## Original Research Article Biological Control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*

### ABSTRACT

Fusarium wilt, caused by Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. vasinfectum, is one of the major diseases of cotton. To manage this disease, preventive measures should be adopted, such as the treatment of seeds with biocontrol agents. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficiency of biological products based on Trichoderma spp. and Bacillus subtilisin the control of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. vasinfectum (Fov) in seeds and seedlings of cotton. The experiment was carried out at the Laboratório de Fitopatologia of the Centro de Ciências Agrárias, of the Universidade Federal de Paraíba (CCA-UFPB), located in the city of Areia, Paraíba - Brazil. The disease transmission from the seeds to the seedlings was evaluated. After the transmission test cotton seeds of the variety mocó (Gossypium hirsutum var. Mariegallante (Watt) Hutch.), BRS 286 and Topázio cultivar (Gossypium hirsutum L.) were submitted to the treatments T1 - Control, T2 - Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (0,5 mL); T3-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (1.0 mL); T4-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (1.5 mL); T5-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (2.0 mL); T6-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (2.0 mL); T7-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (2.5 mL); T8-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (3.0 mL); T9-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (3.5 mL) diluted in 100 mL SDW; T10 - Fungicide Captana (240g / 100 kg of seeds) and inoculated with Fov. The pathogen incidence in the seeds was evaluated seven days after the inoculation (DAI). In order to evaluate the biological control of Fov in the seedlings, the treated seeds were submitted to the following inoculation methods: 1 - inoculation of the substrate with a suspension of conidia of the pathogen; 2 - immersion of the seeds in the suspension of conidia and 3 - direct contact of the seeds with the pathogen mycelium. Twenty-one DAI the disease severity and percentage of seedlings with vascular darkeningwere evaluated. It was observed a transmission rate of 64.0 to 89.0% from the seeds to the seedlings. Trichodel<sup>®</sup> was the most efficient product, reducing the incidence and severity of Fov in the cotton seedlings.

Keywords: Gossypium hirsutum, vascular wilt, Trichoderma spp., Bacillus subtilis.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is an annual plant, of recognized commercial importance and cultivated globally. Its fiber is one of the most used in the textile industry. The seed is rich in vegetable oil which is used to produce the cotton seed cake, the lint and bark, are also important to generate employment and income during the production and processing phases [1].

Cotton cultivation stands out as one of the most important agricultural activities for the Brazilian agribusiness, mainly due to the advances in seed production technology [2]. The use of seeds of low physical, physiological, genetic and sanitary quality are responsible for significant losses in the establishment of this crop, generating malformed, diseased, and low

vigorseedlings, which has limited the yield increase and fiber quality in the different producer regions [3].

This crop is susceptible to a high number of pathogens, which are mostly seed transmitted[4]. The pathogens can be easily transported over long distances and, when introduced in exempt areas, determine the initial cycle of the disease and finally infest the field [5].

The occurrence of certain pathogens in the seeds, even at relatively low rates may result in quantitative and qualitative losses in the production, as observed in infections of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (Fov). This pathogen causes the disease known as fusarium vascular wilt or also known as cotton fusariosis, which is usually characterized by rotting of the root system, yellowing and wilting of leaves and branches, dwarfism and death of infected plants [6, 7].

The use of resistant varieties has stood out as the main method of disease control. However, it shows little relevance in the management program for Fov, since the pathogen breaks the resistance of the main commercial used cultivars, caused by the high genetic variability and instability of the hosts [8]. In addition, the success of breeding programs to control the disease depends on the understanding of the population structure as well as the way of transmission of this pathogen [9].

With exception of seed treatment, the use of fungicides is not recommended in the management of vascular wilts, since they are not able to prevent subsequent infection and colonization of the pathogen in the phloem [10]. Researchers have sought out efficient methods of disease management based on biological control using *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus* spp., which aims to reduce the incidence of causal agents of vascular wilts, especially when applied in the seeds [11, 12, 13].

The objective of this study was to determine the efficiency of biological products of *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus subtilis* in the control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* inoculated in the seeds and seedlings of cotton.

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiments were carried out in green house and at the Laboratório de Fitopatologia (LAFIT), of the Departamento de Fitotecnia e Ciências Ambientais (DFCA), of the Centro de Ciências Agrárias (CCA), Campus II, of the Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB), Areia-PB.

