

**A Systematic Review of Factors Associated with Both
Bilateral and Recurrent Anterior Cruciate Ligament
Disruption**

ABSTRACT:

Background: Numerous studies have reported factors associated with recurrent or subsequent contralateral anterior cruciate ligament disruption, but a comprehensive review of the literature has not been performed.

Purpose: This study attempts to systematically review the literature and provide an overview of the currently reported risk factors for recurrent and subsequent contralateral ACL reconstructions in order to allow for more efficient identification and intervention of high-risk patients.

Study Design: Systematic Review.

Methods: The Pubmed and Embase databases were searched using a combination of keywords such as "ACL reconstruction" and "bilateral or recurrent" and "risk factors" and medical subject headings. All studies were screened by two independent reviewers, and articles that met inclusion criteria were downloaded and read.

Results: The initial search yielded 129 articles, of which 36 met inclusion criteria. After duplicates were removed, 23 articles remained. The reference lists of included articles were cross-referenced, and an additional 2 articles were included.

Conclusion: Graft harvest site, allograft usage, return to sport, younger age, a positive family history, increased posterior tibial slope, and the number of previous ACL reconstructions are well-reported risk factors for second ACL injury. Recent studies suggest a patient's psychological state is associated with long-term functional outcomes.

Key Terms: knee, anterior cruciate ligament, ACL reinjury, knee injury

1. INTRODUCTION

Tearing the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is a common injury among active populations, with re-rupture presenting a devastating complication. Injury to the ACL results in severe instability of the knee joint. Though non-operative management may be an appropriate first-line treatment in older and less active patients, surgical repair or reconstruction is preferred for younger patients or those with high-activity levels. Patients undergo 6-12 months of rehabilitation after surgery to build strength, stability and range-of-motion before returning to activity^{3, 29}. The outcomes of initial ACL reconstruction remain excellent; the 5-year survival rate in all patients with autografts is over 95%^{10, 17, 42, 50, 57, 67, 74}. However, for the unfortunate 5%, re-rupture of the reconstructed ACL can be catastrophic. While primary ACL reconstructions are associated with risk of residual knee pain, recurrent instability, and premature osteoarthritis²⁹, these risks are elevated after revision ACL reconstructions.

Some patients who successfully rehabilitate and return to cutting/pivoting activities tear their native contralateral ACL^{10, 74}. The rate of contralateral ACL injury following primary

31 ACL reconstruction has been reported between 3.0-20.5%^{4, 29, 42, 47, 52, 57, 67, 69, 74}, increasing
32 risk for bilateral knee pain, instability, and osteoarthritis.

33 Graft failure and/or contralateral injury is financially, psychologically, and physiologically
34 catastrophic for the patient and their family. While prevention of primary ACL injury has been
35 heavily studied, it is of interest to study the factors associated with recurrent and subsequent
36 contralateral ACL reconstructions. A review of the literature reveals numerous reports of
37 associated modifiable and non-modifiable factors^{10, 16, 28, 29, 37, 42, 43, 49, 50, 52, 56, 57, 67, 69}, but no
38 comprehensive evaluation. Awareness of modifiable and non-modifiable factors allows for
39 intervention to decrease rates of recurring ACL rupture. This systematic review creates a
40 comprehensive report of risk factors associated with recurrent and subsequent contralateral
41 ACL reconstructions in the adult population.

42 2. METHODOLOGY

43
44 A comprehensive review of the literature was performed to identify studies which reported
45 risk factors for recurrent or subsequent contralateral ACL reconstruction. This systematic
46 review was registered with the PROSPERO database. The PubMed and Embase databases
47 were searched from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2017. The search utilized a
48 combination of keywords such as "ACL reconstruction" and "contralateral or recurrent" and
49 "risk factors." Where appropriate, our initial search included medical subject headings
50 (MeSH), to ensure the consideration of all relevant articles.

51 All study designs were considered, apart from systematic reviews. Two authors
52 independently searched the listed electronic databases for any eligible articles. Abstracts
53 from all search results were reviewed; articles that met inclusion criteria were reviewed. An
54 overview of our search strategy is included (Table 1).

55 Table 1. Search Strategy

Criteria	Details
Searched databases	PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase
Search string	("anterior cruciate ligament" OR ACL) AND (lesion OR tear OR rupture OR injury OR reconstruction OR repair) AND (bilateral OR recurrent OR contralateral) AND risk factors
Inclusion criteria	non-contact ACL injury, study analyzed risk factors for contralateral ACL injury or graft rupture
Exclusion criteria	study is a systematic review, study has no data, population studied is skeletally immature or elderly, study is evaluating risk factors for primary ACL injury, study was not published in English, study was not related to the ACL, access to full article was not available
Time filter	2010-2017
Language filter	English
Age filter	19-44, 19+
Other filters	Human studies

56 3. RESULTS

57
58 The initial search yielded one hundred twenty-nine articles, of which thirty-six were deemed
59 relevant once inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Once duplicates were removed,
60 twenty-three articles remained. An additional two articles were included, yielding a total of
61 twenty-five articles included in this review.

The included articles had the following designs: five retrospective cohort studies^{26, 43, 51, 67, 72}, six prospective cohort studies^{24, 29, 33, 38, 54, 73}, four case series studies^{2, 11, 62, 65}, five controlled laboratory studies^{6, 12, 15, 27, 46}, three retrospective case control studies^{28, 60, 69}, and two prospective case control studies^{37, 68}. The risk factors catalogued in these studies are grouped into factors the patient can alter against factors the patient has no control over (Table 2).

