SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_36684
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF EXTRACTS OF SOME PLANTS AGAINST POST HARVEST FUNGAL DETERIORATION OF CASSAVA ROOT (Manihot esculenta Crantz) IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 66 to 71 Where did-you found or inspire? from litterature? From fabricant? Please indicate an author. Why you use theses concentrations? In litterature? By experience? 2 ml each of the extract concentrations (10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50%) 112 % Fungal Growth inhibition = DC – DT 100	DT which is the diameter of colony in the treated plate with the plant extracts was not found to be superior to DC being the diameter of colony in the control experiment that did not contain any plant extract. Source of formula included in the text.
	113 DC X 1 114 Where DC = Average diameter of colony in control experiment. 115 DT = Average diameter of fungal colony with extract treatment.	Statistical analysis documented. Discussion documented Conclusion added.
	Where did-you found this formular? What appens if DT superior to DC? Please indicate a author. Please explain statistical analysis in Materials and Methods because it constitute the particle of this paragraph. No posterior test? Statistical analysis must be documented Very interesting results, but the discussion is poor. Please the discussion must be documented.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)