The isolate CCMF-CNPA 0007 of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (Fov), which belongs to the collection of plant pathogenic microorganisms of Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa e Agropecuária (EMBRAPA, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil) was used.

The seeds of the cotton variety mocó were collected in the municipality of Casserengue-PB and the cultivars BRS 286 (white fiber) and Topázio (colored fiber) were donated by the EMBRAPA.

The determination of pathogen transmission to the plants was accessed by using 100 seeds of the cotton variety mocó and the cultivars BRS 286 and Topázio, which were inoculated by the spore suspensionmethod.

The pathogen isolate was grown in Petri dishes containing PDA medium and incubated under controlled conditions (25 ° C + 2 °C) for 10 days. The inoculum was prepared by the addition of 10 mL of sterile distilled water (SDW) in each Petri dish and the conidia was liberated using a soft bristle brush, the suspension was filtered through sterile gauze. The seeds were immersed in the suspension for 5 minutes, manually shaken, incubated for 12 hours under the conditions previously described and sown in pots containing commercial substrate Basaplant<sup>®</sup> and sterilized vermiculite (2:1 v/v).

The transmission evaluation of the pathogen to the seedlings was carried out 15 days after sowing, based on the observation of the presence of internal and external symptoms in the roots and vascular structures of the seedlings. The stem and roots were submitted to indirect isolation [14] to confirm the causal agent.

The seeds of the variety mocó and the cultivars BRS 286 and Topázio were submitted to the treatments: T1 - Control, treated with SDW; T2-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (0.5 mL); T3-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (1.0 mL); T4-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (1.5 mL); T5-Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (2.0 mL); T6-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (2.0 mL); T7-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (2.5 mL); T8-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (3.0 mL); T9-Bactel<sup>®</sup> (3.5 mL), diluted in 100 mL of SDW; T10 - Fungicide Captana (240g of the product for 100kg of seeds).

Two-hundred seeds were used per treatment with 10 replicates of 20 seeds. The seeds were previously disinfested in 1% sodium hypochlorite for three minutes, washed with sterile distilled water, dried out, immersed in the treatments for 5 minutes and conditioned in a humid chamber for a period of 24 hours. After this period, inoculation with Fov was performed by immersion of the seeds in a spore suspension for 5 minutes.

The seeds previously treated with the biological products and inoculated with Fov were distributed under aseptic conditions in Petri dishes containing PDA medium [16]. The Petri dishes were maintained at 25 ° C $\pm$  2 for seven days, and then the visualization and identification process were performed through microscopic observations and morphology descriptions using specialized literature [15]. The results obtained were expressed as percentages of fungus incidence.

The previously mentioned treatments were used to evaluate the influence of the biological control on the physiological quality of the cotton seeds. The germination test [16] was carried out, in which 100 seeds were used, distributed in four replicates of 25 seeds and placed in a "Germitest" paper substrate, moistened with 2.5 times the dry paper weight and distributed in a germination chamber type Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), regulated at 30 °C with photoperiod of eight hours. The seed rolls were packed in transparent plastic bags, to avoid water loss by evaporation. Germinated seed counts were performed daily from the fourth day to fourteenth, counting the normal, abnormal seedlings with primary infection, dead and hard seeds.

The first germination counting was conducted concurrently with the germination test, where the germinated seeds were counted on the fourth day after the start of the test [16].

The germination speed index (GSI) was conducted concurrently with the germination test, where the number of germinated seeds were recordeddaily. The index was determined according to the formula proposed by Maguire [17].

The seedling length and dry matter were evaluated after the germination test. The length of shoot and root of normal seedlings were measured with a ruler graduated in millimeters. The shoot and roots of the seedlings were placed in Kraft paper bags separately and taken to a stove with forced air circulation at a temperature of 65°C, until they reached a constant

weight for 48 hours. After this period, they were weighed on an analytical scale with precision of 0.001g, the results were expressed in g. plantula-1.

The experimental design used for the physiological and sanitary quality evaluation was completely randomized. The means were compared by the Scott-Knott test, at 5% of probability using the Sisvar 5.4 software [18].

The seeds of the variety mocó and the cultivars BRS 286 and Topázio were previously disinfested in 1% sodium hypochlorite for three minutes and immersed in the biological treatments for 5 minutes and manually shaken. They were then conditioned in a humid chamber for a period of 24 hours and submitted to the treatments described previously.