Table 2. Risk Factors Associated with Graft Rupture and/or Contralateral ACL Rupture

Patient-controlled Factors	Factors Patients Cannot Control
Graft harvest site	Age at index procedure
Allograft vs. autograft	Sex
Return to activity	Significant history
	Rotational asymmetry
	Neuromuscular asymmetry
	Strength asymmetry
	Increased posterior tibial slope
	Narrow femoral intercondylar notch
	Technical errors during surgery

85
86

4. DISCUSSION

87

PATIENT-CONTROLLED FACTORS

88
89

GRAFT HARVEST SITE

90

While surgeons offer patients what they deem the most appropriate intervention, patients do have significant input on graft harvest site. This decision might be influenced by a friend, teammate, or family member that underwent successful ACL reconstruction with a certain graft. Furthermore, if a patient has experienced graft rupture, the patient and surgeon might have limited graft options.

96

Thompson *et. al* reported a 90% survival rate of the bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) graft at 20-years (average age at surgery 24.6 ± 9.8 years), which is notably higher than the 67% survival rate of the contralateral ACL⁶⁵. This suggests the BPTB graft may be more durable than the native ACL, though this could be secondary to a variety of other factors such as more dedicated rehabilitation on the operative side or patients favoring their non-operative leg upon return to activity, which could render the non-operative side more susceptible to injury. One obstacle for the BPTB graft is pain upon kneeling; 67% of patients reported kneeling pain at 20 years post-reconstruction⁶⁵.

105

Another study concluded BPTB autografts were associated with an increased risk for contralateral ACL injury³⁸, noting a trend towards an increased rupture rates with hamstring tendon (HT) autografts³⁸. The BPTB autograft carries an increased risk for osteoarthritis, knee extension deficits, and decreased single-legged hop performance at 15 years post-ACL reconstruction³⁸. However, the surgeries were performed in 1993-1994, so these results could be influenced by outdated surgical techniques. Bourke *et. al* reported no significant difference in 15-year rates of graft rupture between BPTB and HT autografts¹¹. At 15 years

112

post-reconstruction (average age at surgery 29 years), the odds of contralateral ACL rupture were more than doubled in patients with a BPTB autograft¹¹, while those with HT autografts experienced similar rates of contralateral ACL injury or primary graft rupture¹¹, but higher rates of revision⁴³.

Though HT and BPTB autograft have achieved good long-term results, neither are perfect options. BPTB grafts appear to be more durable and have lower graft rupture rates^{38,43}, but may increase the odds of contralateral ACL injury^{11, 38, 43}, osteoarthritis, anterior knee pain, and kneeling pain^{38, 65}. The process of harvesting the BPTB graft may interrupt the afferent signals from the injured knee more than harvesting the hamstring tendon graft, altering central nervous system (CNS) feedback loops and predisposing to contralateral ACL injury¹¹.

The quadriceps tendon (QT) autograft has become popular because it is easier to harvest, requires a smaller incision, and has comparable strength to the BPTB autograft⁵³. Several studies comparing the BPTB and QT autografts found no difference in functional outcomes between the two grafts^{31, 40}. Similarly, studies comparing the QT and HT autografts have also reported equal outcomes^{13, 35, 61,53}. While the outcomes of the QT autograft appear promising, this requires further study with longer follow ups to identify rates of graft rupture and contralateral ACL injury.

AUTOGRAFT VS. ALLOGRAFT

Some studies found allografts carry an increased risk of future injury^{29, 43, 55, 67, 73}, while others have not. Some surgeons believe allograft reconstructions have fewer postoperative complications, a faster rehabilitation, and are better for older patients^{55, 67}. Others believe autografts provide fast bone-to-bone healing, encourage return to sport, and are less likely to rupture⁷³.

Kaeding *et. al* found allografts had 5.2 times greater odds of graft rupture than autografts²⁹, a finding which is supported by several other studies⁴³. A study reported patients who received an autograft were 2.78 times less likely to experience subsequent graft rupture⁷³. This study standardized the source of allografts, using grafts with minimal irradiation exposure⁷³, suggesting graft processing may not cause the higher failure rate. An *in vivo* sheep model concluded allografts took longer to heal than autografts, which could impair graft strength and knee stability⁵⁵.

While allografts might be an appropriate choice for older patients, patients who return to a high level of activity should be informed of the associated risks. Though allografts offer shorter rehabilitations, this is inconsequential if the patient requires repeat ACL reconstruction.

RETURN TO ACTIVITY

Returning to high intensity activity is a well-reported risk factor for ensuing ACL injury^{11, 24, 51, 54, 69}. Activity level at index surgery is also a risk factor for both graft rupture and contralateral ACL injury²⁹. Patients who return to high intensity sports involving cutting, pivoting and jumping movements are especially predisposed to graft and contralateral rupture.

While returning to sports risks future ACL injury, avoiding all athletic activity after surgery is unrealistic. However, the timeline of a patient's return to activity can affect their risk for future ACL injury^{24, 33, 46}. For each month a patient's return to sport was delayed, up to 9 months postoperative, the reinjury rate was reduced by 51%²⁴. Athletes who regained 90% of hamstring, quadriceps, and hopping performance before resuming athletic activities have

significantly decreased risk of reinjury^{24,33}. Myer et. al reported deficits on vertical hop ability on the reconstructed limb up to 11 months post-surgery⁴⁶. Delaying return to sport until after athletes have met specific clinical discharge criteria could decrease the risk of second ACL injury.

