-Vomiting in Laparoscopic Gastric Bypass Surgery</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>1. This is a negative study. The result is negative. It could have several reasons: power might not be enough; sample size could be too small; or the surgery could not be a painful one (hard to compare the pain scores).</b></p> <p><b>2. There are no basic data on the 2 groups: age, weight, duration of surgery, the amount of intraoperative pain medicine used, etc. No way to know the difference between the 2 groups.</b></p>	<p>1. Thanks for this comment. In the research conducted in the discussion section of this article, pain and nausea-vomiting were not compared between two groups of 1 mg/kg/h and 2 mg/kg/h lidocaine; positive effect of lidocaine in reducing pain and nausea-vomiting in most of these studies may be due to the fact that lidocaine has been compared with opiate and placebo. Moreover, inconsistency of this study with some studies may be due to differences in samples, design of studies, lidocaine doses and surgical site and procedures.</p> <p>2. Descriptive statistics are added to paper as a Table.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are quite few redundant sentences, eg Line 48 to 55; Line 73 to 85.	1Thanks for this comment. Comment is considered and incorporated in paper. Sentences are corrected.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Statistics needs to be checked carefully.	All data analysis and statistics are double-checked