



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47101
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Executive Function of Brain between Drug-dependent , in Abstinences and normal individuals in Tehran
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Objective : Line 1: First word *drug* should begin with capital letter* Drug* Line 2: It should be* its problem* instead of* is problem* Line 2: after addressed, it should be full stop(.) instead of (;) then* hence* should be *Hence* Line 2: this study is aimed instead of* this study aimed*</p> <p>Method Line1:* Research* instead of* research* Line 1: samples instead of* sample*</p> <p>Results Line 1: Results instead of results*</p> <p>Conclusion : Long instead of* long*</p> <p>Introduction Line1: The bracket should be removed and citation made. ** Citations should be made at the end of the statements in Lines 3,4,9,18,30,and 40. Lines 15 and24 : adaptability instead of *adoptability* Lines35-37: the statements should be rephrased to read * It seems that executive functions are generally related to prefrontal cortex based on the fact that conducted researches-----</p> <p>Research Instruments and Discussion *** the beginning of each sub-heading, should begin with capital letter</p> <p>Results There was no significant difference instead of* there was not any significant *in Lines 1,3,5 and7</p> <p>Discussion Line 2: were examined instead of *are examined *</p> <p>Hypothesis 1:Line 1: Drug users had instead of *have* Line 2: In the present study instead of* in the present study* , it should begin with a capital letter Line 12: To explain instead of *to explain*</p> <p>Hypothesis 2: Line 2: In fact instead of* in fact* Line 15: what is Alo? It should be removed.</p>	Thank you for your comments. we have modified to make corrections to improve the quality of the manuscript following your guidance.
Optional/General comments	He has to go through the English.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	