## SCIENCEDOMAIN international





## **SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
After reading the reviewers comments and the revised version of the MS, I would say that the MS still deserves major revisions.  Particularly, I highlight the following aspects:  - the citation of the scientific names is not correct  - the authors should use past tense instead of present  - the discussion should be written (as it is too superficial)	All scientific names are correct Remarks have been taken account What do you want really? This work have been read by other scientists.

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4<sup>th</sup> August, 2012)