

1
2
3 **HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT FOR**
4 **CARCINOGENIC AND NON CARCINOGENIC**
5 **HEAVY METAL EXPOSURE FROM HIBISCUS**
6 **LEAF CULTIVATED IN KATSINA STATE, NORTH**
7 **WEST NIGERIA**
8
9
10
11
12

13 **ABSTRACT**

This study was conducted to determine the heavy metals concentration in Hibiscus leaves cultivated in Katsina state Nigeria. The objectives were mainly to detect the presence of heavy metals in the cultivated Hibiscus leaves in the study area, compare the concentration of heavy metals in samples in relation to the permissible limits specified by WHO/FAO/USEPA Standards. Samples of cultivated Hibiscus leaves were collected in the year 2017 from the selected area. Analysis for the concentration of these heavy metals; Cr, Cd, Fe, Ni, Mn, Pb and Zn was conducted by the use of AAS (by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry) method. The health risks to the local inhabitants from the consumption of the samples were evaluated based on the Target Hazard Quotient (THQ). The possibility of cancer risks the adult and children population in the samples through intake of carcinogenic heavy metals was estimated using the Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk (ILCR). Results from this study has shown that with the exception of the mean concentration range of the heavy metal Pb (0.508-0.978) the mean concentration (mg/kg) range values of Cr (0.135-0.261), Cd (0.042-0.051), Fe (0.684-0.978) and Zn (1.048-1.208) in the samples were generally lower than the USEPA, WHO/FAO maximum permissible limits. The results have indicated that the estimated daily intake (EDI) of the heavy metals were lower than the tolerable daily intake limit set by the USEPA in both samples and the health risk index (HRI) for all the heavy metals were <1. The target hazard quotient (THQ) for the samples for both the adult and children population was in the decreasing order Zn>Pb>Fe>Cr>Cd. ILCR for Cd is below the threshold risk limit ($>10^{-5}$) and ILCR for Pb reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$) in all the studied samples in adults, While in children ILCR for both Pb in samples from Dabai, Daura, Funtua, Matazu and Zango and Cd for all samples have reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$), while the ILCR for Pb in samples from Birchi, Dutsinma, Kafur, Katsina and Malunfashi were beyond the moderate risk level ($>10^{-2}$). The sampling area trend of risk for developing cancer as a result of consuming the studied samples showed: Funtua > Daura > Dutsinma > Katsina > Malunfashi > Matazu > Zango > Ingawa > Kafur > Dabai > Birchi for both adult and children, cumulative cancer risk (\sum ILCR) of all the studied Hibiscus samples have reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$) in adult. While in children with the exception of the sample from Birchi, Dabai and Kafur which are within the moderate cancer risk ($>10^{-3}$) limit, all other samples were beyond the moderate cancer risk ($>10^{-2}$) limit. The study suggests that consumption of the studied samples in Katsina state is of public health concern as they may contribute to the population cancer burden.

14
15 *Keywords: Hibiscus, Heavy metals, Katsina, health risk index, cancer risk*
16

17 **1. INTRODUCTION**

18 Heavy metals are environmental contaminants capable of causing human health problems if excess amount is ingested
19 through food they are non biodegradable and persistent, have a long biological half lives and can be bio-accumulated

through biological chains (1). Heavy metal toxicity may occur due to contamination of irrigation water, the application of fertilizer and metal based pesticides, industrial emission, harvesting process, transportation, storage or sale. Crops and vegetables grown in soils contaminated with heavy metals have greater accumulation than those grown in uncontaminated soils (2). The toxicity of heavy metals most commonly involves the brain and kidney but other manifestations can occur in some other parts of the body for example arsenic is clearly capable of causing cancer, hypertension can result in individuals exposed to lead and renal toxicity in individual exposed to cadmium (3).

Vegetables play important roles in human nutrition and health, particularly as sources of vitamin C, thiamine, niacin, pyridoxine, folic acid, minerals, and dietary fiber (4). Sorrel (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*, Linn.), is an annual crop native to tropical Africa (5) where it is known by different synonyms and vernacular names (6; 7). In Africa, the crop is used for both food and non-food applications (8; 9). For food applications, the tender stem, leaves and calyxes are used as vegetable in the preparation of soups and sauces, the calyxes are specially prepared into a textural form suitable for use as a meat substitute. "Zobo", a refreshing drink near akin to black currant is made from the calyx. Also, the kernels are roasted and consumed as such, though slightly bitter (10) and in northern Nigeria, the seeds are cooked and fermented into a soup condiment known as "Daddawar botso". "Kwadon Yakuwa" a salad prepared from boiled Hibiscus leaves mixed with groundnut cake and spices is a delicacy of the inhabitants of Katsina state. For non-food applications, the flower and fleshy fruit are used in pharmaceutical infusions to relieve symptoms of bronchitis and coughs (10).

