



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_37599
Title of the Manuscript:	Golden Section and Evolving Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The meaning or background of "golden section" can be stated more clearly. 2. How to obtain Equations (2.42) - (2.51) may be described more clearly. 3. The authors stated the application of Cassini identity and Fibonacci numbers in the last sentence of conclusion section. But, this sentence may be more suitably put in the introduction section. 4. In the conclusion section, further description of the contribution of this article is suggested. Are the theorems presented in this manuscript first proposed by the authors? 	<p>Invaluable comments noted with thanks;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction now includes a brief background of the golden section; 2. Generation of Equations (2.42) – (2.51) now explained in more detail; 3. Application of Cassini identity and Fibonacci numbers now given in the Introduction; 4. Indeed the theorems are being proposed for the first time by the Author. Conclusion improved.
Minor REVISION comments	NA	
Optional/General comments	NA	