After this period, the inoculation with Fov was carried out and the seeds were dried at  $25^{\circ}C \pm 2$  on sterilized germitest paper and sown in polyethylene bags with 1.5 L capacity. The commercial substrate used was sterilized Basaplant<sup>®</sup> and vermiculite, in the ratio of 2:1 (substrate / vermiculite - v/v).

To evaluate the efficiency of the biological products, three types of inoculation were performed: 1) Inoculation of the substrate with suspension of conidia: the substrate was previously moistened, then perforations with a depth of 5 cm were made, and 20 mL of the conidia suspension were added. Seeds treated with the biological products were sown on the substrate; 2) Immersion of the seeds in the conidia suspension: the seeds previously treated with the biological products were immersed in the conidia suspension of the pathogen for 5 minutes, manually shaken and placed to dry in plastic trays with two sheets of sterile filter paper. The seeds were sown in the already mentioned substrate, the control was composed of seeds treated with fungicide Captana and immersed in SDW and 3) Direct contact of the seeds with the pathogen mycelium: after the mycelial growth of the fungi in Petri dishes containing PDA medium, incubated under controlled conditions of  $25^{\circ}C \pm 2$ , for a period of 10 days, the seeds treated with the biological products were conditioned on these colonies and maintained in this condition for 24 hours. Seeds placed in contact with inoculum-free substrate (pure PDA medium) were used as control.

Twenty-one days after the inoculation, the seedlings were removed from the substrate, sectioned in the basal region using a previously sterilized scalpel, and by visual inspection the incidence of the disease was assessed by the percentage of wilted seedlings and vascular darkening. The quantification of shoot length was performed using a millimeter ruler. In order to evaluate the severity of the disease, a severity scale proposed by Wickens (1964) was used. To evaluate the severity of vascular darkening a scale proposed by Becerra Lopez-Luvalle et al [19] was used.

The experimental design was a complete randomized block using a 3x3 factorial scheme (3 cotton varieties x 3 inoculation methods). Data was submitted to analysis of variance and the means were compared by the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability, using the statistical software Sisvar 5.4 [18].

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (Fov) transmission evaluation there was a high incidence of seedlings with typical symptoms caused by Fov, such as wilt of cotyledons (Figure 1A), vascular darkening (Figure 1B) and seedling death (Figure 1C). In addition, the pathogen also caused seed rot right after the radicle emission (Figure 1D).



Fig.1. Cotton seedlings infected with Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. vasinfectum (Fov), after the transmission test. A) wilt of cotyledonary leaf; B) Darkening of the vascular tissues; C) Dead seedlings; D) Dead seedling, right after radicle emission.

The maximum Fov transmission rate from the seed to the plants were of 89.0, 71.0 and 64.0% for BRS 286, Topáziocultivars and mocó variety, respectively (Figure 2).In a similar study, Araújo et al. [7] verified a maximum transmission rate of different Fov isolates, around 50.0% in the cotton cultivars FM 966 and IAC 20-233.



# Fig. 2. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* transmission (%) in cotton seedlings inoculated 21 days after sowing.

As observed in Table 1, a significant decrease of Fov development in all treatments was observed when compared to the control, with the exception of the cultivar BRS 286 treated with 0.5% Trichodel<sup>®</sup>. The use of the Captana fungicide provided the lowest incidence of this pathogen, with reduction of 76.0, 70.5 and 68.0%, for BRS 286, mocó and Topázio, respectively, differing from the control and the biological treatments.

Table 1. Incidence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* in cotton seedlings treated with *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus subtilis*.

Incidence (%)

| Treatments                    | Mocó  | Topázio | BRS 286 |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Control                       | 94.5a | 98.5a   | 97.0a   |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (0.5%) | 51.1b | 53.5b   | 74.0a   |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.0%) | 46.0b | 56.5b   | 44.5b   |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.5%) | 43.5b | 44.0b   | 50.0b   |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%) | 38.0b | 54.5b   | 46.5b   |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%)    | 40.0b | 43.0b   | 45.0b   |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.5%)    | 39.5b | 49.0b   | 42.0b   |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.0%)    | 44.5b | 59.0b   | 41.0b   |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.5%)    | 40.0b | 53.5b   | 42.5b   |
| Fungicide                     | 29.5c | 32.0c   | 24.0c   |
| CV (%)                        | 24.79 | 28.12   | 28.36   |

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability.