In Katsina State Nigeria, there is limited information on the levels of heavy metals in locally cultivated leafy vegetables. This work therefore seeks to bridge that gap by providing information especially to the Katsina state populace on the levels of heavy metals of these most consumed vegetables. Information will further be provided on the heavy metals composition of the sources of these vegetables and the extent to which they are contaminated with these heavy metals for future studies and effective comparative analysis. The objective of this study therefore was to evaluate human exposure to some heavy metals through consumption of some locally cultivated leafy vegetables in Katsina State, Nigeria.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 STUDY AREA AND SAMPLE COLLECTION

The study was carried out during 2017 in Katsina State, Nigeria located between latitude 12°15'N and longitude of 7°30'E in the North West Zone of Nigeria, with an area of 24,192km² (9,341 sq meters). Katsina State has two distinct seasons: rainy and dry. The rainy season begins in April and ends in October, while the dry season starts in November and ends in March. This study was undertaken during the dry season. The average annual rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity of Katsina State are 1,312 mm, 27.3°C and 50.2%, respectively (11). The study was conducted within some catchment areas that cultivate hibiscus located within the 3 senatorial zones that constitute to make up the state (Katsina senatorial zone: Birchi, Dutsinma and Katsina; Daura senatorial zone: Daura, Ingawa and Zango; Funtua senatorial zone: Dabai, Funtua, Kafur, Malunfashi and Matazu). Sampling for this work was carried out by dividing the catchment areas into five (5) locations. In each of the locations, the plot where the vegetables are cultivated was subdivided into twenty (20) sampling areas. Samples were collected from each of the areas and combined to form bulk sample, from which a representative sample was obtained. The samples were code-named and stored in glass bottles with tight covers to protect them from moisture and contamination. They were then stored in the refrigerator at 4°C until ready for use.

2.2 IDENTIFICATION OF SAMPLE

The samples were identified in herbarium of the department of biology of Umaru Musa Yar'adua University Katsina.

2.3 SAMPLE PREPARATION

Leafy vegetable samples were washed with tap water thoroughly to remove dust particles, soil, unicellular algae etc. The edible parts of the samples were further washed with distilled water and finally with deionized water. The washed vegetables were dried with blotting paper followed by filter paper at room temperature to remove surface water. The vegetables were immediately kept in desiccators to avoid further evaporation of moisture from the materials. The vegetables were then chopped into small pieces and oven dried at (55± 1) °C hours in a Gallenkamp hotbox oven (CHF097XX2.5) and then blended in an electric blender. The resulting powder was kept in air tight polythene packet at room temperature before digestion and metals analyses.

70 2.5 HEAVY METALS DETERMINATION

71 0.5 g of each sample was weighed and ashed at 5500C for 24 hours in an electric muffle furnace (Thermolyne FB131DM
72 Fisher Scientific). The ash was diluted with 4.5 ml concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) and concentrated nitric acid
73 (HN03) mixed at ratio 3:1 the diluent is left for some minutes for proper digestion in a beaker. 50 ml of distilled water was
74 added to the diluents to make up to 100 ml in a volumetric flask. The levels of heavy metals (Pb, Zn, Ni, Cd, Cr, Mn and
75 Fe) were determined using AA210RAP BUCK Atomic Absorption Spectrometer flame emission spectrometer filter GLA-
76 4B Graphite furnace (East Norwalk USA), according to standard methods (12) and the results were given in (mg/kg).

77 2.6 HEAVY METAL HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

78 2.6.1 DAILY INTAKE OF METALS (DIM)

79 The daily intake of metals was calculated using the following equation:

$$80 \text{ DIM} = \frac{C_{\text{metal}} * C_{\text{factor}} * D_{\text{intak}}}{B_{\text{weight}}}$$

81 Where, C_{metal} , C_{factor} , D_{intake} and B_{weight} represent the heavy metal concentrations in the vegetable samples, the conversion
82 factor, the daily intake of the sample and the average body weight, respectively. The conversion factor (CF) of 0.085 (13)
83 was used for the conversion of the samples to dry weights. The average daily intake of the samples was 0.527 kg
84 person⁻¹ d⁻¹ (14) and the average body weight for the adult and children population was 60 kg (15) and 24 kg (16)
85 respectively; these values were used for the calculation of HRI as well.

86 2.6.2 NON-CANCER RISKS

87 Non-carcinogenic risks for individual heavy metal or vegetable were evaluated by computing the target hazard quotient
88 (THQ) using following equation (17).

$$89 \text{ THQ} = \text{CDI} / \text{R}_f\text{D}$$

90 CDI is the chronic daily heavy metal intake (mg/kg/day) obtained from the previous section and $R_f\text{D}$ is the oral reference
91 dose (mg/kg/day) which is an estimation of the maximum permissible risk on human population through daily exposure,
92 taking into consideration a sensitive group during a lifetime (18). The following reference doses were used (Pb = 0.6, Cd =
93 0.5, Zn = 0.3, Fe = 0.7, Ni = 0.4, Mn = 0.014, Cr = 0.3) (19; 20). To evaluate the potential risk to human health through
94 more than one heavy metal, chronic hazard index (HI) is obtained as the sum of all hazard quotients (THQ) calculated for
95 individual heavy metals for a particular exposure pathway (21). It is calculated as follows:

$$96 \text{ HI} = \text{THQ}_1 + \text{THQ}_2 + \dots + \text{THQ}_n$$

97 Where, 1, 2 ..., n are the individual heavy metals or vegetable and fruit species.

98 It is assumed that the magnitude of the effect is proportional to the sum of the multiple metal exposures and that similar
99 working mechanism linearly affects the target organ (22). The calculated HI is compared to standard levels: the population
100 is assumed to be safe when $\text{HI} < 1$ and in a level of concern when $1 < \text{HI} < 5$ (23).

