



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47486
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of liver protective activity of Moringa oleifera bark extract in paracetamol induced hepatotoxicity in rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>OBJECTIVE OF THE CURRENT STUDY IS MISSING A NUMBER OF GRAMMAR AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE MISTAKES VERIFICATION NUMBER OF PLANT IS REQUIRED EXTRACTION YIELD IS MISSING. KINDLY INCLUDE ETHICAL COMMITTEE APPROVAL NUMBER IS THIS STUDY USE RANDOMIZED EXPERIMENTS? HOW YOU BLINDFOLDED THE OUTCOME ASSESSMENT IS THE INVESTIGATOR IS BLINDFOLDED? THE CONCENTRATION USED FOR THIS EXPERIMENT IS ONLY TWO WHY? THE AUTHOR NEEDS TO FIND THE LINEAR REGRESSION TO VALIDATE THE FINDINGS DISCUSSION IS LACKING WITH NO INFORMATION AND COMPARISON WITH THE PAST LITERATURE CONCLUSION NEEDS TO RE-WRITING LACKING FUTURE DIRECTION</p>	<p>This study used randomized experiments. The outcome assessment was done as double blinded experiment. Yes, the investigator was blindfolded. Only two concentrations were used to save cost. If needed, linear regression will be done. Information on previous literatures were included in the introduction section of plant information.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	