Studies with biological control in seed treatment have been carried out and promising results have been obtained. Carvalho et al. [10] found that *T. harzianum*, isolated from commercial products, is able to efficiently colonizecommon bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cv. Jalo and control *Aspergillus* spp., *Cladosporium* sp. and *S. sclerotiorum* that were associated with the treated seeds. Carvalho et al. [11] found that *T. harzianum* was able to reduce the incidence of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* by 35.0 to 51.0% in common bean seeds. Those results were very similar to those found in the present study. Moura et al. [20] also found that *Bacillus* spp. inoculated on rice seeds (*Oryza sativa* L.) was efficient in the control of *Gerlachia oryzae*, causal agent of scald spot and Silva et al. [5] confirmed the potential of *B. cereus* and *Bacillus* sp. in reducing the incidence of *Curvularia lunata* in rice seeds.

For the germination, with the exception of the treatments with Trichodel<sup>®</sup> in the cultivar Topázio, there was no significant difference in the percentage of germination, first germination counting and germination speed index, among the other treatments when compared to the control (Table 2).

| Table 2. Germination (G), first counting of germination (FCG) and germination speed |
|---|
| index (GSI) of cotton seeds (Gossypium hirsutum L.) treated with Trichoderma spp.   |
| and Bacillus subtilis.  |
|   |

| Treatments                    |       | Mocó  |       | Topázio |       |       | BRS 286 |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
|                               | G     | FGC   | GSI   | G       | FGC   | GSI   | G       | FGC   | GSI   |
| Control                       | 65.0a | 63.0a | 4.00a | 83.0b   | 81.0a | 5.16a | 84.0a   | 82.0a | 5.22a |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (0.5%) | 71.0a | 64.0a | 4.35a | 89.0a   | 84.0a | 5.55a | 89.0a   | 85.0a | 5.51a |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.0%) | 70.0a | 64.0a | 4.10a | 89.0a   | 85.0a | 5.50a | 88.0a   | 85.0a | 5.46a |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.5%) | 76.0a | 69.0a | 4.66a | 90.0a   | 83.0a | 5.53a | 91.0a   | 87.0a | 5.64a |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%) | 72.0a | 64.0a | 4.40a | 91.0a   | 83.0a | 5.58a | 90.0a   | 86.0a | 5.57a |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%)    | 66.0a | 63.0a | 4.10a | 82.0b   | 80.0a | 5.10a | 84.0a   | 82.0a | 5.37a |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.5%)    | 70.0a | 67.0a | 4.28a | 84.0b   | 82.0a | 5.22a | 87.0a   | 83.0a | 5.34a |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.0%)    | 71.0a | 67.0a | 4.38a | 84.0b   | 82.0a | 5.22a | 89.0a   | 85.0a | 5.51a |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.5%)    | 69.0a | 64.0a | 4.20a | 83.0b   | 83.0a | 5.19a | 87.0a   | 85.0a | 5.20a |
| Fungicide                     | 68.0a | 65.0a | 4.20a | 84.0b   | 80.0a | 5.10a | 83.0a   | 80.0a | 5.15a |
| CV (%)                        | 12.56 | 12.86 | 10.85 | 4.64    | 5.26  | 3.89  | 5.13    | 4.21  | 4.83  |

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability.

Similarly, Martini et al. [21] found that secondary metabolites released by *Trichoderma* spp. isolates, used to inhibit the development of *Fusarium* sp. and *Bipolaris oryzae*, propagated

by rice seeds (*Oryza sativa* L.) did not interfere in their germination. Carvalho et al. [22] found a significant reduction in the incidence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* and increased germination rate in seeds of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) inoculated with *T. harzianum*, with colonization of the seed surface and hypocotyl, which, according to the authors, is the main characteristic for the selection of potential biocontrol agents, also observed in the present study.