101 2.7 CANCER RISKS

102 The possibility of cancer risks in the studied samples through intake of carcinogenic heavy metals was estimated using
103 the Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk (ILCR) (24).

$$104 \text{ ILCR} = \text{CDI} \times \text{CSF}$$

105 Where, CDI is chronic daily intake of chemical carcinogen, mg/kg BW/day which represents the lifetime average daily
106 dose of exposure to the chemical carcinogen.

The US EPA ILCR is obtained using the cancer slope factor (CSF), which is the risk produced by a lifetime average dose of 1 mg/kg BW/day and is contaminant specific (17). ILCR value in the samples represents the probability of an individual's lifetime health risks from carcinogenic heavy metals' exposure (25). The level of acceptable cancer risk (ILCR) for regulatory purposes is considered within the range of 10^{-6} to 10^{-4} (18). The CDI value was calculated on the basis of the following equation and CSF values for carcinogenic heavy metals were used according to the literature (24).

$$CDI = (EDI \times EFr \times ED_{tot})/AT$$

where EDI is the estimated daily intake of metal via consumption of millet; EFr is the exposure frequency (365 days/year); ED_{tot} is the exposure duration of 60 years, average lifetime for Nigerians; AT is the period of exposure for non-carcinogenic effects ($EFr \times ED_{tot}$), and 60 years life time for carcinogenic effect (17). The cumulative cancer risk as a result of exposure to multiple carcinogenic heavy metals due to consumption of a particular type of food was assumed to be the sum of the individual heavy metal increment risks and calculated by the following equation (24).

$$\sum ILCR_n = ILCR_1 + ILCR_2 + \dots + ILCR_n$$

Where, $n = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is the individual carcinogenic heavy metal.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study investigated the presence of heavy metals in Hibiscus leaf which is a major component of the diet among the population in Katsina state, Nigeria. A total of 11 samples were analyzed for the presence of heavy metals in this study. As shown in Table 1, among the heavy metals evaluated, the highest concentration (mg/kg) was observed for Zn (range: 1.048-1.208), followed by Pb (range: 0.508-0.982), Fe (range: 0.684-0.978) and Cr (range: 0.135-0.261). While Cd has the lowest concentration (range: 0.042-0.051 ppm) with the heavy metals Mn and Ni being below detection level (BDL) The results for the heavy metals analysed in the sampled leaves is similar to that reported for heavy metals in beans and some beans products from some selected markets in Katsina state, Nigeria (26).

Lead was detected in all the samples, with 100% of samples seen to be higher than 0.01 mg/kg which is the maximum permissible limit set by WHO/FAO and also the maximum allowable concentration of 0.02 mg/kg by EU and 0.05 mg/kg limit set by USEPA (27). The violation of the maximum permissible limits of Pb set by WHO, EU, and US EPA is a cause for public health concern considering the frequency of exposure. But the results are higher than that reported for the concentration of Pb in cereals from Kano and Kaduna states, Nigeria (28; 29). The value was still higher than the range (0.116 to 0.390) reported by Ahmed and Mohammed in Egypt (30) in 2005 and the range (0.007 to 0.032 mg/kg) reported by Okoye et al., (31) in a study conducted in South east of Nigeria in 2009 The Pb concentration range for the leaf samples in this study is lower than that reported for leafy vegetables from Kaduna state Nigeria (32) and that reported for beans samples from Italy, Mexico, India, Japan, Ghana and Ivory Coast with a Pb concentration range of 4.084-14.475ppm (33) and the Pb result for homegrown vegetables near a former chemical manufacturing facility in Tarnaveni, Romania (34)

The concentration of Cd (mg/kg) range from 0.042 to 0.059 in the leaf samples, these values are higher than the range (0.002 to 0.004 mg/kg) reported by Edem et al., in Wheat flours in 2009 (35). The Cd concentration range for the samples in this study is lower than that reported for various beans samples from Europe, Asia and parts of West Africa (33), for locust beans from Odo-Ori market Iwo, Nigeria (36) and the Cd concentrations reported for cabbage (0.140 mg/kg) from Awka, Anambra state Nigeria (37). The values are also lower than those obtained by Okoye et al., (31) in Cereals in South eastern Nigeria (0.007 to 0.23mg/kg) in 2009, Ahmed and Mohammed (30) in Cereal products (0.091-0.143mg/kg) in 2005, Orisakwe et al., (38) in Owerri (0.00 to 0.24mg/kg) in 2012 and Dahiru et al., (28) in Kano (0.11 to 0.28mg/kg) in 2013. But the results are similar that reported in a study for the Cadmium concentration range for both unprocessed and processed bean samples from Katsina state Nigeria (26). These differences could be due to differences in the concentration of the metal in the soils where these vegetables were grown.

The Fe values for the present study are higher than the range reported by Edem et al., (35) in Calabar (0.002 to 0.004mg/kg) in 2009. These values are also too low to provide for the Recommended Daily allowance for Fe in both adult male (10mg/day) and female (15mg/day) from a nutritional point of view (29). In the present study, the mean Fe concentration in the leaf samples is higher than that reported in a study that evaluate heavy metals in millet from Kaduna, Nigeria (29) the Fe concentration reported for cabbage (0.360 mg/kg) from Awka, Anambra state Nigeria (37). The result is similar to that reported for market sold beans from Katsina, Nigeria (26), but is lower to that reported in a study in eastern Nigeria (31) and that recorded by Zahir et al.,(39) in a study conducted in Pakistan and the results for the study conducted by Di Bella et al., (33).

