



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46632
Title of the Manuscript:	Drug-Drug Eluting Stents Interactions (DDESI) after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) in Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Patients: A Multicenter Cross-Sectional Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: Too long currently. The introduction needs to be focused and shortened. Methods: Adequate Results: Must only contain results and no discussions (remove the information on Dr Veeramani). Remove the hypothesised definition for DDESI and integrate into discussion. Discussion: focus on relevant findings and place them in context of the literature. Conclusion: needs to be succinct and focused.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I agreed with your comment and shortened the introduction part as much as possible without destroying the clear information about the need for this research. I agreed with your comment and removed the name and integrated the all descriptions and definition which I have discussed in the results part to discussion part. I tried my level best to bring and compare the relevant findings in the discussion part but since it's a new finding no more relevant literatures are available. I agreed with your comment and concise the conclusion part as much as possible without destroying the new findings derived from this research.
Minor REVISION comments	Need improvement English. The manuscript would benefit from medical writer input	Hopefully this manuscript is drafted with easily readable and understandable English without hindering anything to the best of my knowledge, even though due to your comment I have modified some sentences.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	