Lastly, certain sports such as soccer^{2, 29}, lacrosse¹², basketball²⁹, and football²⁹ carry a higher risk of second injury; identifying high-risk activities allows physicians, patients, and coaches to intervene and decrease the risk for future injury.

FACTORS PATIENTS CAN'T CONTROL

AGE AT INDEX SURGERY

Age at index surgery is a risk factor for secondary ACL injuries^{2, 29, 43, 54, 65, 67-69}. Webster et. al found 29% of patients younger than 20 experienced a secondary ACL injury within 5 years of their index surgery, compared to 8% of patients older than 20⁶⁹.

Another study concluded patients younger than 18 at index surgery did not have significantly higher rates of graft ruptures, but did have higher rates of contralateral ACL rupture (56%) compared to patients older than 18 (25%)⁶⁵. However, this study had a small sample size (n=90), which could account for the lack of association between age and graft rupture.

It is unclear whether age is a confounding factor, or if there are specific age-related risk factors. Younger persons are more likely to return to pre-injury activity level, risking graft and contralateral injury^{11, 24, 54, 69}. Younger patients also engage in more risk-taking behavior and can be less compliant with rehabilitation protocols, which could predispose to future injury.

SEX

Maletis et. al reported males had a higher risk of revision ACL reconstruction because males return more often than females to high-level sports involving cutting, pivoting and jumping. Females had a higher risk of contralateral reconstruction⁴³, which is supported by other studies^{11, 58}. This might be due to a larger-sized graft than the native female ACL having a protective effect on the operated leg⁴³.

An analysis of the Swedish National ACL Register found 22% of female soccer players between ages 15-18 underwent secondary ACL reconstruction, compared to 9.8% of male soccer players². Moreover, female athletes underwent nearly double the ACL reconstructions (11.8% vs. 5.4%)², which suggests sex-specific characteristics may predispose female athletes to future ACL injuries. Females have larger quadriceps femoral angles (Q angle), hormonal fluctuations, more joint laxity, are more likely to have valgus knees, and are more prone to lower extremity neuromuscular imbalances than males^{18, 23, 25, 30}.

Webster et. al and Kato et. al found no relationship between patient sex and the risk of graft rupture^{54,70}. It is worth noting that these studies report rates of rupture, not reconstruction, which might affect the statistical analysis.

There is currently no definitive relationship between sex and rates of revision or contralateral ACL reconstruction. All studies were retrospective, and included patient populations from over a decade ago. As the number of female athletes increases yearly, these populations likely represent an outdated demographic.

214 **SIGNIFICANT HISTORY**

215 Several studies reported the number of previous revision surgeries or a positive family
216 history as risk factors for revision or contralateral ACL reconstruction^{11, 69, 73}. Wright *et. al*
217 found patients who underwent more than 3 revisions were 25.8 times more likely to sustain
218 graft rupture within 2 years⁷³. Surgeons operating on patients after multiple ACL
219 reconstructions are limited in graft selection, which might compromise the surgical outcome.
220 Additionally, repeat operations induce joint trauma and complications such as bone tunnel
221 widening or compromised secondary stabilizers. Moreover, re-injury is an overwhelming
222 experience, which might offset the patient's ability to rehabilitate their injury.

223
224 Webster *et. al* and Bourke *et. al* concluded ACL injury in a first-degree relative doubles the
225 odds of graft rupture or a contralateral ACL⁶⁹, which is also a risk factor for index ACL injury
226^{19, 22, 70}. Certain collagen and proteoglycan polymorphisms have been proposed to be
227 associated with these injuries^{9, 14, 48}, but it is possible body morphology, family lifestyle, etc.
228 predispose patients to ACL injuries.

229 **ROTATIONAL, STRENGTH, AND NEUROMUSCULAR ASYMMETRIES**

231 Two controlled laboratory studies demonstrated that athletes who underwent ACL
232 reconstruction had asymmetries in force generation and absorption on their injured leg^{46,48}.
233 Another study compared the performance of ACL-reconstructed patients to healthy controls
234 and concluded ACL-reconstructed patients showed reduced range-of-motion (ROM), single-
235 leg jumping distance, and hamstring strength on their operated leg 18-30 months post-
236 reconstruction²⁷. Kyritsis *et. al* concluded reduced hamstring strength is a risk factor for
237 future injury³³. The hamstring muscles impart strength on the knee joint, resist anterior tibial
238 translation, and protect the ACL; weak hamstring muscles are a reported risk factor for injury
239^{1, 71}, and reduced hamstring strength is associated with poor knee function⁶⁶.

240
241 A study found limiting femoral internal rotation incites earlier ACL failure⁶. Improving internal
242 rotation on patients with limited hip mobility may decrease ACL load, reducing ligament
243 failure^{6, 8, 21}.

244
245 Dai *et. al* suggested restoring strength and ROM symmetry in a clinical setting does not
246 translate to kinetic knee symmetry, and found significant asymmetry between surgical and
247 non-surgical limbs in patients returning to activity¹⁵. Future research should focus on low-
248 cost methods to identify kinetic knee asymmetries.

249
250 Patients might overcompensate if the strength and ROM of one leg is reduced, which carries
251 obvious repercussions, and could predispose patients to injury. Additionally, because
252 asymmetries were observed over one year post-ACL reconstruction, the injured leg may
253 never recover to its pre-operative state.