159 The heavy metal Zn concentration range obtain in this study is similar to that reported in some studies that evaluate Zn
 160 in millet, sorghum and various food crops from Kano and Zamfara (28; 40), but are higher than the range (0.04 to
 161 0.19mg/kg) reported by Edem et al., (35) in 2009 in wheat flours, but far below the range reported by Ahmed and
 162 Mohammed (30) in 2005 (4.893 to 15.450 mg/kg) in various foodstuff in a study in Egypt and that reported in a study
 163 conducted by Sulyman et al., (41). These values can also not provide for the required daily allowance for Zn which is
 164 11mg/day for men and 8mg/day for women (29).

165 **Table 1 Heavy Metal Concentration (mg/kg) in Cultivated Hibiscus leaf Samples from Katsina State**

Location	Heavy Metal						
	Mn	Zn	Pb	Cd	Ni	Fe	Cr
Birchi	BD	1.138000	0.651000	0.043000	BD	0.978000	0.261000
	L	±0.000700	±0.000200	±0.000100	L	±0.001000	±0.000900
Dabai	BD	1.116000	0.821000	0.047000	BD	0.924000	0.174000
	L	±0.000200	±0.000300	±0.000300	L	±0.000700	±0.001300
Daura	BD	1.114000	0.957000	0.046000	BD	0.917000	0.135000
	L	±0.000400	±0.001600	±0.000300	L	±0.003100	±0.000700
Dutsinma	BD	1.108000	0.926000	0.051000	BD	0.862000	0.152000
	L	±0.000100	±0.000400	±0.000100	L	±0.000200	±0.000700
Funtua	BD	1.172000	0.982000	0.045000	BD	0.834000	0.160000
	L	±0.013000	±0.000100	±0.000300	L	±0.000200	±0.001100
Ingawa	BD	1.135000	0.508000	0.043000	BD	0.951000	0.168000
	L	±0.000300	±0.000200	±0.000200	L	±0.001400	±0.000600
Kafur	BD	1.105000	0.672000	0.042000	BD	0.684000	0.153000
	L	±0.000200	±0.000300	±0.000200	L	±0.000400	±0.000800
Katsina	BD	1.048000	0.923000	0.046000	BD	0.905000	0.142000
	L	±0.000600	±0.000200	±0.000100	L	±0.002500	±0.000600
Malunfashi	BD	1.118000	0.918000	0.047000	BD	0.917000	0.157000
	L	±0.000200	±0.000300	±0.000200	L	±0.000600	±0.000800
Matazu	BD	1.125000	0.825000	0.048000	BD	0.868000	0.145000
	L	±0.000200	±0.000100	±0.000200	L	±0.000300	±0.000600
Zango	BD	1.208000±0.000	0.816000±0.000	0.047000±0.000	BD	0.926000±0.000	0.158000±0.000
	L	200	100	300	L	200	500

166 Values are expressed as Mean ± Standard

167
 168 The degree for heavy metal toxicity to humans depends on daily consumption rate (42). The results for the estimated daily
 169 intake (EDI) of the heavy metals on consumption of the cultivated millet were given in Table 2. From the table the
 170 estimated daily intake of the heavy metals (Pb, Zn, Cd, Cr and Fe) were lower than the tolerable daily intake limit set by
 171 the USEPA (43) in both samples and the health risk index (HRI) for all the heavy metals were <1 (Table 3).
 172
 173

174 **Table 2 Daily Intake of Heavy Metal in Adults from Consuming Cultivated Hibiscus from Katsina State**

Location	Heavy metal				
	Zn	Pb	Cd	Fe	Cr
Birchi	0.000850	0.000486	0.000032	0.000730	0.000195
Dabai	0.000833	0.000613	0.000035	0.000690	0.000130
Daura	0.000832	0.000715	0.000034	0.000685	0.000101
Dutsinma	0.000827	0.000691	0.000038	0.000644	0.000113
Funtua	0.000875	0.000733	0.000034	0.000623	0.000120
Ingawa	0.000847	0.000379	0.000032	0.000710	0.000125
Kafur	0.000825	0.000502	0.000031	0.000511	0.000114
Katsina	0.000782	0.000689	0.000034	0.000676	0.000106
Malunfashi	0.000835	0.000685	0.000035	0.000685	0.000114
Matazu	0.000839	0.000616	0.000036	0.000648	0.000108
Zango	0.000900	0.000609	0.000033	0.000691	0.000118

174
 175
 176
 177
 178

179
180
181
182
183
184

Table 3 Daily Intake of Heavy Metal in Children from Consuming Cultivated Hibiscus from Katsina State

Location	Heavy metal				
	Zn	Pb	Cd	Fe	Cr
Birchi	0.002105	0.001215	0.000080	0.001825	0.000487
Dabai	0.002083	0.001533	0.000088	0.001725	0.000325
Daura	0.002079	0.001786	0.000086	0.001712	0.000252
Dutsinma	0.002069	0.001728	0.000095	0.001609	0.000284
Funtua	0.002188	0.001832	0.000084	0.001557	0.000299
Ingawa	0.002118	0.001508	0.000080	0.001775	0.000314
Kafur	0.002062	0.001254	0.000078	0.001277	0.000286
Katsina	0.001956	0.001723	0.000086	0.001689	0.000265
Malunfashi	0.002087	0.001713	0.000088	0.001712	0.000293
Matazu	0.002100	0.001840	0.000090	0.001620	0.000271
Zango	0.002247	0.001523	0.000088	0.001728	0.000295

