



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47109
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived stress of infertile couples (male, female) and the factors affecting it
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The following should be immediately edited.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract: The following statement/expression is very difficult to understand. Please write it more simply. "For the infertility cause variable, the stress levels of those who were the infertile spouse were more than the other groups and the stress of the group whose wife was the infertile spouse was lower than those who were infertile themselves, and those who were still not certain about the cause of infertility" Introduction first paragraph. Too long! Divide it into three appropriately. "What is 1397?" Modify the reference style as appropriately. 	<p>It was edited</p> <p>It was shortened</p> <p>It was edited</p> <p>It was edited</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Our format is Vancouver currently

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>