254 **POSTERIOR TIBIAL SLOPE**

256 Posterior tibial slope (PTS) is most often measured on lateral radiograph with specialized
257 software⁶⁸. An increased PTS is a reported risk factor for index and recurrent ACL injury²⁶,
258^{62, 68}, resulting in an increased anterior tibial translation, which strains the ACL^{20, 45, 59, 62}.
259 Hendrix *et. al* used lateral radiographs to compare the PTS of 50 patients who had either
260 unilateral, bilateral, or no ACL injury²⁶. The mean PTS of the healthy group was significantly
261 lower than the mean PTS of both ACL-deficient groups²⁶. Moreover, the study reported a 1°
262 increase in PTS was associated with 20% increase in the odds of unilateral ACL injury and a
263 34% increase in the odds of bilateral ACL injury²⁶. Webb *et. al* reported patients with PTS

over 12° had 5 times higher odds of sustaining a subsequent ACL injury⁶⁸. A finite element computer model found PTS was related to anterior tibial translation and ACL stress in both active and passive gait models⁴⁴.

Patients with increased PTS should be counseled regarding predisposition for future ACL injury. Moreover, performing a tibial wedge osteotomy could restore knee stability^{20, 62}. Sonnery-Cottett *et. al* performed proximal tibial anterior closing wedge osteotomies during ACL re-revision on 5 patients who had “pathological PTS” over 12° and reported no further injury on patients who returned to sport⁶². Arun *et. al* performed open wedge high-tibial osteotomy during primary ACL reconstruction on 30 patients with osteoarthritis and reported improved functional outcomes⁵. Another study performed anterior closing wedge tibial osteotomies on 9 patients with increased PTS during ACL re-revision and reported no graft ruptures or recurrent instability at 2 years post-op^{5, 16}. Using tibial osteotomies to decrease pathologic PTS and reduce stress on ACL grafts requires further study with larger sample sizes.

NARROW FEMORAL INTERCONDYLAR NOTCH WIDTH

Femoral intercondylar notch width can be measured on radiograph or intra-operatively, and is often reported as the notch width index (NWI), the ratio of intercondylar notch width to femoral condylar width.

A radiographic study reported significantly smaller NWIs in patients with bilateral ACL injury compared to patients with unilateral injury and healthy volunteers²⁸. Another compared several factors between an injured and uninjured group and reported a significantly more narrow intercondylar notch in injured patients⁶⁰. Levins *et. al* reported a 28% decrease in graft rupture in females for every 1-millimeter increase in femoral intercondylar notch, but no significant association between graft rupture and intercondylar notch width in males³⁷.

Wolf *et. al* intraoperatively measured the femoral intercondylar notch and concluded a smaller intercondylar notch was not a risk factor for graft rupture⁷². The authors proposed the NWI is unreliable, and accredited discrepancies in the literature to different measurement tools⁷². However, this study utilized arthroscopic measurements, which are more variable than radiographic measurements.

The relationship between femoral intercondylar notch width and graft rupture or contralateral ACL injury requires further study utilizing standardized measurements.

MISCELLANEOUS FACTORS

Thompson *et. al* found patients with non-ideal tunnel position were more likely to rupture their graft⁶⁵. Ideal tunnel position was quantified as 80% along the Blumensaat line, a graft inclination angle of greater than 17° from vertical, and tibial tunnel 40-50% along the tibial plateau⁶⁵. Though the literature poorly defines ideal tunnel position, various surgical techniques can affect knee stability^{7, 32, 39}. Anterior tibial tunnel placement decreases anterior tibial translation⁷, while increasing sagittal and coronal obliquity decreases anterior tibial translation and rotary motion^{7, 39}.

A study found index surgeries performed in a teaching hospital were associated with higher rates of revision ACL reconstructions (3.6%) compared to those performed in a non-academic institution (2.1%), with surgeon volume having no significant impact on reoperation rates⁶⁷. Residents and medical students are trained in academic institutions, which might contribute to the observed trend. However, the author proposes higher revision rates in academic settings reflects that academic hospital surgeons are more willing to perform

revision ACL reconstruction, instead of an increased failure rate⁶⁷. The study reported an overall revision rate of 3%, indicating ACL reconstructions performed at both academic and nonacademic centers are successful⁶⁷, but patients and providers should be aware of all contributing factors to graft failure to accurately assess risks of revision surgery.

PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT

Almost all studies regarding rehabilitation and prevention of ACL injuries focus on tangible factors. Low confidence, fear of re-injury and low perioperative self-efficacy are associated with performance years after surgery^{36, 64}, which could affect rehabilitation adherence. Athletes who suffered a second ACL rupture had a higher fear of re-injury in the 5 weeks before and after index ACL reconstruction⁶³.

It is important to counsel patients and attempt to improve self-efficacy and confidence. In a randomized controlled trial, patients underwent nine guided imagery sessions to improve coping skills, simulate motor activities, and improve self-confidence⁴¹. When compared to controls, the treatment group had less knee laxity, lower noradrenaline levels, and lower dopamine levels, which may improve healing⁴¹. The treatment group experienced a smaller reduction in self-efficacy⁴¹. After a severe, painful injury, patients may be apprehensive to fully utilize the leg with the injured ACL, encouraging injury-predisposing neuromuscular imbalances. Guided imagery and relaxation sessions may alleviate patients' fears and allow equal employment of their lower limbs. Another study found motor imagery increased muscle activation, enabling a more complete strength rehabilitation³⁴. The relationship between psychology and recovery requires further study; it is important to correct anatomic imbalances, but it is also important to intervene if a patient is mentally predisposed to suboptimal rehabilitation or poor functional outcomes.