185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200

The non-cancer risks (THQ) of the investigated heavy metals through the consumption of vegetables and fruits for both adults and children inhabitants of the study area were determined and presented in Tables 4 and 5. The THQ has been recognized as a useful parameter for evaluating the risk associated with the consumption of metal-contaminated foods (44). THQ is interpreted as either greater than 1 (>1) or less than 1 (<1), where THQ >1 shows human health risk concern (45). Bhalkhair and Ashraf (14) in their study have put forward the suggestion that the ingested dose of heavy metals is not equal to the absorbed pollutant dose in reality because a fraction of the ingested heavy metals may be excreted, with the remainder being accumulated in body tissues where they can affect human health. Risk level of Target Hazard Quotient (THQ < 1) was observed for all the evaluated heavy metals for both adults and children. It indicates that intake of these heavy metals through consumption of the samples does not poses a considerable non-cancer risk. . In both adults and children the highest THQ was for the heavy metal Zn from the sample from Zango, while the lowest was for the heavy metal Cd from the sample from Kafur. The THQ for the samples was in the decreasing order Zn>Pb>Fe>Cr>Cd, in all the samples respectively. The sequence of risk was the same for both adults and children although the children had higher THQ values in all cases. Similar observations have been reported previously by Mahfuza et al., (46), Micheal et al. (17) and Liu et al. (24).

201
202
203
204
205
206

Further, the non-cancer risk for each sample was expressed as the cumulative HI, which is the sum of individual metal THQ. All the studied samples showed the risk level (HI < 1) with highest in the sample from Birchi and lowest in the sample from Kafur

Table 4 Heavy Metal Target Hazard Quotient and Health Risk Index in Children from Consuming Cultivated Hibiscus from Katsina State

Location	Heavy Metal					Target Hazard Quotient	Health Risk Index (HRIs)
	Zn	Pb	Cd	Fe	Cr		
Birchi	0.007018	0.002026	0.000161	0.002608	0.001624	0.013435	
Dabai	0.006943	0.002554	0.000175	0.002464	0.001083	0.013219	
Daura	0.006931	0.002977	0.000172	0.002445	0.000840	0.013364	
Dutsinma	0.006894	0.002881	0.000190	0.002298	0.000946	0.013209	
Funtua	0.007292	0.003055	0.000168	0.002224	0.000995	0.013699	
Ingawa	0.007061	0.002514	0.000161	0.002536	0.001045	0.013316	
Kafur	0.006875	0.002090	0.000157	0.001824	0.000952	0.011898	
Katsina	0.006520	0.002871	0.000172	0.002413	0.000883	0.012860	
Malunfashi	0.006956	0.002856	0.000176	0.002445	0.000977	0.013409	

Matazu	0.006999	0.002566	0.000179	0.002314	0.000902	0.012961
Zango	0.007391	0.002538	0.000166	0.002369	0.000983	0.013357

Table 5 Heavy Metal Target Hazard Quotient and Health Risk Index in Adults from Consuming Cultivated Hibiscus from Katsina State

Location	Target Hazard Quotient					Health Risk Index (HRIs)
	Zn	Pb	Cd	Fe	Cr	
Birchi	0.002832	0.001643	0.000064	0.001043	0.000650	0.006232
Dabai	0.002773	0.001022	0.000070	0.000986	0.000433	0.005283
Daura	0.002772	0.001191	0.000069	0.000992	0.000359	0.005384
Dutsinma	0.002757	0.001152	0.000076	0.000919	0.000378	0.005283
Funtua	0.002917	0.002222	0.000067	0.000890	0.000398	0.006493
Ingawa	0.002825	0.000632	0.000064	0.001014	0.000418	0.004953
Kafur	0.002750	0.000836	0.000052	0.000730	0.000381	0.004749
Katsina	0.002608	0.001148	0.000069	0.000965	0.000353	0.005744
Malunfashi	0.002782	0.001142	0.000070	0.000978	0.000378	0.005351
Matazu	0.002750	0.001027	0.000072	0.000926	0.000361	0.005830
Zango	0.003000	0.001015	0.000066	0.000962	0.000393	0.005436

Cd and Pb are classified by the IARC as being carcinogenic agents (47). Chronic exposure to low doses of Cd, and Pb could therefore result into many types of cancers (48). The computed ILCR and cumulative incremental lifetime cancer risk (\sum ILCR) for Cd, and Pb through the samples are presented in Tables 6 and 7. US-EPA recommended the safe limit for cancer risk is below about 1 chance in 1,000,000 lifetime exposure ($ILCR < 10^{-6}$) and threshold risk limit ($ILCR > 10^{-4}$) for chance of cancer is above 1 in 10,000 exposure where remedial measures are considerable and moderate risk level ($ILCR > 10^{-3}$) is above 1 in 1,000 where public health safety consideration is more important (25; 49). ILCR for Cd is below the threshold risk limit ($>10^{-5}$) and ILCR for Pb reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$) in all the studied samples in adults, While in children ILCR for both Pb in samples from Dabai, Daura, Funtua, Matazu and Zango and Cd for all samples have reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$), while the ILCR for Pb in samples from Birchi, Dutsinma, Kafur, Katsina and Malunfashi were beyond the moderate risk level ($>10^{-2}$). The sampling area trend of risk for developing cancer as a result of consuming the studied samples showed: Funtua > Daura > Dutsinma > Katsina > Malunfashi > Matazu > Zango > Ingawa > Kafur > Dabai > Birchi for both adult and children (Tables 6 and 7).

