



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46162
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence Study of Antibiotic Usage and Health Care Associated Infections at a tertiary Hospital in Northern Cyprus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>3-4: "Prevalence of Antibiotic usage and Health Care Associated Infections in Northern Cyprus". This is more appropriate.</p> <p>1:".within a period of one week-April".....This is more appropriate.</p> <p>2: "A Cross-sectional study was" This is yet again more appropriate</p> <p>3: Continuous use of</p> <p>3: 'Gaps', 'In Turkey'</p> <p>30-32: Should come before line 43</p> <p>9: 'This study was.....' Should come under recommendation</p> <p>5: 'It was a cross-sectional survey'.....is appropriate</p> <p>6: 'was carried out for a period of 8 days in April, 2016. The study was done by reviewing.....' Should be appropriate.</p> <p>7: Exclusion criteria: Outpatients including.....</p> <p>7: 'Patients on systemic antibiotics' should be moved under 'inclusion criteria'.</p> <p>0: 'Topical antibiotic users' should be moved under exclusion criteria</p> <p>6: 'Route of administration'.....see typographical error</p> <p>11-115: Were to explain the table 2, but that seems lost in the message. Please, explain clearly.</p> <p>35: 'Oral route'. Please check typographical error</p> <p>48: 'The overall cost' should begin with a paragraph</p> <p>73: 'The main purpose' should begin with a paragraph</p> <p>78: 'In a similar way' should begin with a paragraph</p> <p>36: Begin with a paragraph</p> <p>00: Oral route.....Please check typographical error</p> <p>01: 'In our study' should begin with a paragraph</p> <p>226-264: Names of journals should be italicized.</p>	<p>Totally agreed, thanks much for the suggestions. The manuscript is further improved accordingly. Please check paragraph lines.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Confidentiality was assured during the study and also patients privacy, ethical approval for this study was obtained from the institutional review board (IRB) from Near East university hospital (Ref YDU/2015/35-263). Research was conducted with the declaration of Helsinki