5. CONCLUSION

The literature demonstrates predisposition to second ACL injury is indeed multifactorial. Because many of these factors cannot be controlled, responsibility lies on the medical profession to assess risk factors and find appropriate interventions so patients can return to the lifestyle they enjoy. Graft harvest site, allograft usage, return to sport, younger age, a positive family history, increased posterior tibial slope (PTS) and the number of previous ACL reconstructions were predictors for second ACL injury. It is crucial for healthcare professionals to address any neuromuscular, rotational or strength asymmetries between the injured and uninjured leg before the patient returns to sport because these are well-reported risk factors for contralateral ACL rupture and graft rupture. There was some debate in the literature whether narrow femoral intercondylar notch predicts future ACL injury, which can be attributed to a variety of measurement tools used in different studies. This area of research requires further study with a unified method of measurement. The association between sex and future ACL injury was widely debated in the literature, and requires prospective study to represent a current patient demographic. Lastly, it appears that a patient's psychological state throughout rehabilitation is associated with long-term functional outcomes, which requires future study to prove a definitive relationship and examine possible interventions for improved outcomes.

This study conducted a thorough and comprehensive search of the Pubmed and Embase databases, with the goal of compiling all currently reported risk factors for recurrent and subsequent contralateral ACL injury. Prior to initiating the database search, the study protocol was registered in the PROSPERO database to establish a protocol according to PRISMA guidelines.

However, this study was not without limitations. The reviewers were not blinded to authors, institutions, or journals during the review process, which introduces the possibility for bias. Moreover, the strength of evidence of systematic reviews is limited by the quality of

publications it contains, and there was a significant heterogeneity amongst included studies. Nonetheless, an extensive search of published literature was conducted with strict inclusion and exclusion criteria to minimize the potential for bias .

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ageberg E, Roos HP, Silbernagel KG, Thomee R, Roos EM. Knee extension and flexion muscle power after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with patellar tendon graft or hamstring tendons graft: a cross-sectional comparison 3 years post surgery | SpringerLink. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 2009;17(2):162-169.
2. Ahl  n M, Samuelsson K, Sernert N, Forssblad M, Karlsson J, Kartus J. The Swedish National Anterior Cruciate Ligament Register: a report on baseline variables and outcomes of surgery for almost 18,000 patients. *Am J Sports Med*. 2012;40(10):2230-2235.
3. Allen MM, Pareek A, Krych AJ, Hewett TE, Levy BA, Stuart MJ, Dahm DL. Are female soccer players at an increased risk of second anterior cruciate ligament injury compared with their athletic peers? *Am J Sports Med*. 2016;44(10):2492-2498.
4. Andernord D, Desai N, Bj  rnsson H, Gill  n S, Karlsson J, Samuelsson K. Predictors of contralateral anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a cohort study of 9061 patients with 5-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(2):295-302.
5. Arun GR, Kumaraswamy V, Rajan D, Vinodh K, Singh AK, Kumar P, Chandrasekaran K, Santosh S, Kishore C. Long-term follow up of single-stage anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and high tibial osteotomy and its relation with posterior tibial slope. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2016;136(4):505-511.
6. Beaulieu ML, Wojtys EM, Ashton-Miller JA. Risk of anterior cruciate ligament fatigue failure is increased by limited internal femoral rotation during in vitro repeated pivot landings. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(9):2233-2241.
7. Bedi A, Maak T, Musahl V, Citak M, O'Loughlin PF, Choi D, Pearle AD. Effect of tibial tunnel position on stability of the knee after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: is the tibial tunnel position most important? *Am J Sports Med*. 2011;39(2):366-373.
8. Bedi A, Warren RF, Wojtys EM, Oh YK, Ashton-Miller JA, Oltean H, Kelly BT. Restriction in hip internal rotation is associated with an increased risk of ACL injury. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2016;24(6):2024-2031.
9. Bell RD, Shultz SJ, Wideman L, Henrich VC. Collagen gene variants previously associated with anterior cruciate ligament injury risk are also associated with joint laxity. *Sports health*. 2012;4(4):312-318.
10. Borchers JR, Pedroza A, Kaeding C. Activity level and graft type as risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament graft failure: a case-control study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(12):2362-2367.