Moreover, cumulative cancer risk (\sum ILCR) of all the studied Hibiscus samples have reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$) in adult. While in children with the exception of the sample from Birchi, Dabai and Kafur which are within the moderate cancer risk ($>10^{-3}$) limit, all other samples were beyond the moderate cancer risk ($>10^{-2}$) limit. Further, among all the studied samples, the sample from Funtua has the highest chances of cancer risks ($ILCR 4.675920 \times 10^{-3}$ in adults; $ILCR 1.280688 \times 10^{-2}$ in children) and the sample from Birchi has the lowest chances of cancer risk ($ILCR 3.123145 \times 10^{-3}$ in adults; $ILCR 8.858758 \times 10^{-3}$ in children). These risk values indicate that consumption of the sample from Funtua would result in an excess of 47 cancer cases in adults per 10,000 people exposure and 13 cancer cases per 1,000 people exposure while consumption of the sample from Birchi would result in an excess of 31 cancer cases in adults and 89 cancer cases in children per 10,000 people exposure (US-EPA,). Prompt action should be needed to control the excess use of heavy metal-based fertilizer and pesticides and also emission of heavy metal exhaust from automobiles should be checked to save the population from cancer risk

245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255

Table 6 Incremental Life Time Cancer Risk in Adults from Consuming Cultivated Hibiscus Leaves from Katsina State

Location	ILCR		ΣILCR
	Pb	Cd	
Birchi	3.061957E-03	5.457500E-05	3.123145E-03
Dabai	3.861547E-03	5.965100E-05	3.921198E-03
Daura	4.501224E-03	5.838100E-05	4.559605E-03
Dutsinma	4.355416E-03	6.472700E-05	4.420143E-03
Funtua	4.618807E-03	5.711300E-05	4.675920E-03
Ingawa	3.389363E-03	5.457500E-05	3.443938E-03
Kafur	3.160735E-03	5.330500E-05	3.214040E-03
Katsina	4.341304E-03	5.838100E-05	4.371421E-03
Malunfashi	4.317786E-03	5.965100E-05	4.377437E-03
Matazu	3.880365E-03	6.092100E-05	3.941286E-03
Zango	3.838303E-03	5.584300E-05	3.893878E-03

256
257
258
259

Table 7 Incremental Life Time Cancer Risk in Children from Consuming Cultivated Hibiscus Leaves from Katsina State

Location	ILCR		ΣILCR
	Pb	Cd	
Birchi	7.654903E-03	1.203855E-03	8.858758E-03
Dabai	7.655506E-03	1.315845E-03	8.971351E-03
Daura	1.125306E-02	1.278550E-03	1.253161E-02
Dutsinma	1.088854E-02	1.427835E-03	1.231637E-02
Funtua	1.154703E-02	1.259850E-03	1.280688E-02
Ingawa	9.501017E-03	1.203855E-03	1.070487E-02
Kafur	7.901838E-03	1.175850E-03	9.077688E-03
Katsina	1.085326E-02	1.287855E-03	1.214112E-02
Malunfashi	1.079447E-02	1.315845E-03	1.211031E-02
Matazu	9.700916E-03	1.343850E-03	1.104477E-02
Zango	9.595089E-03	1.315845E-03	1.091093E-02

260
261
262
263

4. CONCLUSION

This study determines the heavy metals concentration in hibiscus leaf samples from Katsina state Nigeria. Results from this study has shown that with the exception of the heavy metal Pb the concentration values of Mn, Zn, Cd and Fe in the samples were generally lower than the USEPA, WHO/FAO maximum permissible limits. The results have indicated that the estimated daily intake of the heavy metals were lower than the tolerable daily intake limit set by the USEPA in both samples. Risk level of Target Hazard Quotient (THQ < 1) was observed for all the evaluated heavy metals for both adults and children. ILCR for Cd is below the threshold risk limit ($>10^{-5}$) and ILCR for Pb reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$) in all the studied samples in adults, While in children ILCR for both Pb in samples from Dabai, Daura, Funtua, Matazu and Zango and Cd for all samples have reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$), while the ILCR for Pb in samples from Birchi, Dutsinma, Kafur, Katsina and Malunfashi were beyond the moderate risk level ($>10^{-2}$). The sampling area trend of risk for developing cancer as a result of consuming the studied samples showed: Funtua > Daura > Dutsinma > Katsina > Malunfashi > Matazu > Zango > Ingawa > Kafur > Dabai > Birchi for both adult and children. Cumulative cancer risk (ΣILCR) of all the studied samples have reached the moderate risk limit ($>10^{-3}$) in adult. While in children with the exception of the sample from Birchi, Dabai and Kafur which are within the moderate cancer risk ($>10^{-3}$) limit, all other

277 samples were beyond the moderate cancer risk ($>10^{-2}$) limit. The study suggests that consumption of the studied samples
278 in Katsina state is of public health concern as they may contribute to the population cancer burden.

