



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_46851</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CLINICAL RESPONSES TO ORAL HYPOGLYCEMIC AGENTS IN A PRIMARY HEALTHCARE FACILITY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The study assesses the comparative efficacy of oral hypoglycemic agents in diabetic patients. The study is well designed and results are interpreted well. This study will be useful for clinicians treating the diabetic patients. Their recommendation for three drugs combination therapy in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients whose plasma glucose levels were uncontrolled with two drugs combination provides additional insights for clinicians to evaluate the new strategy before going to insulin therapy. Further their finding that only a few type 2 diabetic patients derive benefits from two drugs combination suggest that benefits of their proposition to go for 3 drugs combination will be for a major group of population. The study can be accepted for publication after revising for minor typos in some sentences.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title has been modified as: <b>EVALUATION OF PATIENTS' RESPONSES TO ORAL HYPOGLYCEMIC AGENTS AT A UNIVERSITY HEALTH CENTRE</b></li> <li>2. Ethical consideration has been included in the method section</li> <li>3. Ethics committee does not use serial number.</li> <li>4. Manuscript was proof read and errors were corrected</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	