- 411 11. Bourke HE, Salmon LJ, Waller A, Patterson V, Pinczewski LA. Survival of the
412 anterior cruciate ligament graft and the contralateral ACL at a minimum of 15 years. *The*
413 *American journal of sports medicine*. 2012;40(9):1985-1992.
- 414 12. Braun HJ, Shultz R, Malone M, Leatherwood WE, Silder A, Dragoo JL. Differences
415 in ACL biomechanical risk factors between field hockey and lacrosse female athletes. *Knee*
416 *Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2015;23(4):1065-1070.
- 417 13. Cavaignac E, Coulin B, Tscholl P, Nik Mohd Fatmy N, Duthon V, Menetrey J. Is
418 quadriceps tendon autograft a better choice than hamstring autograft for anterior cruciate
419 ligament reconstruction? A comparative study with a mean follow-up of 3.6 years. *Am J*
420 *Sports Med*. 2017;45(6):1326-1332.
- 421 14. Ciężczyk P. Are genes encoding proteoglycans really associated with the risk of
422 anterior cruciate ligament rupture? *Biology of sport*. 2017;34(2):97-103.
- 423 15. Dai B, Butler RJ, Garrett WE, Queen RM. Using ground reaction force to predict
424 knee kinetic asymmetry following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Scand J Med Sci*
425 *Sports*. 2014;24(6):974-981.
- 426 16. Dejour D, Saffarini M, Demey G, Baverel L. Tibial slope correction combined with
427 second revision ACL produces good knee stability and prevents graft rupture. *Knee Surg*
428 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2015;23(10):2846-2852.
- 429 17. Di Benedetto P, Di Benedetto E, Fiocchi A, Beltrame A, Causero A. Causes of
430 failure of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and revision surgical strategies. *Knee*
431 *Surg Relat Res*. 2016;28(4):319-324.
- 432 18. Dos Santos Andrade M, Mascarini NC, Foster R, de Jármy di Bella ZI, Vancini RL,
433 Barbosa de Lira CA. Is muscular strength balance influenced by menstrual cycle in female
434 soccer players? *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2017;57(6):859-864.
- 435 19. Flynn RK, Pedersen CL, Birmingham TB, Kirkley A, Jackowski D, Fowler PJ. The
436 familial predisposition toward tearing the anterior cruciate ligament: a case control study. *Am*
437 *J Sports Med*. 2005;33(1):23-28.
- 438 20. Giffin JR, Vogrin TM, Zantop T, Woo SL, Harner CD. Effects of increasing tibial
439 slope on the biomechanics of the knee. *Am J Sports Med*. 2004;32(2):376-382.
- 440 21. Gomes JL, de Castro JV, Becker R. Decreased hip range of motion and noncontact
441 injuries of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Arthroscopy*. 2008;24(9):1034-1037.
- 442 22. Goshima K, Kitaoka K, Nakase J, Takahashi R, Tsuchiya H. Clinical evidence of a
443 familial predisposition to anterior cruciate ligament injury. 2011.
- 444 23. Gould S, Hooper J, Strauss E. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in females: risk
445 factors, prevention, and outcome. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis (2013)*. 2016;74(1):46-51.
- 446 24. Grindem H, Snyder-Mackler L, Moksnes H, Engebretsen L, Risberg MA. Simple
447 decision rules can reduce reinjury risk by 84% after ACL reconstruction: the Delaware-Oslo
448 ACL cohort study. 2016.

- 449 25. Haines TL, McBride JM, Triplett NT, Skinner JW, Fairbrother KR, Kirby TJ. A
450 comparison of men's and women's strength to body mass ratio and varus/valgus knee angle
451 during jump landings. *J Sports Sci.* 2011;29(13):1435-1442.
- 452 26. Hendrix ST, Barrett AM, Chrea B, Replogle WH, Hydrick JM, Barrett GR.
453 Relationship between posterior-inferior tibial slope and bilateral noncontact ACL injury.
454 *Orthopedics.* 2017;40(1):e136-e140.
- 455 27. Holsgaard-Larsen A, Iversholt T, Jensten C, Mortensen NH, Aagaard P. Evaluating
456 lower-limb asymmetry in ACL-patients: assessment of jumping performance and mechanical
457 muscle function. *Gait & posture.* 2013;38:S25--.
- 458 28. Hoteya K, Kato Y, Motojima S, Ingham SJ, Horaguchi T, Saito A, Tokuhashi Y.
459 Association between intercondylar notch narrowing and bilateral anterior cruciate ligament
460 injuries in athletes. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2011;131(3):371-376.
- 461 29. Kaeding CC, Pedroza AD, Reinke EK, Huston LJ, Consortium M, Spindler KP. Risk
462 factors and predictors of subsequent ACL injury in either knee after ACL reconstruction:
463 prospective analysis of 2488 primary ACL reconstructions from the MOON cohort. *Am J*
464 *Sports Med.* 2015;43(7):1583-1590.
- 465 30. Khowailed IA, Petrofsky J, Lohman E, Daher N, Mohamed O. 17 β -Estradiol induced
466 effects on anterior cruciate ligament laxness and neuromuscular activation patterns in
467 female runners. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2015;24(8):670-680.
- 468 31. Kim SJ, Kumar P, Oh KS. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: autogenous
469 quadriceps tendon-bone compared with bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts at 2-year follow-
470 up. *Arthroscopy.* 2009;25(2):137-144.
- 471 32. Koga H, Muneta T, Yagishita K, Watanabe T, Mochizuki T, Horie M, Nakamura T,
472 Sekiya I. Effect of femoral tunnel position on graft tension curves and knee stability in
473 anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports*
474 *Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2014;22(11):2811-2820.
- 475 33. Kyritsis P, Bahr R, Landreau P, Miladi R, Witvrouw E. Likelihood of ACL graft
476 rupture: not meeting six clinical discharge criteria before return to sport is associated with a
477 four times greater risk of rupture. 2016.
- 478 34. Lebon F, Guillot A, Collet C. Increased muscle activation following motor imagery
479 during the rehabilitation of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback.*
480 2012;37(1):45-51.
- 481 35. Lee JK, Lee S, Lee MC. Outcomes of anatomic anterior cruciate ligament
482 reconstruction: bone-quadriceps tendon graft versus double-bundle hamstring tendon graft.
483 *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44(9):2323-2329.
- 484 36. Lentz TA, Zeppieri G, George SZ, Tillman SM, Moser MW, Farmer KW,
485 Chmielewski TL. Comparison of physical impairment, functional, and psychosocial measures
486 based on fear of reinjury/lack of confidence and return-to-sport status after ACL
487 reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43(2):345-353.
- 488 37. Levins JG, Sturnick DR, Argentieri EC, Gardner-Morse M, Vacek PM, Desarno MJ,
489 Tourville TW, Slauterbeck JR, Beynnon BD. Geometric risk factors associated with