The treatments with Trichodel<sup>®</sup> provided an increase in shoot and root length, differing from Bactel<sup>®</sup>, fungicide and the control treatments, which did not differ from each other (Table 3).

| Treatments                    | Мосо́ |       | Тор   | ázio  | BRS 286 |        |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--|
|                               | LAP   | LR    | LAP   | LR    | LAP     | LR     |  |
| Control                       | 5.00a | 7.03b | 6.00b | 8.28b | 6.57b   | 9.02b  |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (0.5%) | 5.45a | 6.95b | 6.45a | 8.78b | 7.02b   | 9.55b  |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.0%) | 5.15a | 7.62a | 6.97a | 9.13b | 7.42a   | 10.25a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.5%) | 5.10a | 7.61a | 6.85a | 9.63a | 7.35a   | 10.35a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%) | 5.22a | 7.30a | 6.72a | 9.58a | 7.04a   | 10.50a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%)    | 5.05a | 7.00b | 6.23b | 8.25b | 6.52b   | 9.30b  |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.5%)    | 5.10a | 6.90b | 6.10b | 9.15b | 6.50b   | 9.40b  |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.0%)    | 5.23a | 6.90b | 6.10b | 9.15b | 6.32b   | 9.63b  |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.5%)    | 5.10a | 7.00b | 6.27b | 9.18b | 6.35b   | 9.50b  |  |
| Fungicide                     | 5.20a | 7.35b | 6.15b | 9.07b | 6.40b   | 9.65b  |  |
| CV (%)                        | 4.28  | 6.01  | 7.25  | 16.60 | 5.90    | 4.17   |  |

| Table 3. Length of aerial part (LAP) and roots (LR) of cotton seedlings | (Gossypium |
|---|------------|
| hirsutum L.) treated with Trichoderma spp. and Bacillus subtilis.       |            |

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability.

Pereira et al. [13] observed an antagonistic effect of *T. harzianum*on *R. solani* and *F. solani*, and also verified an increase in the shoot and root length of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants originated of treated seeds with this microorganism, corroborating with the results obtained in this work. A significant increase of the length and dry matter of seedlings were verified in some studies when treated with *Trichoderma* spp. [10, 11, 20, 23, 24].

In Table 4, it was observed that, except for the dry matter of the root for the variety mocó, the use of Trichodel<sup>®</sup> (concentration 1.0%) and Bactel<sup>®</sup> (concentrations 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0%), had no significant effect on the shoot dry matter of the mocó variety and for the shoot and root dry matter of Topázio and BRS 286, when compared to the control.

Table 4. Dry matter of the aerial part (DMAP) and roots (DMR) of cotton seedlings (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) treated with *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus subtilis*.

| Treatments                               | Мосо́          |                | Тора           | ázio                                   | BRS 286        |                |  |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|--|
|  | MSPA           | MSRA           | MSPA           | MSRA                                   | MSPA           | MSRA           |  |
| Control<br>Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (0.5%) | 0.82a<br>0.90a | 0.36b<br>0.38b | 1.13a<br>1.26a | 0.48 <sup>a</sup><br>0.55 <sup>a</sup> | 1.24a<br>1.33a | 0.56a<br>0.62a |  |

| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.0%) | 0.88a | 0.40a | 1.12a | 0.56 <sup>a</sup> | 1.28a | 0.58a |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.5%) | 0.85a | 0.37b | 1.18a | 0.53 <sup>a</sup> | 1.29a | 0.60a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%) | 0.89a | 0.40a | 1.18a | 0.58a             | 1.28a | 0.65a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%)    | 0.80a | 0.38b | 1.10a | 0.53a             | 1.13a | 0.55a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.5%)    | 0.85a | 0.36b | 1.12a | 0.55a             | 1.30a | 0.60a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.0%)    | 0.84a | 0.35b | 1.20a | 0.51a             | 1.20a | 0.55a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.5%)    | 0.83a | 0.40a | 1.25a | 0.54a             | 1.25a | 0.57a |  |
| Fungicide                     | 0.86a | 0.40a | 1.27a | 0.54a             | 1.12a | 0.55a |  |
| CV (%)                        | 9.48  | 6.96  | 8.35  | 6.59              | 9.23  | 8.55  |  |

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability.

Regarding the disease severity, for the mocó variety and the cultivars Topázio and BRS 286, a significant reduction in all of the seedlings treated with Trichodel<sup>®</sup> and Bactel<sup>®</sup> was verified, except in the concentrations 2.0; 2.5 and 3.0%, of these products in the mocóvariety using the inoculation method 1. No significant differences among the treatments were observed in the other inoculation methods (Table 5).

