



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46162
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence Study of Antibiotic Usage and Health Care Associated Infections at a tertiary Hospital in Northern Cyprus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title: OK Abstract: OK Keywords: OK Introduction: It is better to make a new paragraph at the line 41 in introduction section for better understanding. And another paragraph could be at the line 44 in introduction section. Methods: At the methods section it is understood that your survey have taken for 8 days. But at the abstract it is one week. I think that it is not same as one week and 8 days. Please give the correct and same time interval. At the methods section some abbreviations have been used, but no detail have been given; 'ICU' , 'GIT' , 'ENT' , 'US' , 'HAI' ... Results: OK Table: OK Discussion: At line 189 authors used (Naz et al). I do not think that it is the correct form of giving reference. There are same styles at this section. Conclusion: OK References: There are several mistakes. They have to be corrected.</p>	Totally agreed, thanks much for the suggestions. Introduction, methods, discussion and references are improved accordingly. Please check paragraph lines.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Confidentiality was assured during the study and also patients privacy, ethical approval for this study was obtained from the institutional review board(IRB) from Near East university hospital (Ref YDU/2015/35-263). Only initials were used during the study without recording patients address or other related not clinical important personal information. Research was conducted with the declaration of Helsinki