- 490 noncontact anterior cruciate ligament graft rupture. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44(10):2537-
491 2545.
- 492 **38.** Leys T, Salmon L, Waller A, Linklater J, Pinczewski L. Clinical results and risk
493 factors for reinjury 15 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective
494 study of hamstring and patellar tendon grafts. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(3):595-605.
- 495 **39.** Loh JC, Fukuda Y, Tsuda E, Steadman RJ, Fu FH, Woo SL. Knee stability and graft
496 function following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Comparison between 11 o'clock
497 and 10 o'clock femoral tunnel placement. 2002 Richard O'Connor Award paper. *Arthroscopy.*
498 2003;19(3):297-304.
- 499 **40.** Lund B, Nielsen T, Faunø P, Christiansen SE, Lind M. Is quadriceps tendon a better
500 graft choice than patellar tendon? a prospective randomized study. *Arthroscopy.*
501 2014;30(5):593-598.
- 502 **41.** Maddison R, Prapavessis H, Clatworthy M, Hall C, Foley L, Harper T, Cupal D,
503 Brewer B. Guided imagery to improve functional outcomes post-anterior cruciate ligament
504 repair: randomized-controlled pilot trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2012;22(6):816-821.
- 505 **42.** Maletis GB, Chen J, Inacio MC, Funahashi TT. Age-Related risk factors for revision
506 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a cohort study of 21,304 patients from the Kaiser
507 Permanente Anterior Cruciate Ligament Registry. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44(2):331-336.
- 508 **43.** Maletis GB, Inacio MC, Funahashi TT. Risk factors associated with revision and
509 contralateral anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions in the Kaiser Permanente ACLR
510 registry. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43(3):641-647.
- 511 **44.** Marouane H, Shirazi-Adl A, Adouni M, Hashemi J. Steeper posterior tibial slope
512 markedly increases ACL force in both active gait and passive knee joint under compression.
513 *J Biomech.* 2014;47(6):1353-1359.
- 514 **45.** McLean SG, Oh YK, Palmer ML, Lucey SM, Lucarelli DG, Ashton-Miller JA, Wojtys
515 EM. The relationship between anterior tibial acceleration, tibial slope, and ACL strain during
516 a simulated jump landing task. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93(14):1310-1317.
- 517 **46.** Myer GD, Martin L, Ford KR, Paterno MV, Schmitt LC, Heidt RS, Colosimo A,
518 Hewett TE. No association of time from surgery with functional deficits in athletes after
519 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: evidence for objective return-to-sport criteria. *Am J*
520 *Sports Med.* 2012;40(10):2256-2263.
- 521 **47.** Paterno MV, Rauh MJ, Schmitt LC, Ford KR, Hewett TE. Incidence of second ACL
522 injuries 2 years after primary ACL reconstruction and return to sport. *Am J Sports Med.*
523 2014;42(7):1567-1573.
- 524 **48.** Posthumus M, September AV, Keegan M, O'Cuinneagain D, Merwe WVd,
525 Schwellnus MP, Collins M. Genetic risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament ruptures:
526 COL1A1 gene variant. 2009.
- 527 **49.** Price MJ, Tuca M, Cordasco FA, Green DW. Nonmodifiable risk factors for anterior
528 cruciate ligament injury. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2017;29(1):55-64.