Table 5. Severity of symptoms of cotton seedlings (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) from seeds treated with *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus subtilis* inoculated with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. vasinfectum.

|                               | Severity   |      |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |  |
|-------------------------------|------------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| Treatments                    | Мосо́      |      |      |      | Topázio |      |      | BRS 286 |      |  |
|                               | <b>I</b> 1 | 12   | 13   | 1    | 12      | 13   | l1   | 12      | 13   |  |
| Control                       | 3.2a       | 2.8a | 3.5a | 4.0a | 3.4a    | 3.4a | 4,6a | 2.9a    | 3.4a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (0.5%) | 2.5b       | 2.8a | 2.9a | 3.1b | 2.0b    | 2.8a | 3.4b | 2.2a    | 3.1a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.0%) | 2.5b       | 2.7a | 2.9a | 2.8b | 2.4b    | 3.1a | 3.1b | 2.3a    | 2.8a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1.5%) | 2.3b       | 2.4a | 2.8a | 2.7b | 1.8b    | 2.8a | 2.2c | 1.9ab   | 2.4a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%) | 1.9b       | 2.4a | 3.1a | 2.0b | 1.7b    | 2.9a | 2.0c | 1.9ab   | 2.4a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.0%)    | 3.4a       | 2.5a | 2.8a | 3.0b | 2.4b    | 3.0a | 2.9b | 2.8a    | 2.5a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2.5%)    | 3.0a       | 2.5a | 3.1a | 3.0b | 2.6b    | 2.8a | 2.5c | 1.8ab   | 2.9a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.0%)    | 3.1a       | 2.2a | 2.9a | 2.4b | 2.5b    | 3.0a | 2.4c | 2.8a    | 2.8a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3.5%)    | 2.5b       | 2.4a | 2.8a | 2.4b | 2.5b    | 2.9a | 2.0c | 1.8ab   | 2.8a |  |
| Fungicide                     | 2.4b       | 2.5a | 2.1a | 2.2b | 1.9b    | 2.4a | 1.8c | 1.2b    | 2.4a |  |
| CV (%)                        |            |      |      |      | 16.76   |      |      |         |      |  |

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability. 11: Inoculation of the substrate with pathogen conidia suspension; 12: Immersion of the seeds in the pathogen conidia suspension; 13: Direct contact of the seeds with the pathogen mycelium.

Usually, plants infected with this pathogen had wilt symptoms ten days after seed inoculation. Ludwig et al. [25] when treating rice seeds (*Oryza sativa* L.) with Bacillus sp. verified a significant decrease in the severity of the rice scald spot caused by *Gerlachiaoryzae*. According to these authors, the plants treated with these microorganisms showed higher values of grain mass.

Sousa et al. [4] evaluated the severity of fusarium vascular wilt using three methods of inoculation in cotton seeds (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and observed that the inoculation through spore suspension and direct contact in the seeds with mycelium of the pathogen presented a higher incidence of Fov and disease severity, since it provides greater concentration and adhesion of the conidia in the inoculated seeds, corroborating with the results verified in the present study.

A significant decrease of plants of the cultivar BRS 286 with vascular darkening were observed using Trichodel<sup>®</sup> and Bactel<sup>®</sup> (except Bactel<sup>®</sup> in the concentrations 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0% using the inoculation method 3) (Table 6). The methods of inoculation did not differ from the treatment with the fungicide Captana regarding the incidence of the disease in the seedlings. For the cultivar Topázio, using the inoculation method 1, with Trichodel<sup>®</sup> at 0.5 and 1.0% and Bactel<sup>®</sup> at 2.5%, there were higher values of disease severity, differing from the other treatments, and they did not differ from each other. For the mocó variety all of the treatments were similar in all inoculation methods used (Table 6).

