



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46632
Title of the Manuscript:	Drug-Drug Eluting Stents Interactions (DDESI) after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) in Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Patients: A Multicenter Cross-Sectional Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language quality should be further improved. 2. Use of abbreviations should meet the Journal's guidelines. 3. The most part of the contents could be re-written to make them more concise and concrete, especially the part of INTRODUCTION, RESULTS. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is drafted with easily readable and understandable language without hindering anything to the best of my knowledge, even though I have further improved some sentences due to your comment. 2. I agreed with your comment and replaced/ used all abbreviations according to the journal guidelines for abbreviations and international medical terminology throughout the manuscript. 3. I agreed with your comment and concise the introduction part and conclusion part as much as possible without destroying the clear information about the need for this research and the new findings derived from this research.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	