- 529 **50.** Pullen WM, Bryant B, Gaskill T, Sicignano N, Evans AM, DeMaio M. Predictors of
530 revision surgery after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.*
531 2016;44(12):3140-3145.
- 532 **51.** Ristić V, Ristić S, Maljanović M, Đan V, Milankov V, Harhaji V. RISK FACTORS
533 FOR BILATERAL ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT INJURIES. *Med Pregl.* 2015;68(5-
534 6):192-197.
- 535 **52.** Sanders TL, Pareek A, Hewett TE, Levy BA, Dahm DL, Stuart MJ, Krych AJ. Long-
536 term rate of graft failure after ACL reconstruction: a geographic population cohort analysis.
537 *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2017;25(1):222-228.
- 538 **53.** Sasaki N, Farraro KF, Kim KE, Woo SL. Biomechanical evaluation of the quadriceps
539 tendon autograft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a cadaveric study. *Am J*
540 *Sports Med.* 2014;42(3):723-730.
- 541 **54.** Sato K, Tsuchiya A, Hosokawa T, Komatsu E. Incidence for graft rupture and
542 contralateral injury after anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction
543 with hamstring autograft. Vol 101; 2015:e1340-e1341.
- 544 **55.** Scheffler SU, Schmidt T, Gangéy I, Dustmann M, Unterhauser F, Weiler A. Fresh-
545 frozen free-tendon allografts versus autografts in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction:
546 delayed remodeling and inferior mechanical function during long-term healing in sheep.
547 *Arthroscopy.* 2008;24(4):448-458.
- 548 **56.** Schilaty ND, Bates NA, Sanders TL, Krych AJ, Stuart MJ, Hewett TE. Incidence of
549 second anterior cruciate ligament tears (1990-2000) and associated factors in a specific
550 geographic locale. *Am J Sports Med.* 2017;45(7):1567-1573.
- 551 **57.** Schlumberger M, Schuster P, Schulz M, Immedörfer M, Mayer P, Bartholomä J,
552 Richter J. Traumatic graft rupture after primary and revision anterior cruciate ligament
553 reconstruction: retrospective analysis of incidence and risk factors in 2915 cases. *Knee Surg*
554 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2017;25(5):1535-1541.
- 555 **58.** Shelbourne KD, Gray T, Haro M. Incidence of subsequent injury to either knee
556 within 5 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with patellar tendon autograft.
557 *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37(2):246-251.
- 558 **59.** Shelburne KB, Kim HJ, Sterett WJ, Pandy MG. Effect of posterior tibial slope on
559 knee biomechanics during functional activity. *J Orthop Res.* 2011;29(2):223-231.
- 560 **60.** Simon RA, Everhart JS, Nagaraja HN, Chaudhari AM. A case-control study of
561 anterior cruciate ligament volume, tibial plateau slopes and intercondylar notch dimensions
562 in ACL-injured knees. *J Biomech.* 2010;43(9):1702-1707.
- 563 **61.** Sofu H, Sahin V, Gürsu S, Yıldırım T, İssin A, Ordueri M. Use of quadriceps tendon
564 versus hamstring tendon autograft for arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction:
565 a comparative analysis of clinical results. *Eklem Hastalik Cerrahisi.* 2013;24(3):139-143.
- 566 **62.** Sonnery-Cottet B, Mogos S, Thaumat M, Archbold P, Fayard JM, Freychet B,
567 Clechet J, Chambat P. Proximal tibial anterior closing wedge osteotomy in repeat revision of
568 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(8):1873-1880.

- 569 **63.** Tagesson S, Kvist J. Greater fear of re-injury and increased tibial translation in
570 patients who later sustain an ACL graft rupture or a contralateral ACL rupture: a pilot study.
571 *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2015;34(2):125-132.
- 572 **64.** Thomeé P, Währborg P, Börjesson M, Thomeé R, Eriksson BI, Karlsson J. Self-
573 efficacy of knee function as a pre-operative predictor of outcome 1 year after anterior
574 cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2008;16(2):118-127.
- 575 **65.** Thompson SM, Salmon LJ, Waller A, Linklater J, Roe JP, Pinczewski LA. Twenty-
576 year outcome of a longitudinal prospective evaluation of isolated endoscopic anterior
577 cruciate ligament reconstruction with patellar tendon or hamstring autograft. *Am J Sports*
578 *Med*. 2016;44(12):3083-3094.
- 579 **66.** Tsepis E, Vagenas G, Giakas G, Georgoulis A. Hamstring weakness as an indicator
580 of poor knee function in ACL-deficient patients. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*.
581 2004;12(1):22-29.
- 582 **67.** Wasserstein D, Khoshbin A, Dwyer T, Chahal J, Gandhi R, Mahomed N, Ogilvie-
583 Harris D. Risk factors for recurrent anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a population
584 study in Ontario, Canada, with 5-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med*. 2013;41(9):2099-2107.
- 585 **68.** Webb JM, Salmon LJ, Leclerc E, Pinczewski LA, Roe JP. Posterior tibial slope and
586 further anterior cruciate ligament injuries in the anterior cruciate ligament-reconstructed
587 patient. *Am J Sports Med*. 2013;41(12):2800-2804.
- 588 **69.** Webster KE, Feller JA, Leigh WB, Richmond AK. Younger patients are at increased
589 risk for graft rupture and contralateral injury after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.
590 *Am J Sports Med*. 2014;42(3):641-647.
- 591 **70.** Westin MM, Reeds-Lundqvist S, Werner S. The correlation between anterior
592 cruciate ligament injury in elite alpine skiers and their parents. *Knee surgery, sports*
593 *traumatology, arthroscopy : official journal of the ESSKA*. 2016;24(3):697-701.
- 594 **71.** Wieschhoff GG, Mandell JC, Czuczman GJ, Nikac V, Shah N, Smith SE. Acute non-
595 contact anterior cruciate ligament tears are associated with relatively increased vastus
596 medialis to semimembranosus cross-sectional area ratio: a case-control retrospective MR
597 study. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2017.
- 598 **72.** Wolf MR, Murawski CD, van Diek FM, van Eck CF, Huang Y, Fu FH. Intercondylar
599 notch dimensions and graft failure after single- and double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament
600 reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2015;23(3):680-686.
- 601 **73.** Wright RW, Huston LJ, Haas AK, Spindler KP, Nwosu SK, Allen CR, Anderson AF,
602 Cooper DE, DeBerardino TM, et al. Effect of graft choice on the outcome of revision anterior
603 cruciate ligament reconstruction in the Multicenter ACL Revision Study (MARS) cohort. *The*
604 *American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2014;42(10):2301-2310.
- 605 **74.** Wright RW, Magnussen RA, Dunn WR, Spindler KP. Ipsilateral graft and
606 contralateral ACL rupture at five years or more following ACL reconstruction: a systematic
607 review. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2011;93(12):1159-1165.