|                               | Percentageof vascularbrowning (%) |        |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |  |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|--|
| Treatments                    | Mocó                              |        |       |       | Topázio |       |       | BRS 286 |       |  |
|                               | I1                                | 12     | 13    | l1    | 12      | 13    | 1     | 12      | 13    |  |
| Control                       | 60.0a                             | 66.7a  | 50.0a | 23.3b | 45.0a   | 46.6a | 80.0a | 78.3a   | 56.7a |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (0,5%) | 53.0a                             | 46.7ab | 48.3a | 31.7a | 30.0ab  | 45.0a | 60.0b | 51.7b   | 38.3b |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1,0%) | 45.0a                             | 53.3a  | 46.7a | 33.3a | 36.7a   | 43.3a | 53.3b | 45.0b   | 39.3b |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (1,5%) | 36.7a                             | 45.0ab | 45.0a | 25.0b | 23.3b   | 36.7a | 30.0c | 43.3b   | 30.3b |  |
| Trichodel <sup>®</sup> (2,0%) | 36.7a                             | 48.3ab | 51.7a | 15.6b | 20.0b   | 36.7a | 26.7c | 25.0b   | 23.0b |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2,0%)    | 38.3a                             | 48.3ab | 45.0a | 20.0b | 36.7a   | 38.7a | 48.3b | 50.0b   | 60.0a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (2,5%)    | 48.3a                             | 46.7ab | 56.7a | 46.7a | 40.0a   | 41.7a | 38.3c | 50.0b   | 50.0a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3,0%)    | 46.7a                             | 50.0a  | 48.2a | 20.0b | 38.3a   | 31.7a | 36.7c | 35.0b   | 51.7a |  |
| Bactel <sup>®</sup> (3,5%)    | 45.0a                             | 48.3ab | 46.7a | 26.7b | 40.0a   | 38.3a | 25.0c | 36.0b   | 38.7b |  |
| Fungicide                     | 36.7a                             | 35.0b  | 28.0b | 16.7b | 23.3b   | 40.0a | 21.7c | 30.0b   | 35.0b |  |
| CV (%)                        |                                   |        |       |       | 45.54   |       |       |         |       |  |

Table 6. Severity of vascular symptoms of the cotton seedlings (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) treated via seeds with *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus subtilis*and inoculated with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. vasinfectum.

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from the Scott-Knott test at 5% of probability. 11: Inoculation of the substrate with pathogen conidia suspension; I2: Immersion of the seeds in the pathogen conidia suspension; I3: Direct contact of the seeds with the pathogen mycelium.

The biological products presented a varied efficiency in relation to the inoculation methods. According to Jung et al. [26], environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity influence the efficiency of biocontrol agents and products based on these microorganisms, having an instability in the suppression of diseases.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The product Trichodel<sup>®</sup>, based on Trichoderma spp. was the most efficient in reducing the incidence of Fov in treated cotton seeds and the severity of fusarium vascular wilt in the seedlings.

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Silva RA, Rack VM,Vigolo F. Correlação entre densidadepopulacional de nematoides e produtividade de algodoeiro. Bioscience Journal, Uberlândia. 2014, 30(1):210-218.
- 2. Lauxen LR, Villela FA, Soares RC. Physiological performance of cotton seeds treated with thiametoxam. RevistaBrasileira de Sementes. 2010, 32(3):061-068.
- Nunes JRG, Menezes NL, Cargnelutti Filho A. Physiological quality of silage sorghum seeds submitted to different sequence of conditioning. RevistaPesquisaAgropecuáriaGaúcha. 2009; 15:21-28.
- 4. Sousa MV, Machado JC, Pfenning LH, Kawasaki VH, Araújo DV, Silva AA, Martini Neto A. Methods of inoculation and effects of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum in cotton seeds. Tropical Plant Pathology. 2008; 33:041-049.
- Silva MSBS, Rodrigues AAC, Oliveira LJMG, Silva EKC, Pereira, T.S. Sanity of rice seed, biocontrol, characterization and transmission of Curvularialunata on rice seedseedlings. Ceres. 2014; 61(4):511-517.
- Davis RM, Colyer PD, Rothrock CS, Kochman JK. Fusarium wilt of cotton: population diversity and implications for management. Plant Disease. 2006; 90(6):692-703.
- Araújo, D.V., Machado, J.C., Pedrozo, R., Pfenning, L.H., Kawasaki, V.H., Neto, A.M., & Pizatto, J.A. Transmission and effects of Fusariumoxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum on cotton seeds. African Journal of Agriculture. 2016; 11(20):1815-1823.
- Egamberdiev SS, Ulloa M, Saha S, Salakhutdinov IB, Abdullaev A, Glukhova LA, Abdurakhmonov IY. Molecular characterization of Uzbekistan isolates of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum. Journal Plant Science & Molecular Breed, 2013; 2(1):3.
- 9. Wang B, Brubaker CL, Tate W, Woods MJ, Matheson BA, Burdon JJ.Genetic variation and population structure of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum in Australia. Plant Pathology. 2006; 55(6):746-755.
- 10. Carvalho DDC, Mello SCM, Lobo Júnior M, Geraldine AM. Biocontrol of seed pathogens and growth promotion of common bean seedlings by Trichoderma harzianum. PesquisaAgropecuáriaBrasileira. 2011; 46(8):822-828.