279 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

281 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
282
283
284
285
286
287
288

289 **REFERENCES**

290
291 1. Haware DJ, Pramod HP, Determination of specific heavy metals in fruit juices using Atomic Absorption
292 Spectrophotometer (AAS), *Int. J. Res. Chem. Environ.*, 2011; 4(3)163-168

293 2. Bempah CK, Kwofie AB, Tutu AO, Danutsui D, Benti N. Assessing the potential dietary intake of heavy metals in some
294 selected fruits and vegetables from Ghanaian markets, *Elixir Pollut.* 2011; 39: 4921-4926

295 3. Gottipolu RR, Flora SJ, Riyaz B. *Environmental Pollution-Ecology and human health*: P. Narosa publishing house,
296 2012; New Delhi India. 110 002, 166-223

297 4. Siegel KR, Ali MK, Srinivasiah A, Nugent RA, Narayan KMV. Do we produce enough fruits and vegetables to meet
298 global health need? *PLoS one*, 2014; 9, e104059

299 5. Akobundu, I. O. (1987) *Weed science in the tropics: principles and practices*. New York: Wiley.

300 7. Mabberley DJ. *The plant-book: a portable dictionary of the higher plants*. Cambridge, 1981 Cambridge University
301 Press.

302 9. Mnzava NA. Vegetable crop diversification and the place of traditional species in the tropics: In L. Guarino, *Traditional*
303 *African Vegetables: Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops*, Number 16. Proceedings
304 of the IPGRI International Workshop on Genetic Resources of Traditional Vegetables in Africa: Conservation and Use, 29-
305 31 August 1995, ICRAF-HQ, Nairobi, Kenya. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Research, Gatersleben/International
306 Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, 1997; pp. 1-15.

307 11. *Katsina State investor's handbook*, Yaliam Press Ltd 2016: 12-15

308 12. *A.O.A.C Official Methods of Analysis 18th Edition*, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995 U.S.A

309 13. Jan FA, Ishaq M, Khan S, Ihsanullah I, Ahmad I, Shakirullah M, A comparative study of human health risks via
310 consumption of food crops grown on wastewater irrigated soil (Peshawar) and relatively clean water irrigated soil (lower
311 Dir). *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010; 179: 612–6219. Balkhaira KS, Ashraf MA, Field accumulation risks of heavy metals in soil
312 and vegetable crop irrigated with sewage water in western region of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*
313 2015; 23 (1): S32-S44

314 14. Balkhaira KS, Ashraf MA. Field accumulation risks of heavy metals in soil and vegetable crop irrigated with sewage
315 water in western region of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 2015; 23 (1): S32-S44

316 15. Orisakwe OE, Mbagwu HOC, Ajaezi GC, Edet UW, Patrick U, Uwana PU. Heavy metals in sea food and farm
317 produce from Uyo, Nigeria Levels and health implications. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J.* 2015; 15(2): e275–e282.

318 16. Ekhatior OC, Udowelle NA, Igbiri S, Asomugha RN, Igweze ZN, Orisakwe OE. Safety Evaluation of Potential Toxic
319 Metals Exposure from Street Foods Consumed in Mid-West Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health* Volume
320 2017, Article ID 8458057

321 17. Micheal B, Patrick O, Vivian T. Cancer and non-cancer risks associated with heavy metal exposures from street foods:
322 Evaluation of roasted meats in an urban setting. *Journal of Environment Pollution and Human Health*, 2015; 3, 24–30

- 323 18. Li S, Zhang Q. Risk assessment and seasonal variations of dissolved trace elements and heavy metals in the Upper
324 Han River, China. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2010; 181, 1051–1058
- 325 19. Li PH, Kong S, Geng CM, Han B, Sun RF, Zhao RJ, Bai ZP, Assessing the hazardous risks of vehicle inspection
326 workers' exposure to particulate heavy metals in their work places. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 2013; 13, 255–265
- 327 20. United States Environmental Protection Agency. EPA Human Health Related Guidance, OSWER, 2002; 9355 (pp. 4–
328 24). Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency
- 329 21. NFPCSP Nutrition Fact Sheet; Joint report of Food Planning and Nutrition Unit (FMPU) of the ministry of Food of
330 Government of Bangladesh and Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nation (FAO) September 14, 2011; 1–2,
331 National Food Policy Plan of Action and Country Investment Plan, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh
- 332 22. The Risk Information System, 2007; Retrieved from http://rais.oml.govt/tox/rap_toxp.shtml
- 333 23. Guerra F, Trevizam AR, Muraoka T, Marcante NC, Canniatti-Brazaca SG, Heavy metals in vegetables and potential
334 risk for human health. *Scientia Agricola*, 2012; 69, 54–60.10.1590/S0103-90162012000100008
- 335 24. Liu X, Song Q, Tang Y, Li, W, Xu J, Wu J, Wang F, Brookes, PC. Human health risk assessment of heavy metals in
336 soil–vegetable system: A multi-medium analysis. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2013; 463–464, 530–540
- 337 25. Pepper IL, Gerba CP, Brusseau ML. *Environmental and pollution Science: Pollution Science Series*, 2012; pp. 212–
338 232. Academic Press
- 339 26. Yaradua AI, Alhassan AJ, Shagumba AA, Nasir A, Idi A, Muhammad IU, Kanadi AM. Evaluation of heavy metals in
340 beans and some beans product from some selected markets in Katsina state Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied
341 sciences*.2017<http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v10i1.1S>
- 342 27. Landrigan PJ, Fuller R, Acosta NJR, Adeyi O, Arnold R, Basu N, Zhong M, The Lancet Commission on pollution and
343 health. *The Lancet*, 2017; [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32345-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0)
- 344 28. Dahiru MF, Umar AB, Sani MD. Cadmium, Copper, Lead and Zinc Levels In Sorghum And Millet Grown In The City Of
345 Kano And Its Environs. *Global Advanced Research Journal of Environmental Science and Toxicology* (ISSN: 2315-5140).
346 2013; Vol 2(3), 082-085
- 347 29. Babatunde OA, Uche E, A comparative evaluation of the heavy metals content of some cereals sold in Kaduna, North
348 west Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 2015; Volume 6, Issue 10, 485ISSN 2229-5518
- 349 30. Ahmed KS, and Mohammed AR. Heavy Metals (Cd, Pb) and Trace Elements (Cu, Zn) Contents Of Some Food Stuffs
350 from Egyptian Markets. *Emir J. Agric.sci*, 2005; 17(1):34-42.
- 351 31. Okoye COB, Odo IS, Odika IM. Heavy metals content of grains commonly sold in markets in south-east Nigeria. *Plant
352 Products Research Journal*, 2009; vol. 13, SSN 1119-2283
- 353 32. Mohammed SA, Folorunsho JO, Heavy metals concentration in soil and *Amaranthus retroflexus* grown on irrigated
354 farmlands in Makera Area, Kaduna, Nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, 2015; Vol. 8(8), pp. 210 - 217
- 355 33. Di Bella G, Clara N, Giuseppe D B, Luca R, Vincenzo L T, Angela G P, Giacomo D, Mineral composition of some
356 varieties of beans from Mediterranean and Tropical areas. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition* 2016; vol.
357 67, no. 3, 239–248
- 358 34. Mihaileanu RG, Neamtui IA, Fleming M, Pop C, Bloom MS, Roba C, Surcel M, Stamatian F, Gurzau E. Assessment of
359 heavy metals (total chromium, lead, and manganese) contamination of residential soil and homegrown vegetables near a
360 former chemical manufacturing facility in Tarnaveni, Romania. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment*, 2019; 191:8
361 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-7142-0>
- 362 35. Edem CA, Grace I, Vincent O, Rebecca E, Matilda O. A Comparative Evaluation Of Heavy Metals In Commercial
363 Wheat Flours Sold In Calabar –Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 2009; 8, 585-587

