



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47885
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the sexual maturity of Threadfins <i>Polydactylus quadrifilis</i> (Cuvier, 1829), <i>Galeoides decadactylus</i> (Bloch, 1795) and <i>Pentanemus quinquarius</i> (Linné, 1758) of the artisanal marine fishery of Grand-Lahou (Ivory Coast).
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Reproduction, sexual maturity, sex ratio, Polynemidae, Ivory Coast</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> the introduction is written in one paragraph. The problem must be written in a paragraph different from that of the context / Similarly, the specific objectives were not listed at the end of the introduction; yet this is the key to the writing plan of the following chapters</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> -we note missing parts (ex: study area, statistical analysis), we must still rearrange (see the manuscript) - the methodology is not well detailed because it does not specify by the materials used (ex: one reads only "the total length and the standard length were taken" or the sex was obtained after dissection ...)</p> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b> -All the figures are superimposed; arrange all your figures because I do not understand anything and cannot say anything about</p> <p>- present the results and discussion by parameter studied. Therefore, you should give a title to each result to facilitate the understanding of the work</p>	<p>Comments have been taken account</p> <p>We don't make statistical comparison between length of first sexual maturity of each species because it wasn't our goal in this study.</p> <p>Figure have been arranged now.</p> <p>That have been done regarding the authors guide on the journal's website. But I take account to your remark.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	See the MS	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>