- 11. Carvalho DDC, Mello SCM, Lobo Júnior M, Silva MC.Control of Fusarium oxysporumf.sp phaseoli in vitro and on seeds and growth promotion of common bean in early stages by Trichoderma harzianum. Tropical Plant Pathology, 2011; 36:028-034.
- Lazarotto M, Muniz MFB, Beltrame R, Santos AF, Jucéli Müller J, Araújo MM. Biological and chemical treatment of Cedrelafissilis seeds for controlling Rhizoctonia sp. Cerne. 2013; 19:169-175.
- Pereira JL, Queiroz RML, Charneau SO, Felix CR, Ricart CAO, Silva FL, Steindorff AS, Ulhoa CJ, Noronha EF. Analysis of Phaseolus vulgaris response to its association with Trichoderma harzianum (ALL-42) in the presence orabsence of the phytopathogenic fungi Rhizoctoniasolani and Fusarium solani. Plos one. 2014; 9:1-23.
- 14. Alfenas AC, Mafia RG.MétodosemFitopatologia. Viçosa: UFV, 2016. 382p.
- 15. Seifert K, Morgan-Jones G, Gams W, Kendrick B. The genera of Hyphomycetes.CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, 2011; p.866.
- Brazil. (2009) Ministério da Agricultura Pecuária e Abastecimento. Regras para análise de sementes. SecretariaNacional de DefesaAgropecuária. Brasília: MAPA/ACS, 395p, 2009.
- 17. Maguire JD.Speed of germination aid in selection and evaluation of seedling emergence and vigor. Crop Science. 1962; 2:176-177.
- 18. Ferreira DF.ProgramacomputacionalSisvar UFLA, 5.4, 2010.
- 19. Becerra Lopez-Lavalle LA, Potter N, Brubaker CL. Development of a rapid, accurate glasshouse bioassay for assessing fusarium wilt disease responses in cultivated Gossypium species. Plant Pathology. 2012; 61:1112-1120.
- Moura AB, Ludwig J, Santos AG, Schafer JT, Soares VN, Corrêa BO. Biocontrol and seed transmission of Bipolarisoryzae and Gerlachiaoryzaetorice seedlings. Journal of Seed Science. 2014, 36(4): 407-412.
- Martini LB, Ethur LZ, Dorneles KR. Influence of secondary metabolites of Trichoderma spp. development of fungi transmitted by seeds and germination of Rice. Ciência e Natureza. 2014; 36:86–91.
- 22. Carvalho DDC, Lobo Júnior M, Martins I, Inglis PW, Mello SCM. Biological control of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli byTrichodermaharzianum and its use for common bean seed treatment. Tropical Plant Pathology. 2014; 39(5):384-391.
- Silva VN, Guzzo SD, Lucon CMM, Harakava R. Growth promotion and resistance induction against anthracnose in cucumber using Trichoderma spp. PesquisaAgropecuáriaBrasileira. 2011; 46(12):1609-1618.
- Milanesi PM, Blume E, Antonioli ZI, Muniz MFB, Santos RF, Finger G, Durigon MR. Biocontrol of *Fusarium* spp. with *Trichoderma* spp. and growth promotion in soybean seedlings. Revista de CiênciasAgrárias. 2013; 36(3):347-356.

- 25. Ludwig J, Moura AB, Santos AS, Ribeiro AS. Seed microbiolization for the control of rice brown spot and leaf scald. Tropical Plant Pathology. 2009; 34(5):322-328.
- 26. Jung WJ, Mabood F, Souleimanov A, Zhou XM, Jaoua S, Kamoun F, Smith DL. Stability and antibacterial activity of bacteriocins produced by Bacillus thuringiensis and Bacillus thuringiensis ssp. kurstaki. Journal Microbiol Biotechnol. 2008; 18(11):1836-1840.

UNDERPETRATION