- 364 36. Olusakin PO, Olaoluwa DJ, Evaluation of Effects of Heavy Metal Contents of Some Common Spices Available in Odo-
365 Ori Market, Iwo, Nigeria. *J Environ Anal Chem.*, 2016; 3:174. doi:10.41722380-2391.1000174
- 366 37. Sab-Udeh SS, Okerulu IO, Determination of Heavy Metal Levels of some Cereals and Vegetables sold in Eke-Awka
367 Market Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria. *Journal of Natural Sciences and Research*, 2017; Vol 7, No 4
- 368 38. Orisakwe EO, John KN, Cecilia NA, Daniel OD, Onyinyechi B. Heavy Metals Health Risk assessment for Population
369 Consumption of Food Crops and Fruits in Owerre-Southern Nigeria. *Chem. Cent.*, 2012; 6, 77
- 370 39. Zahir E, Naqvi II, Mohi Uddin SH. Market basket survey of selected metals in fruits from Karachi city (Pakistan),
371 *Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 2009; 5(2):47-52
- 372
373 40. Yahaya MY, Umar RA, Wasagu RSU, Gwandu HA, Evaluation of some heavy metals in food crops of Lead polluted
374 sites of Zamfara State Nigeria, *International Journal of Food Nutrition and Safety*, 2015; 6(2): 67-73
- 375 41. Sulyman YI, Abdulrazak S, Oniwapele, YA, Ahmad A, (2015) Concentration of heavy metals in some selected cereals
376 sourced within Kaduna state, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-
377 JESTFT)*, e-ISSN: 2319-2402,p- ISSN: 2319-2399. 2015; Volume 9, Issue 10 Ver. II (Oct. 2015), PP 17-19
- 378 42. Singh A, Sharma RK, Agrawal M, Marshall FM. Health risk assessment of heavy metals via dietary intake of foodstuffs
379 from the wastewater irrigated site of a dry tropical area of India, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2010; 48, 611–619,
380 doi:10.1016/j.fct.2009.11.041
- 381 43. SEPA limits of pollutants in food. State environmental protection administration, 2005 China GB2762
- 382 45. Bassey SC, Ofem OE, Essien NM, Eteng MU. Comparative Microbial Evaluation of Two Edible Seafood *P. palludosa*
383 (*Apple Snail*) and *E. radiata* (*Clam*) to Ascertain their Consumption Safety. *J Nutr Food Sci* 2014; 4: 328. doi:
384 10.4172/2155-9600.1000328
- 385 46. Mahfuza SS, Rana S, Yamazaki S, Aono T, Yoshida S. Health risk assessment for carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic
386 heavy metal exposures from vegetables and fruits of Bangladesh. *Cogent Environmental Science*, 2017; 3: 1291107
387 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311843.2017.1291107>
- 388 47. Tani FH, Barrington S. Zinc and copper uptake by plants under two transpiration rates. Part I Wheat (*Triticum*
389 *aestivum* L.) *Environmental Pollution*, 2005; 138, 538–547.10.1016/j.envpol.2004.06.005
- 390 48. Jarup L, Hazards of heavy metal contamination *British Medical Bulletin*, 2003; 68, 167–182
- 391 49. Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, Sutton DJ, Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. *Molecular Clinical and*
392 *Environmental Toxicology*, 2014; 101, 133–164
- 393
394